Evaluation & Research Literature: The State of Knowledge on BJA-Funded Programs

March 27, 2015
Overview

The Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) is a leader in developing and implementing evidence-based criminal justice policy and practice. BJA’s mission is to provide leadership in services and grant administration and criminal justice policy development to support local, state, and Tribal justice strategies to achieve safer communities. This is accomplished in many criminal justice topic areas, including adjudication, corrections, counter-terrorism, crime prevention, justice information sharing, law enforcement, justice and mental health, substance abuse, and Tribal justice. Under each topic area, BJA funds numerous programs and initiatives at the Tribal, local, and state level. In partnership with the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), other Federal partners, and many other research partners, many of these programs have been evaluated, while others have not. The intent of the following report is to assess the state of knowledge as determined by data collection, research, and evaluation of and related to BJA-funded programs. This report is a resource that can be a reference for both evaluation and research literature on many BJA programs. It also identifies programs and practices for which U.S. Department of Justice resources have played a critical role in generating innovative programs and sound practices. This report identifies programs and practices with a solid foundation of evidence, as well as those that may benefit from further research and evaluation.

Program evaluation is a systematic, objective process for determining the success of a policy or program. Evaluations assess whether and to what extent the program is achieving its goals and objectives. Using this wide-ranging definition, a systemic literature review was conducted on 32 different BJA programs and initiatives. Before program evaluation, strong data collection practices are critical for understanding the scope and nature of an issue. Consequently, where appropriate, certain descriptive reports that are not evaluative in nature were also identified when limited evaluation literature was found. Using keyword searches, program and process evaluations were identified and summarized for each of the 32 programs and initiatives. The National Criminal Justice Reference Services (NCJRS), Federal websites (e.g., NIJ, BJS), Google Scholar, state websites, national associations, research institutions, and training and technical assistance provider websites were searched for evaluations and other reports. Finally, the “Crimesolutions.gov” website was also searched by topic area so that the summaries would include programs and practices that have already been evaluated. When no evaluations on a certain program/initiative were identified, the search criteria were widened to include scholarly research (i.e., research published in scholarly journals). Finally, recent Federal audits were identified and referenced.

The report includes a summary table highlighting the number of evaluations, crimesolutions.gov ratings of programs and practices, and other related research. The summary table is intended to quantify the number of reports, reviews, or articles in each topic area, and it includes a key defining the terms used in the summary table and program sections.

While this report is as comprehensive as possible, it is not intended to be a complete accounting of all research and evaluations completed on a certain program or initiative. BJA will continue to update this report annually (in the fall of each year) as new information is published.
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Crime Solutions: The data in these columns indicate the number of ratings of the reviewed program on the Crimesolutions.gov website. Each program with a rating has been provided by type for review, where available.

- **Effective:** The data in this column represents programs that have been rated as effective according to crimesolutions.gov.
- **Promising:** The data in this column represents programs that have been rated as promising according to crimesolutions.gov.
- **No Effects:** The data in this column represents programs that have been rated as having no effect according to crimesolutions.gov.

Insufficient Evidence: The data in this column represent the total number of evaluations of the program that are found on the insufficient evidence list on the Crimesolutions.gov website. These evaluations were found to not meet the minimum rating criteria and as a result were not used to determine a rating for the program.

Highlighted Reports: These articles represent evaluations of BJA’s national initiative, and/or the study is nationwide in scope.

NIJ Evaluations: The data in this column represent the total number of NIJ-sponsored evaluations of the reviewed program.

Other OJP Evaluations: The data in this column represent all other OJP-sponsored evaluations. These evaluations can be sponsored by BJA, BJS, OVC, OJJDP, or the SMART Office.

Total Evaluations: The data in this column represent the total number of evaluations of the reviewed program including OJP and non-OJP funded evaluations.

Other Academic Articles: These articles represent peer reviewed and non-peer reviewed literature that is relevant to the reviewed program. These are included to provide background and to help further understand the need for future evaluations.

Other Reports: These reports represent a variety of types and lengths that include program overviews, technical information, and policy briefs related to the reviewed program. These are included to provide background and to help further understand the need for future evaluations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Crime Solutions</th>
<th>Research and Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>Promising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Border Prosecution Initiatives</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bulletproof Vest Partnership</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Capital Case Litigation Initiative Program</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Coordinated Tribal Assistance Solicitation (CTAS) Program/ Tribal Initiatives</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Drug Court Programs: Including Specialized and Problem-Solving Courts</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Economic High-tech and Cyber Crime Prevention (NW3C)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Harold Rogers Prescription Drug Monitoring Program</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Human Trafficking Reporting System; Anti-Human Trafficking Task Force Initiative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Intellectual Property Enforcement Program</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Justice and Mental Health Collaboration Program</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Justice Reinvestment Initiative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Missing Alzheimer’s Disease Patient Alert Program</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. National Center for Campus Public Safety</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Pay for Success Program</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Prison Rape Elimination Act Program</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Project HOPE/Swift and Certain Sanctions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Project Safe Neighborhood</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Reentry Courts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Regional Information Sharing System</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Residential Substance Abuse Treatment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Second Chance Act</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants for Family Based Substance Abuse Treatment (SCA Family)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Name</td>
<td>Crime Solutions</td>
<td>Research and Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>Promising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants to Nonprofit Organizations for mentoring and transitional services (SCA Mentoring)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offender Reentry Substance Abuse and Criminal Justice Collaboration (SCA Reentry)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Smart Policing Initiative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Smart Prosecution Program</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Smart Supervision Program (SSP)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 State and Local Anti-Terrorism Training</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Statewide Automated Victim Information and Notification</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 VALOR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Veterans Treatment Courts</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Wrongful Prosecution Review Program</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Border Prosecution Initiatives

**Project Description**

The Border Prosecution Initiatives refer to the Southwest Border Prosecution Initiative (SWBPI) Reimbursement Program and the Northern Border Prosecution Initiative (NBPI) Program. These initiatives help local communities pay for judicial, penal, and enforcement expenses that result from Federal border-related crime, such as captured international fugitives or international drug trafficking. When the U.S. Department of Justice declines to prosecute these Federal border-related crimes, the burden often falls on border communities to prosecute them under state law. These initiatives help to alleviate the resulting financial burdens.¹

**OJP-Sponsored and Non-OJP-Sponsored Evaluations**

*Note:* No BJA program evaluations were found during the literature review. The listed articles provide background on border prosecution initiatives and include related materials intended to show support for future evaluations.

**OJP-Sponsored Research²**

[https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/201491.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/201491.pdf)  
This report explores the financial burden placed on border states when their criminal justice and medical systems are overwhelmed with illegal immigrants. The authors find that while the Federal government recognizes its responsibility for the spillover effects of illegal immigration on local communities, it has yet to take on fiscal responsibility for these effects.

The report gives a detailed discussion of the financial challenges created by border states when they are enforcing Federal statutes. The authors suggest reimbursing border counties for these costs to lighten the burden on these local law enforcement agencies.

---

¹ More in-depth information about these programs can be found at these websites:  

² For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
**Other Reports**


This report assesses the current status of illicit drug use in the United States and predicts trends and challenges for 2009 and 2010. Findings show that the then-existing drug problems that officials, agencies, and Congressional members or staff sought to address presented a wide range of concerns. On the supply side, these included all issues related to the Southwest Border of the United States, such as smuggling, violence, firearms, gangs, and prosecution guidelines; and on the demand side, expanding treatment, such as early intervention, diversion, drug court emphasis, and prison reform.


This report presents an update on the progress of implementation of the National Southwest Border Counternarcotics Strategy since its release in 2009. The report briefly describes the Southwest Border Prosecution Initiative, which accounts for a small portion of this program’s funding.

**Websites**

Southwest Border Prosecution Initiative Reimbursement Program (SWBPI) [http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/swbpi/](http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/swbpi/)

Northern Border Prosecution Initiative (NBPI) [http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nbpi/](http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nbpi/)
Bulletproof Vest Partnership

**Project Description**

Created by the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Act of 1998, the Bulletproof Vest Partnership (BVP) Program, administered by BJA, is a U.S. Department of Justice initiative designed to provide a critical resource to state and local law enforcement.

Since 1999, over 13,000 jurisdictions have participated in the BVP Program, using $288 million in Federal funds for the purchase of more than 1 million vests (1,146,909 as of December 2013). BVP is a critical resource for state and local jurisdictions that saves lives. In FY 2012, the lives of at least 33 law enforcement and correctional officers were saved by protective vests. At least 14 of those vests were purchased, in part, with BVP funds.

**Note:** The articles that follow include not only BVP evaluations, but also research on the effectiveness and use of bulletproof vests in general. The following articles and evaluations have been selected from crimesolutions.gov, publicly available reports, and academic journals.

**NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations**

[https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/240225.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/240225.pdf)

This report is a summary of a national survey of over 1,000 sworn officers about body armor usage. One key finding is that most departments (78%) have written mandatory wear policies, and almost all officers report following these policies. This is up from 45% in 2009. This increase is at least partially attributable to the new requirement of a written mandatory wear policy for a police department to receive BVP funding.

**Other Academic Articles**

No other evaluations were found during the review. The articles in this section serve as evidence of the elements of BVP that have proven successful. These references are not direct BVP evaluations but may be an important resource as background regarding BVP’s success. These articles are examples of the importance of bulletproof vest in helping protect the lives of law enforcement officers.


This policy paper provides background for policymakers as they consider reauthorizing the BVP program. It is proposed that Congress consider the following matters when deciding whether to reauthorize the legislation: (1) what role the Federal government should play, if any, in providing armor vests for state and local law enforcement; (2) whether Congress should rescind deobligated balances from the BPV

---

3 Crimesolutions.gov program and practice areas are discussed through the articles and reports cited for each program or practice. In some cases, one article may have multiple ratings, or multiple articles may make be used to determine a single rating. Therefore, the number of reports or articles given a crimesolutions.gov rating in this report may not match what is found by searching crimesolutions.gov.

4 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.

5 Although this report is not an evaluation of BVP, its findings do relate to BVP process outcomes.
program; (3) whether Congress should invest in developing new technology for armor vests; and (4) whether Congress should require law enforcement agencies to provide training on the care and maintenance of body armor as a condition of receiving funding under the BPV program.

The objective of this study was to determine the effect of protective body armor on a police officer’s risk of being killed and estimate the benefits and costs of outfitting police with body armor. The results show that outfitting all officers with vests would save at least 8.5 lives per year, resulting in a cost savings that is nearly twice the cost of the vests.

http://www.policechiefmagazine.org/magazine/index.cfm?fuseaction=display_arch&article_id=1571&issue_id=82008
This article discusses the frequency, nature, and severity of nonfatal ballistic injuries sustained by police officers while wearing personal body armor. Data used in the study focused on events in the United States and involving sworn police officers between 1972 and 2002. The results show that even with a vest, penetrating and backface trauma occurs about 10 to 15 percent of the time.

This document is a testing and performance standard from the Office of Science and Technology of the National Institute of Justice through the Standards and Testing Program. It outlines precise and detailed test methods for the ballistic resistance of personal body armor intended to protect against gunfire. The detailed documentation includes topics such as NIJ body armor classification, different types of armor models and a requirements list, hard armor conditioning protocol, and ballistic test methods. These standards are established to ensure that individual items of equipment are suitable for use by criminal justice agencies and serve as performance benchmarks against which commercial equipment is measured.

https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/207605.pdf
This article outlines NIJ’s test on new and used Zylon-based bullet-resistant armor performance, evaluation of the upgrade kits from manufacturers to retrofit Zylon-based bullet-resistant armors, and assessment of the existing body armor standard and compliance testing process. From their assessment, NIJ concluded that ballistic-resistant materials can degrade, which may reduce the ballistic-resistance safety margin.

http://www.officer.com/article/10233289/beefing-up-ballistic-resistance
This article presents modifications to the new NIJ standard on police body armor to increase the ballistic resistance. The new standard, “Ballistic Resistance of Body Armor NIJ Standard-0101.06,” was released in July 2008. The standard increases the ballistic resistance of certified armor and examines and confirms durable bullet-resistant materials that withstand various challenges. It carries harsher testing and advanced environmental conditioning to better protect officers. Ballistic vests that perform under the new NIJ standard are tested to be stronger, more resistant, and hold up better against atmospheric conditions and wear. The intent of the new standard is to prevent future injuries or deaths due to failing body armor, and
to beef up ballistic resistance and endurance. There are several companies with body armor products that have been NIJ tested and certified to the new 0101.06 standard.


This study suggests that in addition to armor testing, analyzing data on law enforcement personnel who have been shot while wearing soft body armor is also a valuable tool for determining the effectiveness of certification standards. It concludes that it is important for medical personnel to recognize the backface signature injury (an injury occurring from the deformation of the backface of the vest after a bullet strike) and document this as a type of injury separate from blunt trauma or penetrating trauma behind armor injuries.

*Other Reports*


This fact sheet presents information about activities associated with the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Initiative.


This GAO report reviews the BVP program and makes a number of recommendations for improvements. GAO was asked to examine the following: (1) DOJ’s efforts to support the use of body armor, (2) the extent to which DOJ has designed controls to manage and coordinate these efforts, and (3) the factors affecting body armor’s use and effectiveness, and steps DOJ has taken to address them.


This report is a follow-up to the 2012 report on the BVP program. The report covers progress made in responding to the original recommendations. Steps taken include the following: (1) deobligated undisbursed funds from grants whose terms have ended, (2) expanded information available to grantees on documentation retention requirements, (3) ensured consistency in the body armor requirements for the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance program and the Bulletproof Vest Partnership program, (4) documented pertinent monitoring procedures, and (5) tracked JAG grantee purchases of stab-resistant body armor.

*Websites*


National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC) [Online]. Available: https://www.justnet.org/
Project Description

Launched in 2012, the Bryne Criminal Justice Innovation (BCJI) program is a central component of the Administration’s larger place-based programming efforts, which includes the Promise Zones and neighborhood revitalization initiatives. These initiatives help local and tribal communities develop into neighborhoods of opportunity. Through coordinated federal support, these interagency efforts align programs of the Departments of Education (ED), Justice, Health and Human Services (HHS), Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Agriculture (USDA), Interior, and Treasury.

The BCJI program focuses on chronic crime hot spots—areas with proportionally more crime than other areas of the jurisdiction—which typically have deeply imbedded, long-term social issues. The program seeks to reduce crime, build collective efficacy, and revitalize the hot spot and surrounding neighborhood through engaging neighborhood residents in the solution.

Note: Process and outcome evaluations of the BCJI program and its grantees have not yet been completed and are not included in this report. Instead, the articles listed provide evaluations and information on the core aspects of BCJI: hot spots approaches, evidence-based practices, research partnerships, and data-driven approaches. Evaluations fitting these criteria have been selected from crimesolutions.gov, publicly available reports, and academic journals.

OJP-Sponsored Research

NIJ-Sponsored Evaluation


This report evaluates the Locally Initiated Research Partnerships (LIRP) program, which sought to promote the research and evaluation of community policing through police-researcher partnerships. The report found that most police-researcher partnerships were successful and that the most important factors for success were an effective working relationship and an understanding of the role of police culture and research.

---

6 For an example of a specific practice that falls under BCJI, see the literature review of Project Safe Neighborhood. For more information on police strategies, see the literature review of the Smart Policing Initiative.

7 Crimesolutions.gov program and practice areas are discussed through the articles and reports cited for each program or practice. In some cases, one article may have multiple ratings, or multiple articles may make be used to determine a single rating. Therefore, the number of reports or articles given a crimesolutions.gov rating in this report may not match what is found by searching crimesolutions.gov.

8 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
Other OJP-Sponsored Evaluations


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having no effect.
This report details the Weed and Seed program in Miami, FL. This program seeks to “weed” out the criminals and “seed” the community by revitalizing the neighborhood. It combines a hot spots approach with community involvement to solve chronic problems. The results show no significant decrease in violence and an increase in drug offenses in the target areas.

Other OJP-Sponsored Research

http://www.theiacp.org/portals/0/pdfs/LawEnforcement-UniversityPartnership.pdf

This report details a roundtable held to make recommendations about the partnering of police and researchers. The attendees suggested 49 recommendations in 6 areas: (1) selecting skilled researchers, (2) training law enforcement leaders in evaluation, (3) formalizing the research agenda, (4) developing and sustaining relationships, (5) responding to research results, and (6) managing funding.


This study surveyed over 1,300 police agencies to determine how they were using data. In general, agencies serving larger populations (over 100,000 residents) were more likely than smaller agencies to use data to improve performance and planning. It was also common for agencies to share data with outside groups such as community leaders and the media.


This report details several tips for enhancing the quality of policing and crime prevention. Related to this literature review, it calls for the use of collaboration, evidence-based practices, and the reliance on data to inform decisions.

http://pqx.sagepub.com/content/15/3/241.short

This report looked at police-research partnerships in various police agencies across the country. The report found that larger agencies were more likely to have a partnership and that most of these were short term and low commitment. The number one reason for not having a partnership was a lack of funding. Funding usually came from the agency (39%), the researcher (31%), or an external grant (27%).
https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/184377NCJRS.pdf
This report looked at the link between collective efficacy and crime in 343 neighborhood clusters in Chicago, IL. Collective efficacy was measured via survey, while violence was measured via police reports, citizen perceptions, and citizen victimization. Results showed that neighborhoods with high collective efficacy had crime rates 40 percent below neighborhoods with low collective efficacy.

**Academic Articles**

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.
This article evaluated the hot spots policing program in Lowell, MA, aimed at restoring social order to crime hot spots. The program sought to increase arrests while providing social services to the treatment areas. The results showed a significant decrease (19.8%) in calls for service in treatment areas and a decrease in social disorder in 14 of the 17 treatment areas.

This practice was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.
This meta-analysis examined the practice of hot spots policing from 10 randomized controlled trials. The results showed a significant but small reduction in crime due to the practice. The results also showed that using problem-oriented policing strategies in crime hot spots led to a larger reduction in crime versus using traditional policing techniques.

In this article, the authors present findings from a systematic, integrative review of neighborhood effects, specifically for crime and safety. Thirty-seven research studies using random samples from urban U.S. areas between 2002 and 2008 are reviewed. Findings suggest sociodemographic characteristics of neighborhoods and neighborhood processes are both predictive of crime and safety. Some neighborhood conditions may also affect crime and safety in unexpected ways.

This study examines the neighborhood revitalization project in Richmond, VA. This project, which is partially funded by Federal Community Development Block Grants, selected target areas and smaller impact areas based on the best available data. The study showed success in revitalizing the neighborhoods through a significant increase in the value of homes in target areas. While this project was not aimed at crime reduction, it mirrored the goals and core elements of BCJI.

---

9 Links to abstracts are provided when full articles are not available.

This study looked at collective efficacy, defined as social cohesion among residents combined with the shared expectation of social control over public space. Though a survey and in-depth analysis, the authors found that collective efficacy is an important factor in violence and is explained mainly by concentrated disadvantage, immigration concentration, and residential stability within communities.


This article was the first evaluation of a hot spots program. It sought to determine the decrease in crime that could be achieved from increasing police patrols in crime hot spots. The results showed that calls for service were about 75% greater for the control group than the experimental group and observed disorder was about 25% greater.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having no effect.

This article is an evaluation of the hot spots policing program in Jacksonville, FL, designed to reduce violent crime. Hot spots received either saturated patrol or a problem-oriented policing focus. While the results were mixed, they did indicate a greater crime reduction when using a problem-oriented focus.

**Other Reports**


This review seeks to determine the effects of focusing crime prevention efforts at crime hot spots. Nineteen studies containing 25 tests of hot spots policing were evaluated. The results indicate that 20 of the 25 tests showed a significant decrease in crime and disorder. The author concludes that hot spots policing is an effective strategy.


[http://www.policefoundation.org/content/translating-police-research-practice](http://www.policefoundation.org/content/translating-police-research-practice)

This article calls for the use of evidence-based policies in policing. Specifically, it states that the research on policing will not stand on its own and that it needs to be promoted until it becomes widely used. It also suggests that funding agencies need to make a strategic effort to push the use of evidence-based practices.


[http://www.policefoundation.org/content/evidence-based-policing](http://www.policefoundation.org/content/evidence-based-policing)

This article argues that police practices should be based on what has been shown to work through rigorous scientific evaluation. It also argues that the use of evidence in guiding practices should be pushed nationally. The BCJI program does just this by advocating for the use of evidence-based practices.

---

10 The Campbell Collaboration is headquartered in Norway; however, the studies referenced were conducted mainly in the United States.


This report details the DDACTS program, which uses data to identify areas for traffic enforcement with the goal of reducing traffic crashes and crime. DDACTS is a spatially focused program that relies on the use of data to reduce crime, mirroring many of the elements of BCJI. The report finds that for DDACTS to be successful, data on location and time must be used, enforcement should be varied to find the proper dosage and type, and outcomes must be continually evaluated.

**Websites**

Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation (BCJI)
http://www.lisc.org/csi/byrne_criminal_justice_innovation_(bcji)/index.php

White House: Neighborhood Revitalization Initiative (NRI)
http://www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/oua/initiatives/neighborhood-revitalization

Building Neighborhood Capacity Program Resource Center
http://www.buildingcommunitycapacity.org/

U.S. Department of Education: Promise Neighborhoods Program
http://www2.ed.gov/programs/promiseneighborhoods/index.html

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD): Choice Neighborhoods Program

Health Resources and Services Administration’s Health Center Program
http://bphc.hrsa.gov/about/index.html

Promise Zones
https://www.hudexchange.info/promise-zones

Promise Neighborhoods
http://www2.ed.gov/programs/promiseneighborhoods/index.html

Choice Neighborhoods
Capital Case Litigation Initiative Program

Project Description

The Capital Case Litigation Initiative began back in 2005 through President George W. Bush’s proposed initiative to fund training for capital cases. BJA convened a focus group and developed a three-pronged planning initiative. Training programs and curricula were developed and implemented through state and local agencies. The training focuses on “investigation techniques; pretrial and trial procedures, including the use of expert testimony and forensic science evidence; advocacy in capital cases; and capital case sentencing-phase procedures.”11

Eligible applicants are states that authorize capital punishment and conduct or will conduct prosecutions in which capital punishment is sought. For the state agency to be eligible, its state must have an “effective system” for providing competent legal representation for indigent defendants in capital cases. An effective system is one that invests in the responsibility for appointing qualified attorneys to represent indigent defendants in capital cases: (1) in a public defender program that relies on staff attorneys, members of the private bar, or both, to provide representation in capital cases; (2) in an entity established by statute or by the highest state court with jurisdiction in criminal cases, composed of individuals with demonstrated knowledge and expertise in capital cases, except for individuals employed as prosecutors; or (3) pursuant to a statutory procedure enacted before the date of the enactment of the CCLI Act under which the trial judge is required to appoint qualified attorneys from a roster maintained by a state or regional selection committee or similar entity.12

OJP-Sponsored and Non-OJP-Sponsored Evaluations13

Note: No BJA program evaluations were found during the literature review. Although much has been written on capital punishment, defendants, and juries in capital cases, not much was found on the program itself.

Academic Articles


The author describes how the American Bar Association (ABA) Guidelines for the Appointment and Performance of Defense Counsel in Death Penalty Cases can improve the quality of legal representation for capital defense counsel. The authors suggests the ABA Guidelines for death penalty cases have been adopted, implemented, and cited by state and Federal courts in every active jurisdiction in the United States.

13 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.

In this keynote address, Ms. Robinson, the former Assistant Attorney General, Office of Justice Programs, details OJP’s efforts to improve indigent defense through the CCLI program and other hiring initiatives.

**Other Report**


The minutes from the Arizona Supreme Court Oversight Committee’s 2013 meeting include status reports on programs from the Capital Case Committee.
Coordinated Tribal Assistance Solicitation (CTAS) Program

Project Description

The Coordinated Tribal Assistance Solicitation (CTAS) program provides federally recognized Tribes and Tribal consortia with an opportunity to develop a comprehensive and coordinated approach to public safety and victimization issues and to apply for funding. Existing Tribal government–specific programs through the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) are included in and available through this single CTAS program. DOJ’s Tribal government–specific competitive grant programs outlined in this solicitation are referred to as Purpose Areas. Applicants may apply for funding under the Purpose Area(s) that best address Tribal concerns related to public safety; criminal and juvenile justice; and the needs of victims and survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, and other forms of violence. The FY14 purpose areas include the following:

1. Public Safety and Community Policing (COPS)
2. Comprehensive Tribal Justice Systems Strategic Planning (BJA)
3. Justice Systems, and Alcohol and Substance Abuse (BJA)
4. Corrections and Correctional Alternatives (BJA)
5. Violence Against Women Tribal Governments Program (OVW)
6. Children’s Justice Act Partnerships for Indian Communities (OVC)
7. Comprehensive Tribal Victim Assistance Program (OVC)
8. Juvenile Justice (OJJDP)
9. Tribal Youth Program (OJJDP).

NOTE: For the purposes of the literature review, BJA-funded purpose areas listed above were included (purpose areas 2–4). Programs primarily funded in the other purpose areas (which may have been funded through OJJPD, OVW, OVC, or the COPS Office) were not included.

OJP-Sponsored Evaluations


This is the final report of a process evaluation of the Comprehensive Indian Resources for Community and Law Enforcement (CIRCLE) Project. The report discusses the contributions of the CIRCLE program in improving the Tribal justice system, as well as suggests ways in which to increase the value of future Federal investments. The study described accomplishments in the Tribal justice system, as well as any challenges encountered by both Tribes and the Federal government. It gives a description of the Federal planning and implementation process and includes process-oriented summaries of each participating

14 For more background on the Smart Policing Program, please visit the following link: http://www.tribalgrants.info/GrantDetails.aspx?gid=27899
15 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or a website where access information is located.
Tribe’s implementation work. The evaluation concludes that CIRCLE made important contributions to Tribal efforts to design a strong justice system.


This report summarizes a process evaluation of these expansion efforts, as well as ongoing efforts of Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN) in the Crownpoint area of the Navajo Nation. PSN is designed to be centered on the community in which it is being implemented, recognizing and reacting to community needs and the local resources available to address those needs. The purpose of this evaluation is to determine whether the initiative is being implemented in the way that it is intended; to understand the perceived success of the initiative; and to pinpoint facilitators and barriers to implementation, focusing especially on coordination of activities. The evaluation concluded that the PSN is making progress toward reaching its goals. Participants in the program thought that youth had become more aware of the dangers of gun violence, drugs, and gangs.


This report discusses the lessons learned from the experiences of the first four Tribal Wellness Courts (drug courts): Hualapai (Arizona), Blackfeet (Montana), Fort Peck reservation (Montana), and Poarch Creek (Alabama). These Tribes had unique experiences in the implementation of their wellness courts, and they exhibited similar patterns of strengths and weaknesses. The report is organized into 10 lessons learned, each of which suggests best practices in wellness courts. The report also highlights challenges in implementing the recommended practices and suggests ways to overcome these challenges.


This report presents the methodology, findings, and recommendations of an evaluation of the Blackfeet Alternative Court (the Court), a pilot program of the Blackfeet Tribe of Montana. The Court gave substance-abusing Tribal offenders access to holistic, structured, and phased substance abuse treatment and rehabilitation services that incorporated Tribal culture and tradition. The evaluation concluded that the Court had many strengths. The discussion included some anecdotal reports from Alternative Court participants about their experiences with the Court, particularly how it has helped improve their self-esteem and self-worth.


This report presents the methodology, findings, and recommendations of the evaluation of The Fort Peck Tribes Community Wellness Court (CWC) in northeastern Montana (Assimboine and the Sioux Tribes). This process evaluation described and analyzed how the program is operating and is meeting its administrative and procedural goals. In reviewing the key components of the Fort Peck CWC, the evaluation found several strengths attributed to the implementation of the Court, as well as some weaknesses.


This report presents the methodology, findings, and recommendations of an evaluation of the Hualapi Wellness Court (HWC) of the Hualapai Tribe in northwestern Arizona. The HWC gave access to holistic,
structural, and phased substance-abuse treatment and rehabilitation services for both adults and juveniles that incorporated Tribal culture and tradition. The process evaluation found several strengths and weaknesses resulting in the implementation of the wellness court. Some of the strengths included but were not limited to home and school liaison involvement, treatment as structure in participant lives, and traditional healing practices that were incorporated. The evaluation also found several weaknesses. Some weaknesses were attributed to challenges in the operation of the Court, including a lack in team commitment because of a lack of leadership. Furthermore, the judicial side of the HWC was not well integrated with the treatment side; traditional healing activities occurred irregularly.

This report presents the methodology, findings, and recommendations of an evaluation of the drug court of the Poarch Band of Creek Indians in southwestern Alabama near the Florida border. First, in evaluating outcomes from the 28 participants, the study concluded that there is no statistically significant relationship between completion status and recidivism; graduated participants are as likely to recidivate as terminated participants. However, graduates recidivate at a slower rate than the terminated participants. The evaluation found several strengths and weaknesses related to the operation of the Drug Court. Examples of strengths included positive team relationships, combined roles of counselor and probation officer, and intensive monitoring. There was also room for improvement. The report recommended improvement in the integration of treatment, and forming a steering committee including Tribal and community leaders to extend the integration of the Drug Court to the community.

Using a participatory evaluation model, this federally supported study evaluated the Sisseton Wahepton Oyate (SWO) Indian Alcohol Substance Abuse Program (IASAP). The IASAP program’s goal was to lower alcohol-related motor vehicle fatalities and decrease access to and abuse of alcohol by Tribal youth. The evaluation concluded that lack of adequate resources was a challenge for the program. However, despite this, court probation officers were able to improve the supervision of the juvenile probationers by collaborating with school providers and with other community agencies to increase community awareness. The evaluation found that the SWO IASAP demonstration project could be applicable to other Tribes. The project demonstrates that despite the lack of a reservation-based program for youth, it successfully called on its probation staff to help the youth access and use existing resources to improve their treatment outcomes.

This report presents the results of the federally supported participatory evaluation of the Community Mobilized Against Drugs (CMAD) program implemented by the Lummi Nation (LN) in Washington State. The goals of the evaluation were to determine if the LN-IASAD project successfully achieved its stated program goals, and whether the outcomes of the demonstration project would be applicable to other Tribal communities.

This report is an overview of the outcomes of the federally funded Comprehensive Indian Resources for Community and Law Enforcement (CIRCLE) project for FY2002 and FY2003. The study sought to determine whether there were any determinants that would indicate the success of the CIRCLE project. Some of the key findings from the CIRCLE evaluation research were: in the right circumstances, investments in improving criminal justice system functioning can help Native nations address pressing crime problems. Sustainability was a significant challenge at every site; however, this study suggests approaches to planning design considerations that promote sustainability.


This report presents a detailed review of the existing literature on crime resulting from alcohol and other drug abuse in American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) communities and the response to the problem by the criminal justice system. In examining existing research on substance abuse and crime in AI/AN communities, the discussion differentiated between studies using measures of co-occurrence and those using measures of association. Although these studies do not provide proof that alcohol use is a cause of crime in AI/AN communities, they do indicate that there are higher levels of alcohol involvement in AI/AN crime, and AI/ANs who use alcohol are more likely to be crime victims or perpetrators.

**OJP-Sponsored and Non-OJP-Sponsored Research**


This report from the Center for Court Innovation presents information on current collaboration efforts to bridge the differences between state and Tribal courts. This report notes that while state and Tribal courts in many areas of the country have overlapping jurisdictions and need to deal with local problems that have an impact on both systems, past cultural differences and inconsistent Federal policies have contributed to ongoing tensions between state and Tribal governments. These tensions have prevented these entities from working effectively for their communities. This report from the Center for Court Innovation details current collaboration efforts to bridge these differences.


Based on a synthesis of the empirical literature and original data analyses, this report presents an overview of the epidemiology of violence against American Indian and Alaska Native women as well as a review of the criminal justice responses to this violence.
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3147294/
This study reports on the Southwest Node of NIDA’s Clinical Trials Network (CTN) that conducted a single-site adaptation of its national Job Seekers Workshop (JSW) study in an American Indian treatment program, Na’Nizhoozhi Center (NCI). Results do not support the use of the costly and time-consuming JSW intervention in this population and setting. Despite the lack of a demonstrable treatment effect, this study established the feasibility of including a rural American Indian site in a rigorous CTN trial through a community-based participatory research approach.

This paper is a preliminary examination of recommendations from the Pew Commission on Children in Foster Care as they pertain to state and Tribal court involvement in Indian child welfare matters. It also looks at State Court Improvement Program grants, challenges, and promising practices gleaned from Tribal–state collaborations around court improvement, and examines opportunities for improving court systems for the betterment of American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) children. The paper offers recommendations for future Tribal applications designed to improve the well-being of AI/AN youth.

This study used a community-based participatory research approach to develop and evaluate an innovative school-based cultural intervention targeting substance abuse among a Native American adolescent population. Findings suggest that cultural considerations may enhance the degree to which specific interventions address substance abuse problems among Native American adolescents.

https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/bja/188154.pdf
This publication is organized around 10 key components, adapted for Indian Nations and Tribal justice systems, which describe the basic elements that define Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts. The purpose of each key component is explained, followed by several recommended practices that give guidance for implementing each component.

This report summarizes the Needs Assessment Survey of the Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts that was administered by the Tribal Law and Policy Institute (TLPI). The survey is administered as part of the training and technical assistance for Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts.

This study analyzed the challenges facing Indian Tribes in adjudicating Indian Country crimes and Federal efforts to address these challenges. It also examines the extent to which the Department of the
Coordinated Tribal Assistance Solicitation (CTAS) Program

Interior (DOI) and the Department of Justice (DOJ) have collaborated in supporting Tribal justice systems.


This article reviewed three categories of American Indian/Alaska Native (AI/AN) substance abuse prevention programs: (1) published empirical trials; (2) promising programs (published and unpublished) that are in the process of development and that have the potential for empirical trials; and (3) examples of innovative grassroots programs that originate at the local level and may show promise for further development. Findings indicate that prevention scientists who are not AI/AN are largely unaware of the numerous grassroots prevention work going on in AI/AN communities.

*Other Reports*


This guide presents Tribal probation personnel with information on how the screening and assessment process can facilitate and promote offender accountability and long-term behavior change.


This bulletin is a brief introduction to the risk, need, and responsivity principles of evidenced-based community supervision. It also offers guidance on how Tribal probation officers can incorporate the premise behind each principle into everyday practice.


This report was developed to assist probation officers working with drug and/or alcohol-involved probationers in Indian country. The Tribal communities prefer alternatives to incarceration such as probation and community supervision programs that offer a more culturally compatible approach to punishment. This report informs probation officers on approaches to changing offender behavior to improve public safety and influence behavior change. Some of the recommended approaches include working with the probationer to develop a plan of action, monitoring that plan, and involving the probationer’s family in the supervision process.


This publication presents an overview of BJA’s Tribal Civil and Criminal Legal Assistance Program (TCCLA). The publication identifies resources and eligibility guidelines for Tribes seeking to obtain or offer civil and criminal legal assistance for their communities. The report also explores program sustainment strategies and outlines several promising practices for the provision of indigent legal assistance in tribal communities.

This resource has information about Tribal participation in reentry initiatives, research, and evaluations of Tribal reentry efforts, and planning and development of these reentry efforts. Re-entrants from jails or prisons need a positive support from their communities and families. Therefore, the report recommends that Tribes rethink the most effective ways to respond to the risks and protective factors of re-entrants. To develop efficient reentry systems, it is advised that currently available programs, policies, and practices be fully examined.


This report highlights BJA strategies that support Tribal reentry and highlights three Tribes that have received support. Some of these strategies include support for planning through strategic planning and grants from CTAS to support reentry.


This report discusses the plan to enhance Tribal Justice Systems that includes plans to address incarceration and the alternatives in Indian Country. Findings indicate that the Tribal Law and Order Act (TLOA) has created a tremendous opportunity for Tribal Nations across the United States to enhance their Tribal justice systems and improve safety in Indian Country.

*Website*

Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts
[www.wellnesscourts.org](http://www.wellnesscourts.org)
Drug Court Programs: *Including Specialized and Problem-Solving Courts*

**Project Description**

Drug courts are part of the larger universe of problem-solving courts. Where these courts are implemented in an evidence-based manner, they have been shown to reduce recidivism and substance abuse among high-risk substance-abusing offenders and increase their likelihood of successful rehabilitation. The overall goal of the Drug Court Program is to expand and/or enhance the drug court capacity to reduce crime and substance abuse among high-risk/high-need offenders at the state, local, and tribal levels. Drug court programs do not require a research partner during implementation, but they are required to have certain key components.16,17

**Highlighted Reports**


Executive Summary: [https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/237108.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/237108.pdf)
Volume 1: [https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/237109.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/237109.pdf)
Volume 2: [https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/237110.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/237110.pdf)

This research report funded by the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) details NIJ’s Multisite Adult Drug Court Evaluation (MADCE), a two-phase longitudinal process, impact, and cost study of adult treatment drug courts. The report is in 4 volumes. Among other findings, drug courts significantly reduce drug use and criminal offending during and after participation. Participants reported less drug use and were less likely to test positive on drug tests, and they also reported less criminal activity and had fewer rearrests. Their participation in drug courts is more cost efficient than traditional case processing/supervision practices. The net benefit of drug courts is an average of $5,680 to $6,208 per participant, depending on assumptions concerning participant income estimates. The evaluation found that drug courts that target offenders with high crime/high substance abuse risk yield the most effective interventions and maximize return on investment.

16 More information about drug courts can be found here: [https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/238527.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/238527.pdf)
17 Programs rated in crimesolutions.gov are included, unless the evaluation is more than 10 years old or the primary focus of the program was outside of drug courts. Some programs listed under “Specialized and Problem Solving Courts” had court-based components, but their primary strategies were other than drug courts and other problem-solving courts, such as group homes or youth court. Consequently, not all rated articles from crimesolutions.gov will appear in this document.
**OJP-Sponsored Research**


This study examined the effectiveness of the drug court model compared with other criminal justice models for treating substance-abusing offenders, with attention to the implementation of the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2009 (SACPA). The findings show that the drug court model resulted in higher rates of treatment completion and lower recidivism rates than other criminal justice models for treating substance-abusing offenders in the community. However, the state-mandated treatment for substance-abusing offenders under SACPA succeeded in reaching a large number of eligible offenders, offering treatment for their substance abuse without incarceration.


This study examined the impact and costs of a drug court on the total population of drug court–eligible offenders over a 10-year period in Portland, OR. Results included reduced recidivism for drug court participants compared with eligible offenders who did not participate. Drug court judges who worked longer with the drug court had better participant outcomes. Judges who rotated through the drug court twice had better participant outcomes the second time than the first. Overall, treatment and other investment costs per participant were lower compared with traditional criminal justice system processing.

[https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/210828.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/210828.pdf)

**This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having no effect.**

This report details the findings of the evaluation of the Culturally Focused Batterer Counseling program in Pittsburgh, PA. The court-based program is designed for African American men who are arrested for domestic violence. The study found that participation in the program actually resulted in negative effects when compared to a racially mixed conventional counseling group program. Program participants were more likely to be rearrested than the comparison groups, even when controlling for other factors.


This is the executive summary of a report on the process and outcome evaluations of the first four tribal wellness courts funded under the Tribal Drug Court Initiative of the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs. The evaluations found that each court had many strengths and success stories. Success was documented as a slowing down of alcohol and drug use among adult participants. However, graduates were as likely to reoffend as nongraduates, and participants as a whole had a relatively significant 3-year recidivism rate.

[www.bja.gov/Publications/Local_Drug_Court_Research.pdf](http://www.bja.gov/Publications/Local_Drug_Court_Research.pdf)

This report from the National Research Advisory Committee (NRAC) recommends a national set of performance measures and a uniform research plan for drug court data collection and analysis.
**GAO Audits**


This report describes the results of a review of published evaluations of adult drug court programs, particularly relating to recidivism outcomes, substance use relapse, program completion, and costs and benefits of drug court programs. The review noted mixed results and an insufficient number of studies to determine the costs and benefits of such programs.


This study examined BJA’s Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant program. Participation in drug courts was generally associated with lower recidivism. Evaluations reporting recidivism data for 32 programs showed that drug court participants were generally less likely to be rearrested than those in a comparison group. Cost-benefit analyses showed mixed results.

**Journal Articles**

**Meta-Analyses**

http://www.translationalres.com/article/S1931-5244(10)00055-1/abstract

This article reviews non-experimental and quasi-experimental literature on the impact of drug courts. It notes that randomized effectiveness studies (evaluations) of drug courts are limited.

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/07418825.2010.525222

This report combined a meta-analytic review of published and unpublished articles with a survey of drug court administrators. Findings suggest that drug courts reduce recidivism by 9 percent, on average. The study also notes that target population, program leverage and intensity, and staff characteristics explain the most variability in drug court effectiveness.

*Campbell Systematic Reviews, 4*: 3–86.  
http://www.ndcrc.org/sites/default/files/mitchell_drugcourts_review.pdf

This article examined 154 independent evaluations of drug courts, of which 92 assessed adult drug courts, 34 examined juvenile drug courts, and 28 investigated DWI drug courts. Findings suggest that drug courts are an effective tool at reducing recidivism, but the degree of reduction depends on the type of drug court.


This article synthesized the empirical evidence on the effectiveness of adult drug courts as an alternative to incarceration. Using meta-analyses, research found that drug courts reduced the incidence of incarceration. However, drug courts did not significantly reduce the average amount of time offenders spent behind bars. This suggests that any benefits realized from a lower incarceration rate are offset by the long sentences imposed on participants when they fail the program.
Other Evaluations


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

This report details the evaluation of Maine’s Statewide Juvenile Drug Treatment Courts, which operate in seven counties. The program targets medium- to high-risk juveniles with substance abuse problems. The evaluation found that participants in the program were less likely to recidivate during a 12-month follow-up period compared with youth on traditional probation. However, the effect on recidivism was small.


This article examines two drug courts in Essex County, NJ, and Denver, CO, and their adherence to best practices.


The evaluation report examined Dependency Drug Courts (DDCs) and reports the 24-month reunification rates from the Sacramento [CA] DDC. Results indicate that DDC participation resulted in higher unification rates and higher rates of treatment than in a comparison group. Recidivism rates were similar in both the treatment and comparison groups.


This research examined whether drug courts were equally effective for offenders charged with methamphetamine-related and DWI crimes versus other types of drug offenders. In terms of recidivism, results of drug court participation were positive for offenders of methamphetamine-related offenses, but there was no effect on DWI offenders.


This study examined two drug courts in rural areas and two in urban settings. The research found that rural clients are more likely to be White and urban clients are more likely to be Hispanic or African American. The article also found that drug courts located in rural settings had lower treatment budgets, resulting in limited treatment services.


This article examines recidivism rates among drug treatment court participants and compares them with a matched comparison group in Wisconsin. The study found that the drug treatment group lasted longer than the comparison group before committing new crimes, particularly participants who were women, older individuals, minorities, and those with more serious criminal histories.
Drug Court Programs: Including Specialized and Problem-Solving Courts


This program has been rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

The report details the finding of the Baltimore City [MD] Family Recovery Program. Like other family treatment courts, this program serves families with children in the child welfare system whose parents have substance abuse issues. The evaluation found that parents in the program were quicker to enroll in the treatment and complete treatment compared with those not in the program. It also found that children in these families generally spent less time in “non-kinship” foster care.


This article presents a cost-benefit analysis (Phase I) of three drug courts in California. It found that all three sites indicate that participation in drug court, regardless of graduation status, saves taxpayers significant money over time in terms of cost avoidance due to a reduction in recidivism.


This article presents a cost-benefit analysis (Phase II) on 9 drug court study sites across California, with the intent of developing a methodology to calculate costs and benefits of drug court programs. This study found that participation in drug court saved California over $9 million in criminal justice and treatment costs due to lower recidivism among drug court participants.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

This report details the Ottawa County [MI] Sobriety Court Program evaluation, which targets repeat DUI offenders. The study revealed that compared with traditional probation, program participants were arrested less often during the 2-year follow-up period. It also found participants spent less time in jail, and that re-arrest for comparison group offenders occurred significantly earlier than for program participants.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.

This article presents the finding of the Jackson County [OR] Community Family Court evaluation. The program is for court-involved parents with substance abuse issues, with a goal toward family reunification, treatment/sobriety, and child safety. The evaluation found that parents in the program had higher treatment participation and completion rates compared with parents not in the program.
Drug Court Programs: Including Specialized and Problem-Solving Courts


http://www.npcresearch.com/Files/Guam_Adult_Drug_Court_Outcome_Evaluation_Final%20_Report

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

This article presents the finding of the Guam Adult Drug Court evaluation. This program serves adult felony drug offenders with demonstrated drug problems and no prior felony convictions. The results of the evaluation indicate that the Guam Adult Drug Court was effective at reducing the number of new case filings for the treatment group over 3 years. However, due to the small number of cases reviewed, the results must be interpreted with caution.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

This article presents the finding of the Oregon Drug Court and Cost Study. The statewide study assessed whether drug courts are cost beneficial and identified best practices. It found that program participants recidivated less than the comparison group, with recidivism defined as new arrests within 3 years. However, the treatment effect on recidivism seemed to fade over time. The study also identified 38 practices that helped improve graduation rates, lower recidivism rates, and produce cost savings.


This study focuses on the link between juvenile drug court participation and later adult offending rates. The research looked at conviction records of 79 former juvenile drug court participants and found little impact on misdemeanors, but significant impact on felonies. Differences based on race were also noted.


This article documents the process of standardizing and evaluating 12 unique local specialty courts in a rural western state, Montana. The study found that outcomes varied among populations but were positive and consistent with existing drug court literature.


http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/1477-7517-10-35.pdf

This article focuses on the exclusion of methadone treatment in drug courts in New York State. The authors found that providers believe methadone treatment is stigmatized and poorly understood by some drug court personnel.


http://www.journalofsubstanceabusetreatment.com/article/S0740-5472(10)00009-7/abstract

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

This article details the finding of the Engaging Moms Program (EMP), program intended to help mothers with substance abuse problems maintain parental rights by participating in a drug court program. The study found that participants in the EMP had equal or better outcomes compared with those who received Intensive Case Management Services.
http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1300/J233v05n02_01#.U6RvePlDx6I
This study evaluated differences in White and African American drug court participants, noting significant differences in outcomes between Whites and African Americans in 10 Missouri adult drug courts.

http://essential.metapress.com/content/q3303x7v13444480/
This article focuses on a process evaluation of a developing drug court in Utah and offers recommendations for improvement.

This evaluation examined the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a DUI court in California. It found that the court produced cost savings for third-time offenders. This suggests that implementing a DUI-specific court intervention for serious DUI recidivists is a worthwhile investment of public resources.

This article examined perceived-deterrence theory as a possible explanation for the effects of drug court. While the study design did not permit inferences of causality, the results suggest that perceived deterrence is a potential explanatory mechanism for the effects of drug courts.

This study evaluated a drug court in Texas and found that those most likely to recidivate included participants with a violation within the first 30 days of drug court, as well as terminated participants. Implications for drug court practice and policy advocacy are discussed.

This study evaluates the effects of intermediate sanctions in a drug court among adult offenders in a small, nonmetropolitan county in northwest Washington State. It found that rearrest rates are significantly lower among drug court graduates than probationers, even when controlling for age, race, gender, and number of days at risk in the community.
Drug Court Programs: Including Specialized and Problem-Solving Courts


This program has been rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

This study examined the effectiveness of drug treatment courts at reducing crime in a population of offenders who are severely drug addicted. The study tested whether drug treatment courts in Baltimore City, MD, could reduce recidivism levels among a sample of drug-addicted offenders better than other options open to the criminal justice system. Participants were randomly assigned to either the drug treatment court or treatment as usual. The study found that drug treatment court reduced rates of recidivism among its sample of offenders, primarily resulting from the use of sanctions for unacceptable behavior and the use of certified drug treatment programs.


This study examined 4 family treatment drug courts (FTDCs) and compared participants with a sample of similar nonparticipants (control group). It found improved outcomes in terms of parent participation in substance abuse treatment, placements, and reunification compared with similar nonparticipants.


This review of current research on FDTCs found evidence for their effectiveness in assisting and supporting parents in entering, remaining in, and completing substance abuse treatment. However, different program models achieved varying outcome patterns. The findings of completed FDTC outcome studies are summarized, including one unpublished and two published reports. Results are also presented from a recently completed large-scale outcome study.


This article focuses on the link between judicial adherence to high ethical standards and its impact on the effectiveness of the drug courts.


This article examined the utility of using the Level of Service Inventory-Revised (LSI-R) to help identify treatment needs of individuals in drug courts. The results found empirical support for using the LSI-R as a link between assessment and treatment.


This article examines men and women who are methamphetamine (meth) users and who participated in a community-based drug court. Among study participants, men were more likely to fail after an 18-month follow-up period than women.
http://psycnet.apa.org/journals/ccp/74/1/42/  
This article focuses on the integration of evidence-based treatment in juvenile drug courts, namely multisystemic therapy. It found that the use of evidence-based treatments within the drug court context improved substance-related outcomes among youth.

http://ijo.sagepub.com/content/54/2/213.short  
This article summarizes and integrates findings from process evaluations of three juvenile drug courts. Similarities and differences in the structure and design of the programs are noted.

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/15560350903340486#.U6Ryi_ldX6I  
This study describes and evaluates specialty groups added to the traditional drug court services in Orange County, CA. It focused on certain outcomes, including increasing program retention and successful completion (graduation) rates for participants.

http://ijo.sagepub.com/content/early/2013/12/19/0306624X13515635.abstract  
This article compares recidivism rates of high-risk drug court participants and high-risk probationers. In terms of recidivism, the study found that drug court participants had significantly better outcomes than probationers.

This study evaluated the impact of increased access residential treatment to high-need offenders in a California drug court. Results suggest that increasing residential treatment to offenders in need of a higher level of treatment was related to positive outcomes.

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having no effect.  
This report discusses the evaluation finding of the Rochester [NY] Domestic Violence Court Judicial Monitoring program. The mandated court program is targeted for domestic violence offenders. It found that participation in the program had no impact on rearrest rates, program completion, or program attendance.
This report details the DUI Intensive Supervision Program in Multnomah County, OR. The 3-year program for repeat DUI offenders uses swift and certain sanctions, intensive probation and monitoring, and mandatory treatment to help change behaviors that lead to impaired driving and to reduce recidivism. The evaluation found that the program helped reduce recidivism, defined as rearrest for impaired driving.

http://cad.sagepub.com/content/55/4/627.short
This study explores whether drug courts are a viable option for treating methamphetamine-addicted offenders. It noted that drug of choice does not influence outcome in a drug court setting.

This article presents an update on research examining the cost-effectiveness of adult drug courts and identifies certain evidence-based treatments that have been proven to lead to improved outcomes.

This article examined perceived-deterrence theory as a possible explanation for the effects of drug court. While the study design did not permit inferences of causality, the results suggest that perceived deterrence is a potential explanatory mechanism for the effects of drug courts.

http://cad.sagepub.com/content/52/1/52.short
This study evaluated the effectiveness of matching drug court clients to the correct service track based on their assessed risk status. The study offers support for the risk principle in drug courts, along with practical information for enhancing the efficacy and cost-efficiency of drug courts.

This study evaluated the effectiveness of a contingency management (CM) program delivered in the context of a felony pre-adjudication drug court. It found that the CM program did not improve outcomes.

This study looked at adaptive interventions in a juvenile drug court setting. Adaptive interventions apply a priori decision rules for adjusting treatment services in response to clinical presentation or performance in treatment by participants. It found adaptive interventions improved outcomes for juvenile misdemeanor drug offenders.

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

This journal article details the evaluation of the San Francisco [CA] Behavioral Health Court, which targets both felony and misdemeanor offenders who have axis I clinical psychiatric disorders according to DSM-IV criteria. Compared with control subjects, participants in the voluntary program had longer average times before filing of new charges. Completion of the program resulted in lower recidivism over 18 months compared with individuals who received treatment as usual.


The study used semistructured interviews to determine the reasons why former drug court participants were motivated to participate in the program. The results noted that the most important motivation for the majority of participants was trying to avoid jail or prison. The second most significant reason for participation was the desire to break the cycle of addiction.


These programs were rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective: Bronx Treatment Court, Brooklyn Treatment Court, Queens Treatment Court, and Suffolk Drug Treatment Court.

The article present finds from evaluations of multiple drug treatment courts in New York State. The drug courts evaluated include Brooklyn, the Bronx, Manhattan, Queens, Suffolk, Syracuse, Rochester, and Buffalo. The target populations vary, but the courts are for first-time non-violent felony or misdemeanor drug offenders. The evaluation found that drug court participants showed significant reductions in recidivism for up to 3 years after initial arrest compared with a comparison group.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

The article presents the findings of Idaho’s DUI and Misdemeanor DUI Courts. The study looked at the state’s 8 Misdemeanor DUI Courts and 4 DUI courts and found that program participants had fewer new court filing charges compared with the comparison group.


This study examined recidivism rates of drug court clients by drug of choice. Results indicated that drug of choice does not significantly influence either successful graduation or rearrest, based on a 2-year follow-up period.

---

18 Each court program was rated and counted separately in crimesolution.gov. For brevity, they are combined here.
Drug Court Programs: Including Specialized and Problem-Solving Courts

This study explored the characteristics and outcomes among seven adult and three juvenile drug courts across Ohio in an attempt to understand the impact of various courts in different settings. Regardless of type of court, findings suggest that clients who receive drug court services have significantly better outcomes as a group than those who do not receive such services.

This study examined the outcome and cost-effectiveness of drug courts and family courts with evidence-based treatment services, namely multisystemic therapy with or without contingency management. It found that adding evidence-based treatment improves outcomes and also makes family courts and drug courts more cost efficient.

The authors examine why a relatively small pool of drug offenders participate in drug courts. They find that four factors have prevented drug courts from substantially lowering the flow of offenders into prisons and jails: tight eligibility requirements of drug courts, specific sentencing requirements, legal consequences of program noncompliance, and constraints in drug court capacity and funding.

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective. This article presents findings from the evaluation of the Adolescent Diversion Project (ADP), a youth court–based diversion program. The intent of the ADP is to strengthen youth’s attachment to family and the community through tailored services. The study found that ADP was effective at reducing “official delinquency” at 1-year follow-up.

This article presents findings from a large-scale outcome study of family treatment drug courts. The evaluation examined whether court, child welfare, and treatment outcomes differed for families served in family treatment courts compared with a matched sample of families with substance abuse issues who received traditional child welfare services. Findings suggest improved outcomes for families in treatment courts.

Websites
Translating Drug Court Research into Practice http://research2practice.org/
National Association of Drug Court Professionals http://nadcp.org/
Drug Court Programs: Including Specialized and Problem-Solving Courts

American University Drug Court Clearinghouse/Adult Technical Assistance Project
http://www.american.edu/spa/jpo/drug-court-clearinghouse.cfm

Tribal Law and Policy Institute
http://www.tribal-institute.org/
http://www.wellnesscourts.org/
Economic, High-tech, and Cyber Crime Prevention

Project Description
The Economic, High-tech, and Cyber Crime Prevention grants were authorized under the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2008. These grants directly supported local agencies in the investigation and prosecution of cybercrimes and also established a national training center for cybercrime investigations and prosecutions.

The National White Collar Crime Center (NW3C), funded in part by BJA’s Electronic and Cyber Crime Training and Technical Assistance grant, provides a nationwide support system for law enforcement and regulatory agencies involved in the prevention, investigation, and prosecution of economic and high-tech crime. NW3C delivers training in computer forensics, cybercrime, and intelligence analysis as well as investigative support to agencies investigating white-collar crimes. They also conduct research on white-collar crime.\(^{19}\)

Note: No program evaluations were found for the Economic, High-tech, and Cyber Crime Prevention Grants. One evaluation was found for the NW3C. Most of the listed articles are additional research that illustrates the need for cybercrime training and the NW3C.

NIJ-Sponsored Evaluation
This study evaluated several criminal justice training programs including NW3C’s Foundations of Intelligence Analysis Training (FIAT). The evaluation found that students learned a significant amount from the course and used their new skills in their daily jobs. The evaluation concludes that the programs fill an important void by offering high-quality training for free on a topic with few quality training options.

Academic Articles
http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1300/J460v01n02_07
This paper examines relevant literature about computer security education and training, examines similar programs at other community colleges, and proposes creating two certificate training programs in criminal justice and computer protection. After reviewing the literature on computer security and cybercrime, the authors propose creating new security certificate programs at St. Petersburg College.

\(^{19}\) From the “What We Do” section at http://www.nw3c.org/
\(^{20}\) This evaluation examines one portion of NW3C that supports the goals and mission of the Economic, High-tech, and Cyber Crime Prevention grants.
They present a blueprint for success, along with individual course titles and a certification framework. The blueprint compares and contrasts existing programs from universities and community colleges across the country and offers predictions for the future of computer security programs.

This article argues for the urgent need to reform methods of mutual legal assistance among domestic jurisdictions and nations. It finds cooperative international enforcement efforts against cyber-crime are being promoted and implemented through the United Nations, Interpol, and other international institutions, as well as through bilateral and regional efforts. The author finds encouraging signs that the market is demanding a secure and trusted environment from software developers.

This article describes how the Indiana State Police formed an alliance with the Purdue University Department of Computer and Information Technology and the National White Collar Crime Center (NW3C). Through NW3C participation, leads can be sent to police departments with jurisdiction over offenders linked to other offenders in an online criminal network.

This study finds that local law enforcement in North Carolina lacks adequate training, personnel, and equipment to investigate crimes with a cyber-component. The study calls for government and law enforcement leaders to implement strategies to enhance computer crime investigations.

The dramatic proliferation in cases involving digital evidence requires prosecutors and law enforcement agents to deal with artifacts such as computer logs, e-mail, word-processing documents, image files, and so on. Today, the overwhelming majority of police officers are unprepared to deal with crimes involving either direct or indirect use of computers. Most officers receive little or no instruction in computer forensics during training at the police academy.

This study examined the stress and job satisfaction from a sample of law enforcement agents who completed computer training through the National White Collar Crime Center (NW3C) and who had experience with digital evidence handling. The results show that role conflict was the most salient factor in predicting both stress and satisfaction. Experience with digital evidence handling increased job stress but was not predictive of job satisfaction.

The Stages of Cybercrime Investigations discussed throughout this paper demonstrate the logical steps and primary considerations vital to investigating cyber-related crime and criminality. The model is intended to offer both technical and nontechnical investigative resources covering mainstream law
enforcement, partner agencies, and specialist technical services, with a formal and common structure when investigating the complex technical nature of cybercrime.

**Other Reports**


This study finds that around 6% of investigations in North Carolina included a cybercrime component and that 26.6% of investigators were trained in computer-related investigations. Most agencies expected an increase in computer-related crime cases in the next 5 years. The study recommends funding pilot sites for joint training sessions and equipment upgrades.


This annual report highlights NW3C’s accomplishments from January through December 2013. According to the report, NW3C delivered 435 training courses to 10,392 law enforcement professionals from 5,028 agencies in 39 states. Among other achievements highlighted in the report, NW3C also developed new courses, including Cyber Investigation 335 (Advanced Wireless Network Investigations), which focuses on techniques and methods used to locate wireless devices connected to wireless networks.

**Websites**

National White Collar Crime Center (NW3C)  
[http://www nw3c.org/](http://www nw3c.org/)

National Criminal Justice Training Center (NCJTC)  
[https://www.ncjtc.org/BJA1/Pages/BJA1.aspx](https://www.ncjtc.org/BJA1/Pages/BJA1.aspx)

Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3)  
Project Description

Out of increasing concern for the growing consumption and potential misuse of prescription drugs, a growing number of states have implemented Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs). The PDMP is a tool that can be used to address prescription drug diversion and abuse. The programs serve multiple functions, such as improving patient care, providing data analysis that can identify at-risk populations and individuals, and serving as a critical investigation and regulatory tool to reduce diversion and fraud related to controlled substance prescription medications. PDMPs have had strong support across the states, and they have expanded over time.21 Forty-nine of the 50 states (excluding Missouri) have PDMPs, as does one territory (Guam). The District of Columbia is currently working toward completion of a program.

The Harold Rogers Prescription Drug Monitoring Program supports several objectives to improve the operation and enhancement of prescription monitoring programs. The funding program enables states to better collect and analyze controlled substance prescription data through a centralized database administered by an authorized state agency. Program objectives include building a data collection and analysis system at the state level, enhancing existing programs’ ability to analyze and use collected data, facilitating states’ exchange of collected prescription data, and assessing the efficiency and effectiveness of the programs funded under this initiative. Furthermore, the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) provides funds to support the interstate exchange of PDMP data,22 state and local multidisciplinary teams to develop holistic drug abuse reduction strategies, and tribal agencies to partner with existing PDMPs.

Highlighted Reports


This resource highlights research studies, evaluations, surveys, and other reports demonstrating PDMP effectiveness in improving medical care, reducing doctor shopping and prescription fraud, and assisting in drug diversion investigations. The paper synthesizes findings into common themes and trends related to the effects of PDMP. For example, research suggests that use of PDMP data can further clinically appropriate prescribing, improve medical care, and reduce doctor shopping and its contribution to drug-related deaths. Additional findings suggest that states with PDMPs have smaller increases in opiate exposures related to abuse and misuse and lower outpatient drug claims.


This report covers the history of the PDMP and why it was developed. It also covers evidence-based best practices and discusses types of evidence for effectiveness and the relative strength of the methods and evidence used. The report concludes with recommendations and outlines a research agenda, suggesting the kinds of studies needed to produce a stronger evidence base for practices to improve PDMP effectiveness.

This report describes the rise in illicit use of prescription drugs amongst Americans. The report stresses that we must educate our society to the dangers of using and abusing prescription meds. There also needs to be healthcare provider education to the dangers of overprescribing. The report goes into detail about the PDMP, its history, how it is used to detect and prevent the diversion and abuse of prescription drugs, and how it allows for the collection and analysis of prescription data.

NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations
This literature review has not yielded any NIJ-sponsored evaluations related to this program or research area.

OJP-Sponsored Evaluations[^23]
This study examined statewide prescribing patterns of Schedule II and above drugs as recorded through the Wyoming Prescription Drug Monitoring Program, which contains prescribing information for drugs that have high potential for abuse. This method is used to examine the data because this measure quantifies “levels of volume” in prescribing both over time and geographically (with the available data in PDMP). With the non-personally identifiable data set provided by the Wyoming State Board of Pharmacy, the analyses conducted as part of this project cannot definitively determine whether variation in prescribing patterns is due to geographical (or over time) or the remaining factors. The data show that there is substantial variation in prescribing some drugs over time, both statewide and by county, and also by prescriber. This report provides a focused, detailed description of the reality of prescribing patterns in Wyoming over the study period.

Because of an alarming increase in prescription drug overdoses in North Carolina, the Prescription Monitoring Program (PMP) was implemented to collect data on prescription drugs and to make these data available for various uses, including patient care, public health surveillance, and law enforcement investigations. The North Carolina Controlled Substances Reporting System (CSRS) was created to

[^23]: For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the link where access information is located.
monitor substance abusers and to efficiently stop diversion of prescription drugs. This is the first external evaluation of the CSRS since its implementation in 2007. The study sample included registered prescribers. The evaluation included several important findings about the program implementation in the state. For example, a quarter of the providers and pharmacists surveyed were unaware of the CSRS, suggesting the need to include a module that provides instructions on how to access the system and the benefits for using such a system in the North Carolina’s medical, nursing, and school curricula.

**OJP-Sponsored Research**


This guidance document outlines the rationale and basic procedures for unsolicited reporting, including a discussion of criteria and thresholds in PDMP data used to select individuals for reporting, and options for reporting by current PDMP practice.


This briefing describes the status of state mandates and the implementation and outcomes thus far of mandates in Kentucky, Tennessee, and New York. It also highlights policy and implementation issues for states considering mandates. Experience in the states that have implemented PDMPs shows that mandates for registration decrease prescription of commonly abused controlled substances.


This report provides an analysis and summary of trends identified through the Wyoming Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PMP). The data, gathered from the reports received by PMP medical providers, reveal important information on the effects of PMP on doctor shopping: A decrease in unsolicited reports is tied to a reduction in doctor shopping. This is because medical providers in Wyoming have become more informed about their patients’ prescription histories and have used this information for any subsequent prescription. Therefore, the authors of this report concluded that sending out unsolicited reports, the timeliness of prescription history data, quicker report turnaround, and what Wyoming Board of Pharmacy officials described as “good customer service” all seemed to incentivize provider use of the Wyoming PMP system and in turn helps reduce doctor shopping among the population that is tracked by the program.
http://www.pdmpexcellence.org/sites/all/pdfs/nevada_nff_10_26_11.pdf
This report provides an analysis and summary of trends identified through the Nevada Prescription Monitoring Program (PMP). In reviewing the data gathered from the PMP users, the authors concluded that the decision to issue unsolicited reports paid off, both as a strategy to generate awareness and interest in the PMP and as a tool to improve clinical practice and change patient behavior. Nevada’s unsolicited reporting initiative set an important precedent in the design and goals of an effective PMP, one that proactively engages the resources of the medical community in fighting prescription drug abuse.

Academic Articles

This paper presents results of a study seeking to evaluate an online prescription monitoring program shortly after it was implemented in Ohio. The Ohio Automated Rx Reporting System (OARRS) was implemented to monitor controlled substance prescriptions within Ohio. Therefore this study is identifies the influence of OARRS data on clinical management of emergency department (ED) patients with painful conditions. The OARRS data revealed that among 179 participants, high numbers of narcotics prescriptions were filled in the more recent 12 months. Numerous providers prescribed narcotics for patients. Patients had filled narcotics prescriptions at different pharmacies. Eighteen providers are represented in the study. Four providers treated 63% (N=114) of the patients in the study. After review of the OARRS data, providers changed the clinical management in 41% (N=74) of cases. In cases of altered management, the majority (61%; N=45) resulted in fewer or no opioid medications prescribed than originally planned, whereas 39% (N=29) resulted in more opioid medication than previously planned. Following the analysis, the study concluded that a statewide narcotic registry frequently altered prescribing behavior for management of ED patients with complaints of nontraumatic pain.

Many states have implemented electronic prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMPs) in an effort to deter abuse of controlled substances. This study evaluates the impact of state PDMPs on opioid dispensing. The study found that the annual MMEs dispensed per capita increased progressively until 2007 before stabilizing. Adjusting for temporal trends and demographic characteristics, implementation of state PDMPs was associated with a 3% decrease in MMEs dispensed per capita (p=0.68). The impact of PDMPs on MMEs dispensed per capita varied markedly by state, from a 66% decrease in Colorado to a 61% increase in Connecticut. Based on the findings, it was concluded that implementation of state PDMPs up to 2008 did not show a significant impact on per capita opioids dispensed. The authors recommend that to control the diversion and abuse of prescription drugs, state PDMPs may need to improve their usability, implement requirements for committee oversight of the PDMP, and increase data sharing with neighboring states.

In response to increasing abuse of prescription drugs, almost all states have implemented monitoring programs to track prescriptions of controlled medications. Although these programs were originally designed to help law enforcement officials and regulatory agencies spot possible illegal activity, health care providers have begun to use this data to improve patient safety and quality of care. In this study, the authors reviewed government documents, expert white papers, articles from the peer-reviewed medical literature, and reports of the experiences of local health officials and concluded that prescription
drug monitoring programs are a benefit to both law enforcement and health care delivery. However, the programs and their overall impact on drug abuse and illegal activity remains unclear. The study recommends that improving the efficacy of prescription drug monitoring programs will require such changes as more standardization and interstate cooperation, better training of providers, more secure funding, and further evaluation.


The purpose of this study is to evaluate the influence of attending physician awareness and utilization of a state prescription monitoring program on resident physician behavior. Twenty-five attending physicians and 70 residents in Emergency Medicine, Internal Medicine, Neurology, Pediatrics, and Psychiatry completed an 11-item questionnaire assessing awareness and utilization of a state prescription drug monitoring program. Residents who used the system had, on average, a higher proportion of supervising attendings using the system; residents required to utilize the system had the highest proportion of attendings using the system. Overall, almost 90% of the physicians who utilized the system did so due to concerns surrounding prescription drug abuse. Over one third of attending physicians reported increasing the quantity or amount of medication prescribed after utilizing the system, while no residents reported similar outcomes. Through the behavioral influence of supervising attending physicians, residents were significantly more likely to utilize the system.


PDMPs are state-based registries of prescriptions for specific controlled substances. This overview describes the history and funding of these databases, addresses the characteristics thought to be of greatest utility for PDMPs, and reviews current literature regarding their effectiveness and potential limitations. Although more extensive research on PDMP outcomes is needed, these databases are an essential component in ongoing efforts to establish safe and compassionate prescription opioid stewardship.


This independent evaluation of KASPER consisted of five main components: a review of the current status of PMDPs in the United States; interviews with key stakeholders of the KASPER program, including professional licensure boards and law enforcement officials; a survey of KASPER system users; an analysis of KASPER usage, including the impact of KASPER on healthcare and law enforcement; and, finally, use of national and other relevant data sets (including ARCOS, TEDS, and Kentucky Medicaid) to assess the potential impact of KASPER on CS diversion and abuse. Insight into the value of the KASPER program was gleaned from stakeholder interviews. Analysis of national data sets revealed that the distribution of controlled substances to Kentucky and its contiguous states continues to rise, as does the rate of admission to substance abuse treatment facilities for opiate abuse.

Electronic prescription monitoring programs (PMPs) have been developed in many states as a public health surveillance tool. The authors have analyzed eleven years of Massachusetts PMP data to evaluate trends in opioid prescribing, dispensing, and usage. The researchers found that the number of prescriptions, doses prescribed, and individuals receiving Schedule II prescription opioids steadily increased from 1996 to 2006. Most individuals (87.5%) used 1–2 prescribers, 1–2 pharmacies, and had no early refills (2006). The greater the number of prescribers used, the greater the number of pharmacies used. When defined as the use of ≥4 prescribers and ≥4 pharmacies, questionable activity accounted for 2,748 individuals, 47,953 prescriptions, and 2,966,056 doses (2006). The Schedule II opioid most highly associated with questionable activity was short-acting oxycodone. The research concluded that PMPs can become a useful public health surveillance tool to monitor the medical and non-medical use of prescription opioids and to inform public health and safety policy.


The authors reflect on the study on the effectiveness of prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMPs). They argue that the utilization of PDMPs by health care providers was not assessed or accounted for when determining the impact PDMPs had on overdose mortality or opioid consumption rates. They suggest that the findings of the study serves as a call-to-action for health care providers and policymakers to fully embrace PDMPs as an intervention to improve public health.


The researchers analyzed demographic and drug overdose mortality data for state-quarters with and without PDMPs in 50 states and the District of Columbia between 1999 and 2008. During the 10-year study period, there were a total of 2040 state-quarters (10×4×51; the District of Columbia was treated as a state), including 619 in which PDMPs were operational in 31 states with varying implementation dates. They estimated adjusted risk ratios (aRRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of drug overdose mortality associated with the implementation of state PDMPs through multivariable negative binomial regression modeling. The study concluded that implementation of PDMPs did not reduce drug overdose mortality in most states through 2008. It further concluded that we need program enhancements that help healthcare practitioners access and use prescription drug monitoring data systems.


This comprehensive health policy review of the prescription drug abuse epidemic is based on the written and oral testimony of witnesses at a July 26, 2006 congressional hearing, including that of Laxmaiah Manchikanti, M.D., the chief executive officer of the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians and additions from review of the literature. The purpose of the hearing was to explore the extent to which Federal efforts are aimed at reducing the incidence of prescription drug abuse and the success of such efforts. The subcommittee was particularly focused on exploring the extent to which the Food and Drug Administration and the Drug Enforcement Agency are working to minimizing the abuse and diversion of controlled substances. This is a comprehensive policy review seeking to present the causes of the prescription drug abuse epidemic, what is being done about it, and further suggestions for future research.

There has been an increase in the prescribing of opioids in an effort to improve management of chronic pain, and this has led to enhanced availability of controlled substances for diversion and abuse. Evidence suggests that the use of prescription monitoring programs (PMPs) may help curb this growing public health issue. The current use of PMPs varies widely from state to state, but there have been important changes improving interconnectivity of PMPs across states. This paper describes data on the effect of prescription monitoring programs on reducing abuse and diversion of controlled substances. It also offers suggestions on how PMPs may be incorporated into practice and clinical decisionmaking to ensure appropriate pain management and patient safety.


This is an observational study of the United States during 1999 and 2005. The study seeks to determine the rates of drug overdose mortality, opioid overdose mortality, and opioid consumption by state. The researchers found that PDMPs were not significantly associated with lower rates of drug overdose or opioid overdose mortality or lower rates of consumption of opioid drugs. When compared, PDMP states consumed significantly greater amounts of hydrocodone and not significantly lower amounts of Schedule II opioids. Furthermore, during 1999 and 2005, overdose mortality rates were significantly lower in three PDMP states (California, New York, and Texas) that required use of special prescription forms. The researchers concluded that while PDMPs are an important tool to prevent the nonmedical use of prescribed controlled substances, their impact is not reflected in drug overdose mortality rates. Their effect on overall consumption of opioids appears to be minimal. They recommend that PDMP data must be used in improved ways to address the problem of prescription drug overdoses.


Death by drug overdose has nearly doubled in the United States from 1999 to 2004, with most of the increase due to prescription drug overdoses. Studying mortality rates in states that did not experience such increases may identify successful prescription overdose prevention strategies. The authors compared New York, a state that did not experience an overdose increase, with its neighbor, Pennsylvania. New York and Pennsylvania had prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMPs), but New York’s PDMP was better funded and made use of serialized, tamperproof prescription forms. Per capita usage of the major prescription opioids in New York was two-thirds that of Pennsylvania. The drug overdose death rate in Pennsylvania was 1.6 times that of New York in 2006.


The following study calls for the need to develop an understanding and reach in the effects of PDMPs on prescribing practices and the perspective of health practitioners on PDMPs. The paper argues that there is a lack of research in this area, where only 11 articles were published in this topic in the last ten years. The growing concern regarding the rise of prescription drug abuse worldwide necessitates the development of more research to help better understand behavior of health care professionals and patients towards PDMPs. Despite implementation of PDMPs, prescription drug rates for controlled substances and abuse rates have risen.
Other Articles

The purpose of this study was to develop cost-benefit models that could be used to assess the potential impact of a PDMP in Wisconsin. Based on economic efficiency, the authors recommend that the Pharmacy Examining Board contract with the vendor Health Information Designs (HID) to implement and run Wisconsin’s PDMP.

This report highlights the accomplishments of the Florida PDMP in reducing abuse, misuse, and diversion of controlled substance prescription drugs in the program’s second full year of implementation. The Florida Department of Health has collaborated with law enforcement agencies, the Attorney General’s office, and privacy stakeholders to strengthen policies that protect health information. Overall, Florida has seen promising results since the implementation of the program. For example, there is a significant decrease in deaths caused by oxycodone, and overall drug deaths fell by 10 percent. Additionally, prescriptions for drugs like oxycodone and methadone fell by 24 percent. Furthermore, the program has contributed to a decrease in doctor shopping and controlled substance-related deaths, while supporting sound clinical prescribing, dispensing, and use of controlled substances.

This study examined differences between PDMP users and non-users and how clinicians in various specialties use PDMPs in practice. The study presents the results of a random sample study of Oregon providers. The survey had 1,065 respondents. Clinicians in emergency medicine, primary care, and pain and addiction specialties were the largest number of registrants but many frequent prescribers of controlled substances were not registered to use the PDMP. Among users, 95% reported accessing the PDMP when they suspected a patient of abuse or diversion, but fewer than half would check it for every new patient or every time they prescribe a controlled drug. Nearly all PDMP users reported that they discuss worrisome PDMP data with patients; 54% reported making mental health or substance abuse referrals, and 36% reported sometimes discharging patients from the practice. Clinicians reported frequent patient denial or anger, and only occasional requests for help with drug dependence. The authors recommend the need for more research in order to optimize how clinicians use PDMPs across settings, and how clinicians and patients respond to the data. A better understanding of effective PDMP use will facilitate access to treatment for patients with pain, while curbing the prescription drug epidemic, and may ultimately reduce abuse, misuse, and overdose death.

http://muskie.usm.maine.edu/Publications/ihp/PrescDrugMonitoringPgrmExecSum.pdf  
This is an evaluation of Maine’s PDMP, seeking to assess its effect on reducing prescription drug abuse and overdose. The original intent of the PDMP in the state was to act as a public health and clinical intervention tool rather than as a law enforcement tool. The researchers found that over time, the prescription monitoring program has grown steadily, with the largest growth occurring with the introduction of the Web portal.
This report outlines ONDCP’s key accomplishments in coordinating a government-wide public health and public safety approach to reducing drug use and its consequences in the United States. The report identified key areas for reducing prescription drug abuse.

Program Design and Evaluation Services (PDES) developed a survey administered to providers who used PDMP in Oregon to assess the early use of the system. The report presents the survey findings. Surveys were sent to 1,100 providers chosen randomly from board lists of licensed medical doctors, physician assistants, nurse practitioners, doctors of osteopathy, dentists, and pharmacists. The response rate was 62 percent, and the final sample size was 675. Overall there was very positive feedback about the program. Furthermore, the report concludes that it will be useful to develop solutions for barriers to registering and using the PDMP system. Other suggestions related to improving the system interface to improve usability.

This is a report presenting an analysis of data from the Virginia PMP program. Some highlights of the data presented indicate that the program experienced exceptional growth in 2010. The program also processed a large number of requests during the year. The number of individuals receiving prescriptions for controlled substances in Schedules II-IV increased slightly in 2010. This seems to demonstrate that the existence of the program does not prevent individuals from receiving controlled substances for legitimate medical purposes, nor does its existence appear to have a “chilling effect” on the prescribing habits of physicians treating those individuals.

Websites
PDMP Center of Excellence (COE)  
http://www.pdmpexcellence.org/
Office of National Drug Control Policy, Prescription Drug Abuse  
http://www.whitehouse.gov/ondcp/prescription-drug-abuse
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration  
http://www.samhsa.gov/prescription-drug-misuse-abuse
Human Trafficking Reporting System (HTRS); Anti-Human Trafficking Task Force Initiative

Project Description

The Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) of 2000 (P.L. 106-386) was passed by Congress and signed into law by two U.S. Presidents. The TVPA was reauthorized through the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act (TVPRA) of 2003 (P.L. 108-193), the TVPRA of 2005 (P.L. 109-164), and the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-457). The TVPA and its reauthorizations seek to combat human trafficking by punishing traffickers, protecting victims, and mobilizing U.S. government agencies to wage a global anti-trafficking campaign. These Acts contain significant mandates for the U.S. Departments of State, Justice, Labor, Homeland Security, and Health and Human Services (HHS) and the U.S. Agency for International Development.

Since 2002, when the State of Washington enacted the first human trafficking criminal statute in a U.S. state, more than three fourths of the states have passed legislation making human trafficking a felony offense.

In summer 2004, following the First National Human Trafficking Conference, the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) began building on the efforts of the Office for Victims of Crime (OVC) to assist victims of human trafficking. BJA’s efforts have been two-pronged: (1) to develop training for law enforcement and communities to identify trafficking in persons and rescue victims by working with Federal law enforcement and victims service providers; and (2) to support and fund task forces (in coordination with OVC and HHS) based on a sound strategy of collaboration among state and local enforcement, trafficking victim services providers, Federal law enforcement, and U.S. Attorney Offices. These efforts have been funded under the National Training and Technical Assistance: Anti-Human Trafficking Training for Law Enforcement and State Prosecutors Program and the Enhanced Collaborative Model to Combat Human Trafficking Program. The latter is jointly funded by BJA and OVC. The OVC Training and Technical Assistance Center (OVC TTAC) has put together a document for use by anti-human trafficking task forces and service providers that contains a directory of training and technical assistance resources for anti-human trafficking task forces and service providers.24

NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations


In 2002, Caliber Associates (now ICF International), along with the Urban Institute, began an evaluation of the Comprehensive Services for Victims of Human Trafficking. The primary goals of the evaluation

24 The TTA providers included in the directory have the ability to assist practitioners across the nation; they have been vetted by OVC and the BJA Planning Committee. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Office for Victims of Crime (2012). Directory of Training and Technical Assistance Resources for Anti-Human Trafficking Task Forces and Service Providers. Washington, DC: Author. [https://www.ovcttac.gov/downloads/TTADirectory/HT_TTA_Directory_508c_12-5-12_FINAL.pdf]
were to determine the effectiveness of the Comprehensive Services in helping trafficking victims to access appropriate and adequate services, and to document the development and implementation of coordinated service delivery networks so others can learn from this experience and implement similar programs. The evaluation findings present evidence that the three Comprehensive Services initiatives had made significant progress toward achieving their shared overarching goals of improving service provision for victims. They have done this, for example, by increasing availability of services that adequately met most client needs as reported by service providers and victims themselves, among others.


Human trafficking occurs on an enormous scale in the United States, but only a fraction of victims are identified, which hinders both provision of victim services and prosecution of traffickers. This study validated and tested a comprehensive screening tool to improve identification, victim services, and law enforcement efforts on a nationwide scale. The Vera Institute of Justice worked with 11 service providers and also facilitated participatory evaluation by conducting focus groups and 36 interviews with service providers, trafficking survivors, and law enforcement personnel to identify best practices in implementation of the screening tool. The study identified good practices in victim identification. The screening tool accurately measures several dimensions of human trafficking and is highly reliable in predicting victimization for both sex and labor trafficking across diverse subgroups.

**OJP-Sponsored Research**

Human trafficking is a multifaceted issue stretching beyond international borders. Its complexity requires examination of causes, practices, and responses to the crime so that countries can work together effectively against it. The articles in this section serve as evidence of the continuing efforts of the United States to eliminate human trafficking nationally and internationally. Topics for these articles include evaluation of U.S. policies and challenges in combating the issue.


This research used case studies from 1992 to 2002 to identify intervention points at each stage of the process of trafficking in persons in the United States. The findings presented in this report address the characteristics of trafficking victims, methods traffickers used to recruit and control victims, types of work victims were forced to perform, ways victims escaped from the control of traffickers, the nature and effectiveness of law enforcement and prosecution efforts, and the effectiveness of victims services. The study concludes that a lack of understanding of trafficking in persons as a crime, a lack of sensitivity to trafficking victims, a lack of law enforcement training for dealing with the crime, and the public’s demand for cheap goods and services all contribute to the continuation of trafficking and forced labor in the United States.


http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/cshti0810.pdf

This report—the second in a Bureau of Justice Statistics series on the characteristics of human trafficking investigations, suspects, and victims—focuses on case outcomes, including suspect arrests and the visa status of confirmed victims. It describes the characteristics of incidents entered into the Human Trafficking Reporting System (HTRS) by the federally funded task force beginning in 2008.

---

25 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.

This study examined the understanding of human trafficking among law enforcement agencies currently working on this criminal enterprise, describes how these agencies are responding to it, and discusses the implications of this response for trafficking victims. A significant percentage of state and local law enforcement personnel in key cities with known human trafficking activity were not fully informed about the nature, prevalence, and patterns of human trafficking. By increasing law enforcement personnel's knowledge and awareness of this crime, they will be better able to seek the support and technical assistance needed to identify this crime, respond to it, and assist its victims. Respondents indicated they were benefiting from human-trafficking response protocols in their daily law enforcement work.


This study examined the effectiveness of existing U.S. Federal and state legislation in providing prosecutors with the tools needed to gain convictions of those charged with human trafficking, defined as “the use of force, fraud, or coercion to exploit a person for profit.” The study suggested several important findings. Just over 85 percent of the 298 cases prosecuted under the Federal Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) during the past 7 years have resulted in convictions. Prosecutors experienced in managing human-trafficking cases have collaborated with local, state, and Federal law enforcement agencies and nongovernmental organizations; assisted victims in accessing services; identified key evidence; and used proven prosecutorial techniques. Convictions are just one measure of success.


This project addresses four main areas: (1) the perceptions of trafficking held by law enforcement, and the preparation agencies have taken to address the problem; (2) the frequency in which law enforcement identifies and investigates cases of human trafficking; (3) the characteristics of those cases investigated by law enforcement; and (4) the investigation and prosecution of human trafficking cases.


The authors surveyed residential programs for trafficking victims for the purpose of sharing available programs and services with other jurisdictions so that they might better serve victims of trafficking. This literature would contribute to wider knowledge about the availability of these programs. The study found that 33 residential programs had been implemented, 2 of which were in Illinois. However, 28 states did not have residential programs for victims of sex trafficking. Other important findings revealed that most programs accepted both national and international victims, and the majority of the programs were designated for minor victims of sex trafficking. The paper also included other significant findings regarding characteristics of residential programs.

**Academic Articles**


The Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) was passed in 2000 and expanded and reauthorized in 2003, 2005, 2008, and 2013. Millions of dollars have been spent to achieve the Act’s stated goals of
protecting trafficking victims, prosecuting traffickers, and preventing human trafficking. To understand why the TVPA has fallen short of its goals, it must be analyzed in the context of its legal antecedents: the labor, immigration, and sex trafficking laws that existed before the TVPA and that form the bulk of its substantive provisions. This article demonstrates that long before the TVPA was enacted, legal and policy decisions were made affecting each of these three goals that continue to exacerbate the domestic manifestations of human trafficking and the related exploitation of undocumented migrant workers.


This article examines the significance of U.S. sanctions for the fragile new international cooperation framework established under the 2000 UN Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children. It begins by putting the U.S. rise to dominance in broader historical and political context, describing the controversies that plagued development of the Protocol and that continue to influence U.S. trafficking policy. The article then examines critiques of U.S. unilateralism through the lens of international law, and derives a critical framework for assessing U.S. sanctions.


This article presents the results of a study examining the challenges facing the U.S. criminal justice system in its efforts to combat human trafficking. Findings from the study include the following: (1) identifying victims of human trafficking can be extremely difficult, because perpetrators hide and move their victims; (2) the cultural and organizational characteristics of police agencies, including a lack of proactive strategies, can hinder efforts to identify victims; (3) victims are reluctant to cooperate with investigators, because they fear retaliation from traffickers or they distrust law enforcement; (4) law enforcement officers often have negative stereotypes about victims of human trafficking, especially those involved in prostitution and drug use; and (5) many human trafficking cases are often cross-jurisdictional.


This article outlines what human trafficking is and why the Victim Protection Act fails to protect sex trafficking victims. The passage of the TVPA in 2000 identified the scope and the severity of the human trafficking problem, as well as an attempt to provide protection and relief to its victims globally and domestically. This article argues that domestic victims are not being served by the Act’s rigid provisions and unjust requirements that must be met before relief is granted. As a result, it recommends that Congress must reexamine provisions within the Act, such as certification procedures of the TVPA. To improve services of trafficking victims, lawmakers and officials must understand the unique needs of this population and the complexity of their circumstances so they may accurately reflect this understanding in both the language and enforcement of the TVPA.


Anti-trafficking efforts have been adopted globally to curb human trafficking, yet many nations have failed to put initiatives into practice. This exploratory study investigates the efficacy of this policy initiative by means of a longitudinal assessment of the TIP Report’s tier classifications, a system that grades countries based on anti-trafficking initiatives, and determines if U.S.-funded anti-trafficking initiatives internationally target those countries in need. The findings suggest that tier ranking has not improved over time, and the United States has failed to systematically allocate funds based on the recommendations of the tier classification system. Policy recommendations and implications for future research are discussed.
**Other Reports**

The articles in this section outline the results of the human trafficking task forces established nationally and internationally to combat human trafficking. Some of the task forces are the result of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000. These reports demonstrate the ongoing effort to eradicate human trafficking.


The Attorney General of California’s Department of Justice, Kamala D. Harris, created the Human Trafficking work group in 2012 to examine the nature and scope of human trafficking in California, as well as to evaluate the state’s efforts in combatting it. This report reflects the Work Group discussions held during a 3-day meeting and includes supplemental research and investigation by the California Department of Justice.


On September 30, 2002, the International Justice Mission (IJM) received a 3-year cooperative agreement for U.S. $702,907 from the United States Department of Labor (USDOL) to implement the Thailand Sex Trafficking Task Force: Prevention and Placement. A final independent evaluation of this project was conducted in 2006 to (1) determine whether the project achieved its stated objectives, (2) assess project impacts and sustainability, (3) identify lessons learned and good practices, and (4) provide recommendations for improving future project design. The objective of the Thailand Sex Trafficking Task Force: Prevention and Placement was to contribute to reducing victimization of minors who have been trafficked or who are at risk of being trafficked into commercial sexual exploitation in northern Thailand. The USDOL-funded components of this project focused on prevention activities to lower the vulnerability of the population at risk for trafficking and on placement activities to connect victims with educational and vocational opportunities.


This report presents the accomplishments of the task force during the first year of their operation. Some of their accomplishments include establishing a victims service program; offering statewide training on human trafficking to law enforcement, prosecutors, and service providers; establishing collaboration between various state government agencies, law enforcement, and nongovernmental entities; and conducting awareness-raising activities statewide.


This report presents a guide to addressing the issue of human trafficking in Massachusetts. The task force makes recommendations on how to coordinate services for victims in an efficient and effective way, with the goal of developing a model for other states. The report makes recommendations in the areas of victim services, demand reduction, data collection and information sharing, education and training, and public awareness.
This annual report presents information on the 2012 findings from the Texas Human Trafficking Task Force. The 82nd Legislature enacted significant improvements to human trafficking prevention laws in Texas. Findings show that law enforcement training has improved. Law enforcement and prosecutors responded to human trafficking with a clear message by assisting with compassionate recovery of victims and determined prosecution of offenders. The Human Trafficking Prevention Task Force is developing guidelines for victim service providers for both adults and minors. Increasing initiatives that focus on specific populations such as at-risk youth, medical professionals, and school personnel will strengthen Texas’s ability to prevent victimization and identify vulnerable victims. Future initiatives should focus on developing response systems for victims, expanding current awareness and education efforts, and implementing proven tactics across Texas.

This report summarizes the task force’s recommendations on how to better identify victims and public awareness of human trafficking. The report outlines several recommendations for methods of raising awareness of the issue, including high-profile media campaigns and education for youth on the subject of human and sex trafficking. Other suggestions including training law enforcement, establishing safe shelters, and evaluating legislation to allow expungement of prior prostitution convictions of trafficking victims.

This resource highlights activities associated with Hawaii Anti-Trafficking Task Force and Coalition efforts, established through BJA program funds.

https://www.ovcttac.gov/taskforceguide/  
This guide was developed jointly by the Department of Justice’s Office for Victims of Crime (OVC) and the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). It is intended as a resource for both established and new Task Forces. Established Task Forces can use it to enhance existing operations or as a tool to help revitalize Task Force efforts and operations. The guide offers direction and development to form new Task Forces to combat human trafficking. It presents a stage-by-stage outline, from startup and needs assessment, to composition and structure of the group and Task Force meeting dynamics. This guide can also be used as a tool to determine the strengths and weaknesses of the group according to the recommended structures and activities described in each section, to learn about new or creative approaches, and to highlight useful Task Force documents and resources.

http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/82902.pdf  
This report outlines the efforts Federal agencies undertook in 2006 to help victims of human trafficking. With assistance from grants, offenders are investigated, prosecuted, and sentenced. The U.S. commitment to combating human trafficking through various efforts, including aiding domestic and international law enforcement and NGOs, are also described in this report.

The *Trafficking in Persons [TIP] Report* is one of the most comprehensive reviews of government efforts to fight human trafficking. This is an updated global outlook on human trafficking, outlining the impact it has on the world. It further addresses policy approaches (victim rescue, health impact, supply and demand), as well as many topics of special interest that allow for a greater understanding of the scope and nature of human trafficking.


This is a manual that is intended to be used by victim service agency staff and other social service providers who will administer the Trafficking Victim Identification Tool (TVIT) to clients who are potential trafficking victims. This manual was developed by the Vera Institute of Justice supported by extensive research and collaborating with leading legal and victim services agencies in the United States to develop a validated screening tool and related best practices to identify trafficked persons. The Vera Institute determined that the tool is highly reliable in predicting both sex and labor trafficking in women and men and among U.S. and international victims.

*Websites*

National Human Trafficking Resource Center

Polaris Project
http://www.polarisproject.org/
Intellectual Property Theft Enforcement Program (IPEP)

Project Description
The Intellectual Property Theft Enforcement Program (IPEP), administered by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), helps state and local criminal justice systems enhance their capacity to prevent and prosecute IP crimes. The program funds IP crime enforcement, investigations, and prosecutions and also supports education about IP crime laws for law enforcement professionals and members of the public. In addition, the program funds task forces to conduct investigations and acquire equipment to conduct forensic analysis of evidence.  

Note: No program evaluations were found. The listed articles illustrate the need for this type of program and offer information on what action the government is taking to combat intellectual property theft.

NIJ and Other OJP-Sponsored Evaluations  
None at this time.

Other Academic Research
http://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1421&context=iplj&sei-redir=1&referer=http%3A%2F%2 
The article explores the inception of intellectual property crimes and current criminal penalties. It focuses on why the Federal government has recently prioritized this area and is now prosecuting offenders. The author concludes that vigorous prosecution and education are effective in helping to deter these crimes.

http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/cjla29&div=30&g_sent=1&collection=journals#491  
This article suggests a need for stronger domestic enforcement, more resources, updated laws, and greater international cooperation in enforcement of intellectual property rights. Moreover, without a sustained international commitment to implement these recommendations, the author believes they will have little impact on intellectual property crime.

More in-depth information about the program can be found at the following website:  
https://www.bja.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?Program_ID=64

For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
Other Reports

In this report, GAO (1) examines roles, priorities, and resources devoted to IP-related enforcement in key Federal agencies; (2) evaluates IP-related enforcement statistics and achievements among agencies; and (3) examines the status of the National Intellectual Property Rights Coordination Center. GAO reviewed relevant documents, interviewed officials in five key agencies, and analyzed agency IP enforcement data from fiscal years 2001 through 2006.

This report outlines the U.S. Government’s coordinated efforts to address the challenges of enforcing intellectual property of U.S. rightsholders abroad. These efforts include securing supply chains; pursuing sources of counterfeit and pirated goods; and meeting the challenges posed by emerging criminal trends, such as the online sales of counterfeit pharmaceuticals, economic espionage, and targeted theft of trade secrets.

Websites

National White Collar Crime Center (NW3C)
http://www.nw3c.org/

Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI): Intellectual Property Theft
http://www.fbi.gov/about-us/investigate/cyber/ipr

National Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Coordination Center
http://www.iprcenter.gov/

U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ): Intellectual Property Task Force
http://www.justice.gov/dag/iptaskforce/
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG)

Project Description
The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program, administered by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), is the leading source of Federal justice funding to state and local jurisdictions. The JAG Program provides states, tribes, and local governments with critical funding necessary to support a range of program areas, including law enforcement; prosecution, courts, and indigent defense; crime prevention and education; corrections and community corrections; drug treatment and enforcement; program planning, evaluation, and technology improvement; and crime victim and witness initiatives. Grantees conduct a broad range of activities with JAG funds, including hiring and maintaining staff, paying for overtime, training, and buying equipment and/or supplies.28

Highlighted Report

NIJ-Sponsored Evaluation29

This NIJ assessment of the Byrne program found that it was well implemented at the state level. States generally complied with statutory requirements for strategic planning and for Federal review of plans, and regulatory constraints were observed. The strategic planning process resulted in better use of resources and gave states a valuable opportunity to introduce long-term considerations into their criminal justice systems. The assessment concluded that the Byrne program fostered advances in coordination and cooperation, in particular through multijurisdictional task forces. However, the assessment also discussed how structural factors in the program made it difficult to meet program evaluation requirements.

Other Evaluation

This research, conducted by the Brennan Center for Justice, examines a new approach for funding corrections strategies aimed at reducing mass incarceration. Current research indicates that although crime and violence rates have fallen in recent years, often to historically low levels, the rate of mass incarceration has increased, with almost $80 billion spent annually to house the Nation’s inmates. One program offered by the Department of Justice (DOJ), the JAG program, provides funding to state and local governments to help them deal with rising criminal justice costs. This report proposes changing JAG criteria to more closely align with today’s criminal justice goals and policies. The proposal calls for DOJ

28 More in-depth information about the program can be found at the following website: https://www.bja.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?Program_ID=59
29 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
to reorient JAG’s performance measures to encourage states to modernize their criminal justice policies and practices with more effective and successful ways proven to reduce crime, while reducing mass incarceration rates.

**Other Reports**


This report highlights the JAG funding model and 2013 annual allocation.


This report presents data on the impact of the JAG Program on state and local criminal justice systems in Missouri and updates its annual JAG strategic plan. Within the report, the Missouri Department of Public Safety evaluated programs across all JAG purpose areas, using quarterly performance measurement data.


This report highlights the work done in the states to bring about real and lasting change in their criminal justice systems. Specific state projects in almost every U.S. state are reviewed.


This report highlights activities funded by the JAG program for the April 2011–March 2012 reporting period.


[https://www.bja.gov/Publications/JAG_Fact_Sheet.pdf](https://www.bja.gov/Publications/JAG_Fact_Sheet.pdf)

This fact sheet gives an overview of the JAG program as well as answers to a number of frequently asked questions.


[https://www.bja.gov/Publications/JAG_LE_Grant_Activity_03-13.pdf](https://www.bja.gov/Publications/JAG_LE_Grant_Activity_03-13.pdf)

This report highlights activities funded by the JAG program for the April 2012–March 2013 reporting period.

**Website**

Bureau of Justice Assistance: State and Territory Fact Sheets

Justice and Mental Health Collaboration Program (JMHCP)

Project Description

The Justice and Mental Health Collaboration Program (JMHCP) started in 2004 with the passage of the Mentally Ill Offender Treatment and Crime Reduction Act. This was a response to requests from state government officials for improvements “to the criminal justice system’s response to people with mental illness.”

The purpose of the program is to “increase public safety” by promoting collaboration among “criminal justice, juvenile justice, mental health treatment, and substance abuse systems to increase access to treatment” for this particular population of offenders. Since a large proportion of adult and juvenile offenders have mental illness or co-occurring disorders, JMHCP focuses on training for the criminal justice field on how to deal with mental illness while also offering training to mental health treatment specialists on how to deal with the criminal aspects of this population. Another goal is to foster communication between the criminal justice agents and the treatment professionals to make sure that all clients receive the care and services they need.

Note: JMHCP focuses on both criminal justice agencies and mental health practitioners. Many studies look at mental illness, but not necessarily at both the criminal justice system and mental health services. The research cited here incorporates both, either by evaluating JMHCP programs (or programs that fit the description) or by focusing on a need for both mental health services and criminal justice to join together to create treatment options for this population.

NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations

Stevens, J., Kelleher, K., et al. (2014). Aftercare Services for Juvenile Parolees with Mental Disorders: A Collaboration Between the Ohio Department of Youth Services (DYS) and Columbus Children’s Research Institute [NCJ-245574]. Columbus, OH: Ohio Department of Youth Services. NIJ Sponsored. 

Stevens and colleagues looked at care received by juveniles reentering the community. Most of these juveniles had at least one mental health disorder. The study found that there is a need for the correctional facilities to be more involved with the aftercare of the juveniles. They study found “inadequate or interrupted care” led to higher recidivism rates. They stressed the need for more collaboration between the criminal justice system and community services to prevent juveniles with mental health disorders from returning to the criminal justice system.

1 www.bja.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?Program_ID=66
31 This study was included because though it does not fall under an evaluation for a JMHCP program, it does stress the need for more collaboration between correctional facilities and mental health treatment services, which is an underlying goal of the program.
OJP-Sponsored Evaluations


This Florida case study is part of a technical assistance project launched by the Council of State Governments (CSG) and NIC to improve collaboration between corrections and mental health agencies. Even though the report does not mention JMHCP, the programs that are listed would fall under the same program categories that JMHCP funds.

OJP-Sponsored Reports


This report highlights the activities and outcomes from the awarding of JMHCP funds to Teton County, Wyoming.


This resource provides information about BJA’s JMHCP, including what its purpose is, what award categories it funds, what technical assistance it offers, and how to access a program’s database and learning sites.


This document is the last of a series of reports on performance measures submitted by JMHCP grantees before the measures were revised in January 2012.


This report goes over innovative policies and procedures that law enforcement agencies have created while working with mental health agencies and community groups. These new programs improve encounters between law enforcement personnel and people with mental illness.


This report examines how states have developed structures and standards to make police encounters with people with mental illnesses safer for all involved and to produce better mental health and criminal justice system outcomes. The report offers a starting point for policymakers, practitioners, and others interested in planning or enhancing a statewide initiative to support local-level specialized policing responses such as crisis intervention and law enforcement/mental health co-response teams.

32 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
This training resource guide synthesizes the key lessons learned by several jurisdictions that have developed training for police officers to help them interact effectively and appropriately with people who have mental illnesses.

This guide examines studies on law enforcement interactions with people with mental illnesses and translates the findings to help policymakers and practitioners develop safe and effective interventions.

https://www.bja.gov/Publications/LE_Essential_Elements.pdf
This publication identifies 10 key components found in any successful law enforcement initiative to foster better outcomes in officer encounters with people with mental illnesses. The report also discusses how these key elements can help guide individuals in communities that are interested in developing a law enforcement-based program or improving the organization and functions of an existing one.

This report presents a conceptual and practical framework for juvenile justice and mental health systems to use when developing strategies, policies, and services aimed at improving mental health services for youth involved with the juvenile justice system. The result is a model to identify and treat mental disorders among youth at key stages of the juvenile justice process. The authors stress the need for collaboration between the juvenile justice system and mental health facilities so that juveniles can receive the proper treatment. This will help these youth to cycle out of the criminal justice system.

Academic Research

http://forensicsocialworkandthelawblog.wordpress.com/
http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10464-011-9484-4#page-1
The author identifies the “systematic factors” that contribute to the “inappropriate incarceration of youth with serious mental illness.” This paper also reviews ongoing efforts to address this problem and makes recommendations on how it could be fixed. It does not cover a JMHCP program but does propose that JMHCP-type programs should be used to curb overincarceration.
Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT)

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.  
Program summary: Also known as outpatient commitment (OPC), AOT is a civil legal procedure whereby a judge can order an individual with a serious mental illness to follow a court-ordered treatment plan while living in the community. 
Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=228

http://ps.psychiatryonline.org/article.aspx?articleID=101586 
http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=228

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.  
Gilbert and colleagues found that the odds of arrest in any given month for participants who were currently receiving Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) were significantly lower than the odds for participants in the reference group, which included individuals who had signed a voluntary service agreement but not started AOT as well as those who had not signed a voluntary service agreement. The odds of arrest were nearly two-thirds lower for participants currently receiving AOT, compared with the odds of arrest for the reference group.

http://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/bitstream/handle/2027.42/84915/LinkEpperson_2010.pdf?sequence=1 
http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=228

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.  
Within-group analyses conducted by Link and colleagues showed that the risk of arrest was significantly higher for individuals during the period before AOT than during AOT. Though the risk of arrest went up slightly after AOT was discontinued, this difference was not significant. For an individual who had ever received AOT, the risk of any arrest was 2.66 times greater before AOT than it was while receiving AOT. The between-group results showed that the risk of arrest among individuals in the comparison group who were never assigned to AOT was significantly higher than the risk of arrest for the AOT group while they were assigned to AOT. Compared with individuals during and shortly after the period of assignment to AOT, the comparison group who never received AOT had nearly double the odds of arrest.

http://bjp.rcpsych.org/content/176/4/324.long 
http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=228

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.  
An initial analysis performed by Swanson and colleagues found there was no significant difference in the rate of violence between the group randomly assigned to involuntary outpatient commitment (OPC) and the control group (32.3 percent in the OPC group versus 36.8 percent in the control group). Further analysis looked at whether OPC interacts with the provision of outpatient services to reduce the risk of violent behavior. An initial analysis found that OPC alone did not significantly reduce the risk of violent behavior. Similarly, receiving frequent outpatient services alone was not associated with less violence. However, a combination of both variables (at least 6 months of OPC with an average of three or more outpatient visits per month in the community) did significantly reduce the risk of violence. The predicted probability of any violent behavior was cut in half, from 48 to 24 percent, attributable to extended OPC and regular outpatient services. Again, this result should be viewed with caution, because the amount of time on OPC was neither random nor controlled for experimentally.
Multisystemic Therapy (MST)

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.
Program summary: A family and community-based treatment program for adolescent offenders who have exhibited serious antisocial, problem, and delinquent behaviors.
Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=192

http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=192

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.
Survival analysis by Borduin and colleagues showed significant differences between treatment and comparison groups 4 years after the end of their probation: 71.4 percent of the individual therapy (IT) comparison group participants were arrested at least once, compared with 26.1 percent of MST participants. Further analysis showed that the number of arrests among the recidivists was significantly lower for the MST treatment group: intervention group recidivists were arrested on average 1.71 times, compared with 5.43 times in the comparison IT group. The researchers also explored the severity of the crimes committed by study participants. They found that the recidivists of the MST group had also been arrested for significantly less serious crimes and significantly fewer violent crimes than IT recidivists.

http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=192

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.
Results of the Henggeler and colleagues study at 59 weeks postreferral showed the Multisystemic Therapy (MST) treatment group had just over half the number of rearrests than the usual-services comparison group. Significant differences were also found in recidivism rates, with 42 percent for the MST group and 63 percent for the comparison group. On average, MST participants spent significantly fewer days (73) than the comparison group incarcerated in facilities run by the Department of Youth Services. At 59 weeks postreferral, 20 percent of the MST group had been incarcerated, compared with 68 percent of the comparison group. At the posttest, the comparison group self-reported delinquency-scale scores were nearly three times greater than the MST intervention group.

http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=192

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.
At the 18-month posttreatment follow-up of the study by Timmons-Mitchell and colleagues, the 66.7 percent recidivism rate for the MST group was significantly lower than the 86.7 percent rate for the treatment-as-usual (TAU) group. MST participants were also arrested and arraigned for new charges significantly less often (on average 1.44 times) compared with the TAU group (2.29 times). Binary logistic regression showed youths in the TAU group were 3.2 times more likely to be arrested than the MST group. While survival analysis revealed significant differences in recidivism between treatment groups, no significant differences were found between groups regarding the time until first arrest.
Multisystemic Therapy—Substance Abuse

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.

Program summary: A version of Multisystemic Therapy (MST) targeted to adolescents with substance abuse and dependency issues.

Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=179

http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=179

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.

Henggeler and colleagues found significant differences for the measures of aggressive crimes, the Self-Report Delinquency Aggression scale, and the annualized conviction rates for aggressive crimes. Compared with the control participants, those receiving MST treatment had a 75 percent reduction in convictions for aggressive crimes since the age of 17. MST participants also reported committing fewer aggressive crimes in the past 12 months than the control group receiving usual services. There was no significant effect found with regard to property crimes.

http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=179

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.

Henggeler and colleagues found significant differences between the treatment groups (those receiving MST tailored to substance abuse) and the comparison group. Adolescents in the drug court (DC) and the drug court MST contingency management (DC/MST/CM) groups reported a significant decrease in alcohol use at 4-month follow-up, compared with those in the family court (FC) comparison condition. Controlling for the baseline assessment, the treatment group continued to report significantly less alcohol use at 12-month follow-up than those in the comparison condition. This shows a strong short-term effect in the first 4 months that persists up to 12 months later. For heavy alcohol use, the short-term effect was not evident. However, at 12-month follow-up, those in the treatment group reported significantly less heavy alcohol use than those in the comparison condition.

Connections

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Program summary: A juvenile court–based program designed to address the needs of juvenile offenders on probation who have emotional and behavioral disorders, as well as the needs of their families. The approach is meant to be an integrated, seamless, coordinated system of care for children with mental health problems.

Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=295

http://cad.sagepub.com/content/52/3/375.abstract

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Pullman and colleagues found that youth in the Connections program intervention, an individualized, coordinated mental health service within a juvenile department, were significantly less likely to recidivate. Connections is a community-based program first implemented in Clark County, Washington,
in 2001 that addresses the needs of juvenile offenders with emotional and behavioral disorders, as well as the needs of their families. Youth in the comparison group were 2.8 times more likely to commit any type of offense compared with youth in the Connections program. Youth in the comparison group were also 3 times more likely to commit a felony offense compared with youth in the Connections program.

**Front-End Diversion**

This program is rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. Program summary: A preadjudication diversion program designed to divert juveniles with mental health needs away from the juvenile justice system through specialized supervision and case management. Details: [http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=357](http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=357)

[http://cjb.sagepub.com/content/39/4/447.abstract](http://cjb.sagepub.com/content/39/4/447.abstract) 

This program is rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. Colwell and colleagues found that juveniles who participated in the Front End Diversion Initiative (FEDI) program were significantly less likely to face adjudication compared with those who only received traditional supervision while on probation. Only 7.7 percent of the FEDI treatment group were adjudicated, compared with 22.0 percent of the comparison group. The results from the logistic regression also found that juveniles in the comparison group were 11 times as likely to have been adjudicated in the 90 days since receiving traditional supervision while on probation, compared with the FEDI treatment group.

**Mendota Juvenile Treatment Center**

This program is rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. Program summary: A residential facility that provides mental health treatment to serious and violent juvenile offenders in secured correctional institutions. Details: [http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=274](http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=274)


This program is rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. Of the 141 youths with psychopathic features included in the study by Caldwell and colleagues, 10.6 percent were released directly to adult prison following treatment. For these youths, recidivism occurred in juvenile institutional settings after treatment. Therefore, the results were examined in two ways: (1) combined institutional and community recidivism, and (2) community recidivism only. Results showed that youths treated in the Mendota Juvenile Treatment Center (MJTC) in Wisconsin were significantly less likely to recidivate in general. Within 2 years of release from custody, 57 percent of treatment youths had recidivated in the institution or community, compared with 78 percent of comparison youths. Considering only cases of youths who had some community access during the follow-up period, 56 percent of MJTC–treated youths had recidivated following release compared to 73 percent of comparison youths.

This program is rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

The evaluation by Caldwell and Van Rybroek showed that at the 2-year follow-up period, 52 percent of the treatment group had recidivated compared with 73 percent of the comparison group. With regard to more serious violence, 37 percent of the comparison group was charged with a violent felony (25 percent of which involved serious victim injury or death), while only 18 percent of the treatment group had been charged with a violent felony (only 7 percent of which involved serious victim injury)—all significant differences. However, there were no significant differences between the groups on misdemeanor offenses.

**Modified Therapeutic Community for Offenders with Mental Illness and Chemical Abuse (MICA) Disorders**

This program is rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Program summary: An adaptation of the therapeutic community models for use with offenders who have drug abuse problems and mental health disorders. This modified version uses a more flexible, more personalized, and less intense program that targets reductions in substance use and recidivism.

Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=90


This program is rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Sullivan and colleagues found that there were significantly better outcomes for all substance use variables (any substance, any illegal drug, and alcohol to intoxication) for the modified therapeutic community (MTC) participants compared with the control group. After 12 months, 69 percent of MTC participants had not used any substance, compared with 44 percent for the control group; 75 percent of MTC participants had not used an illegal drug, compared with 56 percent of control group; and 81 percent of MTC participants had not used alcohol to intoxication, compared with 61 percent of the control group.

**Multisystemic Therapy–Family Integrated Transitions (MST–FIT)**

This program is rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Program summary: The program provides integrated individual and family services to juvenile offenders who have co-occurring mental health and chemical dependency disorders during their transition from incarceration back into the community.

Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=271


This program is rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Overall, Trupin and colleagues found mixed results. Multisystemic Therapy–Family Integrated Transitions (MST–FIT) had a significant effect on felony recidivism at 36 months postrelease. However, the MST–FIT intervention did not appear to have a significant effect on overall recidivism (misdemeanor and felony), misdemeanor recidivism, and violent felony recidivism.
San Francisco (California) Behavioral Health

This program is rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Program summary: A mental health court that aims to reduce recidivism of criminal defendants with serious mental illness. The court connects defendants with community treatment services and considers the defendant’s mental illness and severity of the offense in disposition decisions.

Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=39


This program is rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

The outcome results from the McNiel and Binder study showed that participation (even if a participant did not complete the entire program and graduate) was associated with positive results. Participation in the Behavioral Health Court (BHC) predicted a longer time to any new charge. At 18 months, the treatment group was 26 percent less likely to be charged with a new offense compared with the treatment-as-usual group. Participation in BHC also resulted in a longer time to a new violent charge. The treatment group was 55 percent less likely to be charged with a new violent offense compared with the treatment-as-usual group.

Other Reports


http://www.austintexas.gov/edims/document.cfm?id=183380

This report reviews how the funds for the Travis County Criminal Justice Planning Office would be used. An outside advisory board analyzed any barriers in treatment that might be met and how they could be overcome. The grant was awarded for a “Phase 1 development” for a communitywide strategic plan to address the needs of individuals diagnosed with “severe and persistent mental disorders.”

Websites

GAINS Center for Behavioral Health and Justice Transformation at SAMHSA
http://gainscenter.samhsa.gov/

Council of State Governments Justice Center—Mental Health Page
http://csgjusticecenter.org/mental-health/
Justice Reinvestment Initiative

Project Description

Justice reinvestment is a data-driven approach to improve public safety, reduce corrections and related criminal justice spending, and reinvest savings in strategies that can decrease crime and strengthen neighborhoods. The purpose of justice reinvestment is to manage and allocate criminal justice populations more cost effectively, generating savings that can be reinvested in evidence-based strategies that improve public safety while holding offenders accountable. States and localities engaging in justice reinvestment collect and analyze data on what drives criminal justice populations and costs, identify and implement changes to increase efficiencies, and measure both the fiscal and public safety impacts of those changes.33

Justice reinvestment comprises three important activities: analyzing, developing, and measuring. Agencies analyze criminal justice trends to see why the population of jails and prisons grows. They develop and implement policies to manage the growth in correction expenditures to promote public safety and make offenders more accountable. Finally, they measure the impact of policy changes, reinvest resources, and hold policymakers accountable for their results.

Highlighted Reports

Local governments across the United States are striving to improve public safety and optimize criminal justice investments. The Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI) supports these efforts by convening justice system decisionmakers to devise data-driven approaches to criminal justice reform that will generate savings that can be reinvested in evidence-based public safety strategies. The Urban Institute has monitored the progress of the 17 local jurisdictions currently engaged in JRI. This brief summarizes interim findings on the activities of those sites, including major contributors to system costs and corrections populations, policy options to address those issues, and expectations for savings and reinvestment of resources.

This report, funded by BJA, describes the progress, challenges, and preliminary outcomes of 17 states involved in the JRI Initiative from 2010 through the summer of 2013. States that have enacted policies are showing promise in reducing prison populations or averting future growth, generating savings while enhancing public safety. In addition to population changes, justice reinvestment has encouraged states to

This description as well as more in-depth information about the program can be found at the following website:
shift toward a culture of greater collaboration, data-driven decisionmaking, and increased use of evidence-based practices.


This brief summarizes the efforts of states involved in the Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI), a program designed to identify and implement cost-efficient, evidence-based criminal justice reforms. To do so, jurisdictions use data analysis to identify criminal justice populations and cost drivers and then develop policy options to reduce those drivers. The 17 states that have adopted the JRI model are projected to save $3.3 billion over 10 years. States plan to reinvest a share of these savings into high-performing public safety strategies.


This guidebook offers information for local governments to use when planning and implementing a justice reinvestment strategy in their communities.

**BJA-Sponsored Evaluation**


This report includes background on justice reinvestment in North Carolina. It summarizes major provisions of the legislation and subsequent changes and outlines recent policy and procedure changes made by agencies. Other sections include feedback and observations from the field on emerging practices obtained through site visits across the state, and available statewide Justice Reinvestment Act (JRA) data for 2013.

**Other Academic Articles**


In this response to Todd R. Clear’s “A private-sector, incentives-based model for justice reinvestment” (2011), the author examines the implementation of justice reinvestment principles in the United Kingdom’s prison policy. He argues that such efforts must be made on a local level targeting specific communities to be fully effective. The article offers support for the JRI model.


This report assesses the performance of the JRI over time as it relates to the ability to educate state legislators and public officials about the consequences of four decades of mass incarceration. Topics included cost-effectiveness, the role of corrections, and the need to persuade public officials to undertake reforms not previously considered.

---

34 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.

35 This section includes some research conducted with funding from BJA.

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15564886.2014.861687#.U8PQV5S-1cY

The authors examine the JRI experience in the United States and consider implications for Australia. Findings indicate that the two countries are currently in different phases and have different specialized needs. As a result, both countries need to think critically about their design of JRI programs.


The author reports the need to invest in community corrections, explores the history of the Justice Reinvestment Initiative, and stresses the need to encourage forward-thinking ideas and innovation to advance justice in America.


This report summarizes the remarks, research, and case studies highlighted during the National Summit on Justice Reinvestment and Public Safety on January 27, 2010, in Washington, DC. This report aims to assist Congress and practitioners through concise articulation of four key principles of JRI to reduce recidivism and increase public safety.


http://jrsa.metapress.com/content/g81758244k541h47/

This article presents a review of the Texas JRI that includes the scope and outcomes of the program across the state. Comparisons are drawn between the Texas model and California-based efforts that includes a discussion of the related financial effects.


This article identifies various issues relating to implementing justice reinvestment in the United Kingdom and discusses the success of the model in the United States and what this could mean for the U.K. The author also lays out an agenda for academic discourse on justice reinvestment as it relates to this effort.


This report includes background on justice reinvestment in North Carolina. It summarizes major provisions in the legislation, and subsequent changes; recent policy and procedure changes made by agencies; feedback and observations from the field regarding emerging practices obtained through site visits across the state; and available statewide JRA data for CY 2013.

Vera Institute of Justice conducted a comprehensive examination of factors contributing to the Delaware corrections population. Based on the findings, Vera offered direction on how to address these issues in the correction system. This policy brief describes and draws lessons from Delaware’s model for reorienting the use of imprisonment only for high-risk offenders and reinvesting the cost savings in corrections practices that have proven effective in reducing recidivism and holding offenders accountable.


This article focuses on implementation of justice reinvestment. It highlights a discussion between leaders in the field about the merits of the JRI model that each person envisions. The discussion covers a model other than private-sector partnerships and the possible benefits of that model.


This brief highlights several state reforms and successes. The analysis includes projections of justice reinvestment savings as a result of implementation. Although this is mainly a review of progress, the financial analysis may prove useful.


The article discusses the justice reinvestment reforms currently being carried out in the United States. It presents an overview of efforts of the Public Safety Performance Project at the Pew Center on the States. It cites the justice reinvestment changes first made by Texas and Kansas. The author shares information on the reviews and reforms performed in various states by 2010.


This essay offers lessons for justice reinvestment from restorative justice and the justice model in the United States. It also relates justice reinvestment to ideas such as community policing, drug courts and therapeutic jurisprudence, and broken-window theory.
http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15564886.2013.864525#.U8PDDS-IcY
This paper reviews some of the main features and figures of crime and criminal justice in Europe, including rehabilitation and cost–benefit analyses. Regarding crime and justice, victimization rates in different European countries are presented, as well as some insights on the differential criminal policy and the punitive nature of distinct countries. In terms of offender treatment and cost–benefit analyses, the paper gives an overview of the European systematic reviews and meta-analyses on the topic, highlighting the main treatment strategies and countries of application; the typologies of treated offenders; and the efficiency of treatment, prisons, and alternatives.

http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/austindlr14&div=7&g_sent=1&collection=journals#12
This article examines how justice reinvestment is being addressed in the United States and the United Kingdom. It analyzes justice reinvestment’s potential to be used effectively among Indigenous Australians. In doing so, the article discusses the aspects of justice reinvestment that distinguish it from other “decarceration” initiatives. It identifies the ways in which this approach is suited both to articulated policy aims in relation to Indigenous people, and to the particular circumstances of Indigenous communities. It argues that justice reinvestment principles cohere well with the needs of Indigenous communities and with the current financial climate. The conclusion is that the combination of these factors makes justice reinvestment an approach worth pursuing in Australia, particularly with Indigenous Australians.

http://ssrn.com/abstract=2078715
This article examines the notion and practice of justice reinvestment, an emerging approach addressing the high social and economic costs of soaring incarceration rates. Key distinguishing features of justice reinvestment are briefly outlined, followed by discussion of its recent emergence and application in the United States, and to a lesser extent in the United Kingdom. The prospects for adopting these approaches in Australia are then considered, with particular reference to the high imprisonment rates of Indigenous Australians.

http://www.tandfonline.com/toc/uvao20/9/1
In this article, the authors examine the justice reinvestment model to assess different treatment methods. Their discussion offers four measures that can be used to gauge performance of systems undergoing justice reinvestment initiatives. Findings indicate that expanding correctional programming is an important if not essential component of undoing the impact of mass incarceration policies.

This brief reports on the first year results of the six Justice Reinvestment Pilots in the United Kingdom. The goal was to incentivize local statutory partners to reduce demand on courts, legal aid, prisons, and probation and consequently reduce costs to the justice system. Early results show a decline in demand for services, as expected.

In this article, the author discusses efforts by the State of Rhode Island to implement the justice reinvestment model to reduce growth in the inmate population while continuing to increase public safety. It discusses the efforts by the Rhode Island Legislature and the Governor to address the issue of overcrowding in state correctional institutions, as well as the help received from the Justice Center of the Council of State Governments to foster implementation.


Drawing on evidence from justice reinvestment experiments in the United Kingdom—including Payment by Results (PBR) pilot projects in England and Wales—this paper examines how models of justice reinvestment can be implemented in an age of austerity. The discussion is set against the backdrop of the wider application of PBR commissioning for criminal justice and related services.


This report reviews a number of different local justice reinvestment sites in the United Kingdom. Findings showed that various sites responded in different ways and to varying degrees. This includes evidence of a more enthusiastic response in Greater Manchester compared with some London sites in terms of investing in new approaches to managing offenders.


For the complete article: http://www.academia.edu/5870963/Justice_Reinvestment_in_Australia

This article gives an overview of justice reinvestment. It highlights some of the more pertinent problems facing the justice system, offenders, victims of crime, and local communities regarding the use of punishment and incarceration in Australia. It then considers the degree to which justice reinvestment may or may not deliver on some or all of its promises, in particular problems that it faces in terms of implementation, use, and long-term viability in Australia.

Other Reports


This report summarizes the remarks, research, and case studies highlighted during the National Summit on Justice Reinvestment and Public Safety on January 27, 2010, in Washington, DC. The aim of the summit and this report is to help Congress and practitioners through a concise articulation of four key principles to reduce recidivism and increase public safety.


This report presents 6 lessons learned from 17 states that have worked with the Council of State Governments to develop reinvestment strategies that make criminal justice operations more cost effective.
http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/412543-Data-Driven-Decisionmaking-for-Strategic-Justice-Reinvestment.pdf
This brief discusses the need for and use of effective data in strategic justice reinvestment at the local level. It also includes on the use of performance measures to monitor the progress of the Justice Reinvestment at the Local Level (JRLL) process.

This toolkit presents an overview of the justice reinvestment model for local leaders, including examples from localities that have implemented justice reinvestment.

This brief addresses the use of justice reinvestment strategies by local criminal justice professionals and policymakers. It also explores how to document costs and demonstrate savings, track populations across systems, measure savings throughout jurisdictions, determine the best ways to reinvest, and use crime mapping to target reinvestment.

This toolkit presents planners of a local justice reinvestment initiative with the technical information to identify what drives criminal justice system costs and to design strategies addressing those changes.

This resource lists justice reinvestment reforms that have led to savings in 19 states.

The article discusses the justice reinvestment reforms being implemented in various states, including those first implemented by Texas and Kansas. It also presents an overview of efforts of the Public Safety Performance Project at the Pew Center on the States.

This is the transcript of a panel held at a 2010 NIJ conference that featured representatives from two states involved in justice reinvestment—Michigan and New Hampshire. Panel participants examine details of the initiative and how it is progressing in these two states.

https://www.bja.gov/Publications/JRL_FS.pdf
This fact sheet explains the rationale behind the Justice Reinvestment Initiative and outlines its various features.
This policy brief reports on state experiences with the Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI). The brief identifies factors common to several states—namely parole and probation revocations, sentencing policies and practices, insufficient and inefficient community supervision and support, and parole system processing delays and denials. It also identifies common legislative provisions and policy reforms that have been implemented across several states.

**Websites**

Council of State Governments Justice Reinvestment
http://csgjusticecenter.org/jr/

Pew Center on the States: Public Safety Performance Project Overview

Urban Institute: Justice Reinvestment at the Local Level
http://www.urban.org/center/jpc/justice-reinvestment/

Vera Justice Reinvestment Initiative
http://www.vera.org/project/justice-reinvestment-initiative
Missing Alzheimer’s Disease Patient Alert Program

Project Description

The National Initiatives: Law Enforcement and Missing Persons with Alzheimer’s Disease is a grant that focuses on programs that address community and law enforcement needs. This grant was developed to support law enforcement in locating and working with missing persons who have Alzheimer’s disease and other dementias. The grant is funded under the Missing Alzheimer’s Disease Patient Alert Program. As the U.S. population ages, Americans become more at risk for dementia-related disorders and Alzheimer’s disease. More than 5.1 million people in the United States are living with Alzheimer’s disease or some other form of dementia. This number is expected to grow with the aging population. Over 60 percent of those with dementia (nearly 3.1 million people) will wander at some point. More than 50 percent of those who wander become lost and separated from their loved ones.

OJP-Sponsored Evaluations

Note: No program evaluations were found. The listed articles illustrate the need for this type of program and offer information on what action the government is taking to combat this issue.

OJP-Sponsored Research


This report familiarizes law enforcement officers and other first responders, caregivers, families, and the community about the signs of dementia and offers information on passive identification products used to identify persons with Alzheimer’s. It gives suggestions for improving skills to better deal with the challenges of Alzheimer’s disease or dementia as well as a broad overview of symptoms and the potential impact on people living with these diseases. A review of the passive identification techniques, public alert options, locating device technology, and current locative products in the field are also presented.

Other Academic Articles


This study analyzes varieties of Silver Alert programs: dementia related and AMBER extension, the dates of enactment, and the criteria and the process of activation. The authors conclude with two salient questions that emerged from the analysis. The paper makes recommendations for future research, including examining whether Silver Alerts are an appropriate response to address the problem of missing

36 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or a website where access information is located.
adults with dementia or cognitive impairments. It also examines the costs and benefits of the programs, including determining how best to balance efforts to keep cognitively impaired elders safe while keeping their basic human rights of autonomy and empowerment intact.


This paper describes and critically examines three prominent and widespread programs that assist older adults who go missing: Safe Return, Project Lifesaver, and Silver Alert. Despite the emergence of these programs, there has been little research on their effectiveness. More fundamentally, the nature and scope of the missing elder problem is understudied. The paper calls for further research into this issue, as well as assessments of how well these programs balance individual liberties with safety concerns.

http://www.jaapl.org/content/41/3/421.full

This paper examines the purposes of the Silver Alert system and the ways in which it helps law enforcement locate missing elders. However, it also examines the unintended consequences of the program—for example, violations of an individual’s right to privacy. The discussion delves into some of these consequences, concluding that procedural safeguards must be maximized to protect the privacy of individuals with cognitive disabilities. In particular, the eligibility parameters for Silver Alert activation in all states must be more specifically and narrowly defined. In addition, the role of mental health providers in the activation of Silver Alerts should be more thoroughly explored and defined, with particular attention paid to ethics-related concerns and to legal and professional considerations.


Recent discussions about securing the autonomy and safety of older people in a cost-effective way have culminated with the establishment with Silver Alert media-alert policies in more than half of U.S. states over the past 5 years. Although these policies have been established with exceptional legislative speed, research has not yet determined how these policies have been implemented across geographic areas. Data from the 587 Silver Alerts activated in North Carolina in 2008, 2009, and 2010 were analyzed using sero-inflated negative binomial regression and exploratory special analyses. Despite the policy focus on older adults and individuals with cognitive impairment, activation of Silver Alerts in a county was not related to the proportion of people age 65 or older or to the prevalence of poor mental health in the county. The current mission and implementation of the Silver Alert program should be reviewed, given that significant effects were obtained for the proportion of African Americans in a county and the county’s distance from the state capital, but not for the proportion of older adults in the county or for the prevalence of impaired mental status.

**Other Reports**

http://www.theiacp.org/portals/0/pdfs/AlzheimersMissingPersonsPolicy.pdf

The purpose of this policy is to offer guidance to law enforcement and other agencies for responding to and investigating the cases of missing persons with Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias.
This paper complements the Model Policy on Missing Persons with Alzheimer’s Disease. The discussion addresses best practices, response challenges, and specific search-and-rescue protocols for people with Alzheimer’s disease and related dementia. This material is expected to be of value to law enforcement executives in their efforts to tailor the Model Policy to the requirements and needs of their agencies and communities.

International Association of Chiefs of Police, and Bureau of Justice Assistance (2013). *Drivers with Alzheimer’s Disease: 10 Warning Signs a Drive May Have Alzheimer’s Disease/10 Steps for Interacting with Drivers Who May Have Alzheimer’s Disease or Dementia*. Alexandria, VA: Authors. BJA Sponsored.  
These instructional briefs offer guidance on communication tactics for police officers interacting with drivers who have Alzheimer’s disease or dementia. To facilitate appropriate interaction between patrol officers and drivers who have Alzheimer’s disease, these briefs (in the form of pocket-size reminder cards) list 10 warning signs for Alzheimer’s disease and 10 appropriate officer responses to drivers believed to have Alzheimer’s disease or dementia. When noticing a combination of the symptoms of Alzheimer’s disease in drivers, patrol officers should adopt these suggested appropriate interactions with these individuals.

This report identifies each state with a Silver Alert program, how each fund it, and how each administers the program. The report revealed that 18 states have a Silver Alert program, 14 states had pending legislation, and 18 states have no related legislation. It also gives brief summaries of the legislation that establishes the programs and the agencies administering them, and program requirements for initiating an alert, as well as websites for each state’s Silver Alert program.
The National Center for Campus Public Safety

Project Description

The National Center for Campus Public Safety (NCCPS), founded in 2013 through funding from the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), is a resource for campus security and police chiefs, public safety directors, emergency managers, and other key stakeholders engaged in campus safety activities. Although not yet fully operational, the NCCPS fosters these goals:

- Identify and prioritize the needs of the field, and develop comprehensive responses
- Connect existing Federal and non-Federal resources with the needs of constituents
- Connect major campus public safety entities with one another, and with Federal agencies, to facilitate collaboration and coordination around issues of campus public safety
- Highlight and promote best and innovative practices specific to campus public safety challenges
- Deliver essential training and technical assistance specific to campus public safety

Note: The NCCPS evaluations have not yet been completed, as the solicitation was issued in 2013. The implementation and evaluation of this program is expected to take several years from the award date. Instead, the listed articles provide evaluations and information on other campus safety programs. These articles and reports have been selected from crimesolutions.gov, publicly available reports, and academic journals.

OJP-Sponsored Evaluations

There are no evaluations for the National Center for Campus Public Safety.

Other Academic Research


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.

For more information, please visit: http://www.nccpsafety.org and http://www.bja.gov

37 For more information, please visit: http://www.nccpsafety.org and http://www.bja.gov

38 Crimesolutions.gov program and practice areas are discussed through the articles and reports cited for each program or practice. In some cases, one article may have multiple ratings, or multiple articles may make be used to determine a single rating. Therefore, the number of reports or articles given a crimesolutions.gov rating in this report may not match what is found by searching crimesolutions.gov.

39 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
This article is an assessment of campus safety. About 150 faculty and staff and 450 students completed a survey designed to measure their beliefs and attitudes, daily behaviors, personal safety precautions, and cases of victimization. Results showed that the majority of respondents thought their campus was safe and had satisfactory safety features. Victimization was rare.

This article details 63 recommendations for improving safety and security at Florida’s institutions of higher education. Five recommendations pertain to Federal action, including promoting best practices, supporting initiatives to prevent various common college crimes, and increasing funding to the COPS office for use in training campus officers.

This article outlines a 4-point strategy for campus safety. First, promote the use of IACLEA’s Threat and Risk Assessment tool, which is designed to assist campuses in identifying and prioritizing vulnerabilities and potential threats. Second, work with Federal partners and individual campuses to create multidisciplinary assessment teams to develop a structure and methodology for helping students with mental health problems and removing those students who pose risks. Third, renew efforts to offer a comprehensive tool to help campuses evaluate their physical security environment. Fourth, ensure that rapid response planning and training is made available to all campuses that need it.

This study examined issues that female faculty and staff members have about safety on and around campus. The study surveyed 229 female faculty and staff and found that awareness of services on campus dealing with safety issues was high, although use of such services remained relatively low. Respondents reported taking precautions, which included locking car doors when alone, planning a route with safety in mind, carrying keys in a defensive manner, and checking the back seat of the car for intruders before getting into the car.

West Virginia University at Parkersburg uses a two-way emergency system as a baseline for emergency communications. The college has found that such a system, a key component of its safety and crisis management plan, can be integrated with other communication initiatives to create focused security on the campus.

This article describes a mixed-methods approach for assessing campus safety at a large urban campus. Student focus groups yielded data regarding perceptions of risk, and analysis was used to identify hot
spots of campus crime incidents. Although in many cases perceived risk and actual crime incidents were
associated, the two were not significantly related.

http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ957017
This study details a survey of 147 directors of women’s centers about the effectiveness of the Clery Act
and their views of campus safety. The purpose of this study was to assess how much victim advocates
knew about the Act and what their views of campus crime prevention strategies were. Results show that
respondents thought mandated summaries and annual reports are unlikely to be read or to affect behavior
and that passive ad campaigns are more likely to influence behavior.

http://connection.ebscohost.com/c/articles/42958469/design-implementation-intelligent-mobile-
information-system-campus-safety-management
This study is aimed at establishing an intelligent mobile information system for colleges. This includes
the use of video cameras in high-traffic areas and the creation of a method to embed the mobile
information system into each user’s device (personal digital assistant or smart phone) to promote campus
safety management.

Combined Alcohol Intervention for High-Risk College Students.” Journal of Studies on Alcohol and
Drugs, 70: 555–567.
This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.
This article details a study where students with a history of heavy drinking were assigned to one of four
conditions: parental intervention, a brief intervention as in the previous article, both parental and brief
interventions, or no intervention. Results indicate that the combined interventions led to significantly
lower alcohol consumption and fewer negative consequences.

Impact on Campus Safety and Security Policy and Practice. Minneapolis, MN: Midwestern Higher
Education Compact.
http://www.mhec.org/sites/mhec.org/files/052308mhec SAFETYRPT_hr.pdf
This report details a survey of student life officers and campus safety directors to assess the impact that
the April 2007 shootings at Virginia Tech had on campus safety and security policy and practice. Without
exception, respondents indicated that the relationship between their institution and municipal law
enforcement and state-level agencies had remained about the same or improved since the events at
Virginia Tech.

This study examines the impact of racial diversity on the reported number of hate crimes that occur on
campus. Findings suggest that those schools that are most successful in recruiting minorities who are the
hardest to recruit (Black and Latino students) report fewer hate crimes on campus.
Other Reports


This report details active shooter events (ASEs) and finds they are on the rise since 2000 (from 5 per year to 16 per year). Of all ASEs from 2000 to 2012, 29 percent occurred at a school. Most (59 percent) involved a pistol, and nearly half ended before law enforcement arrived on the scene. For those events still occurring after law enforcement arrived, 43 percent ended with the police shooting the suspect. The authors conclude that officers need to be adequately trained to deal with these situations.


This report details 3 points of focus and 25 recommendations from the national summit in Baltimore, MD, from November 29 to December 1, 2004. One point of focus was the establishment of a national center for campus safety to support information-sharing, policy development, model practices, operations, and research. The recommendations included creating a national agenda on campus safety, establishing a national center for campus safety, and initiating a National Advisory Panel on Campus Safety.


This article profiles efforts by the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) to address new training and technology needs in the wake of the 2012 school shooting in Newtown, CT. The staff at the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center worked to find free, publicly available resources and links on the Internet with information on successful new technologies being implemented across the country. These efforts led to the development of the SchoolSafetyInfo.org website, dedicated to offering up-to-the-minute information and assistance to the public safety community.


This article discusses threat assessment and management strategies to deal with the increased demands faced by campus law enforcement, mental health services, and administration officials. The article states that a collaborative and standardized assessment protocol can be a valuable tool in addressing the various potential internal and external threats to campus.


This article details four focus groups about staffing campus public safety departments. The report finds that staffing is typically influenced by the student population, the age and gender profile of the student population, the location and size of the campus, and other similar factors. The report concludes that staff size for campus public safety departments should be affected by the policing style used, the workload of officers, and their work schedule and its demands.

Websites

National Center for Campus Public Safety [http://www.nccpsafety.org/](http://www.nccpsafety.org/)
International Association of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (IACLEA)
www.iaclea.org
Pay for Success Program

Project Description
The Pay for Success initiative creates performance-based contracts between the government and social service providers, where the government only pays providers if target outcomes are achieved, instead of making reimbursement payments. The financing organization works with philanthropic and other investors to invest in innovative, data-driven service providers that can achieve results. Because the government pays only for demonstrated results, philanthropic and other investors agree to bear the primary financial risk until the outcomes are achieved. This will increase the government’s return on investment in social programs that often have a weak track record of success. The concept is simple: pay providers after they have demonstrated success, not based on the promise of success, as is usually done now.40

OJP-Sponsored and Non-OJP-Sponsored Evaluations41

Note: No BJA program evaluations were found during the literature review. The listed articles and reports illustrate the need for this type of program and include related materials intended to show support for future evaluations of Pay for Success programs. It should be noted that Social Impact Bonds are also categorized under the Pay for Success program most of the time. There has been some discrepancy between some publications (within the same agency) that categorize Social Impact Bonds (SIB) as different from the Pay for Success Program. Looking at the definition of Social Impact Bonds, we are categorizing them under the Pay for Success Program. You will see articles and evaluations on SIBs as well. Since the Pay for Success is a relatively new program, most of the research is on the first program in the United Kingdom.

Academic Research

This paper discusses how the criminal justice system can benefit from social impact bonds (SIBs), which are funding mechanisms that invest in social outcomes.

This law review note details the privatization challenges that government officials will likely face as they implement social impact bonds (SIBs). Most importantly, this note is the first to propose how government officials implementing SIBs can overcome the traditional obstacles facing privatization schemes—both through the structure of SIBs and through additional contractual solutions. Finally, the note concludes with a discussion about how elements of SIBs can be incorporated to improve existing privatization models such as private prisons, and how SIBs alter the existing debate about privatization in this country.

40 More details can be found at this website: http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/factsheet/paying-for-success.
41 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
The probation service in England and Wales faces what is the greatest challenge in its history. Its future is being shaped by four competing and differing forces: its current case management approach as influenced by moves to more law-enforcement practices; theories of desistance; new developments in offender engagement; and the emergence of Payment by Results (PbR). This article considers each of these influences and discusses how important they may be to the future of probation practice, concluding that the future of the service may be unrecognizable from anything that has gone before.

http://crj.sagepub.com/content/early/2011/08/06/1748895811415580.abstract
This article lays out the challenges likely to arise in developing payment by results models and SIBs in the criminal justice system of England and Wales. These include the uncertainty from defining outcomes, estimating the potential impact of interventions, measuring and attributing change, valuing benefits, demonstrating a fiscal return, and getting interventions to scale. The authors conclude that, to a government trying to deliver “more for less,” payment by results may offer an attractive solution in some parts of the public sector. However, the case for this approach in the criminal justice sector, where the evidence base is contested and potential savings difficult to quantify and realize, is not yet proven.

http://prb.sagepub.com/content/59/4/355.short
In recent years, the government of the United Kingdom has emphasized evidence-based policy, as a part of which the Payment by Results (PbR) approach has increasingly been promoted. This article discusses the potential benefits of PbR and surveys its use across the U.K. public sector. Then, concentrating in particular on the Criminal Justice System (CJS), the authors outline three methodological challenges to the implementation of PbR: gaming, measuring outcomes, and identifying fiscal benefits. A number of challenges to the implementation of PbR are outlined. The authors conclude that PbR has a place in commissioning services, but that its role in the CJS is likely to be limited and it is unlikely to succeed as a socially efficient means of attracting new sources of funding. The authors also consider two alternatives to PbR: justice reinvestment and personalization.

http://scholarship.law.duke.edu/lcp/vol76/iss3/15/
The article discusses the use and regulation of social-impact bonds (SIBs) in the United States as of July 2014, focusing on juvenile delinquency in America, recidivism rates, and the economic aspects of efforts to reduce juvenile crime. It states that SIBs, which are also known as pay for success bonds, use private capital to fund government projects. According to the article, SIBs have also been proposed or enacted by governments to address homelessness and school attendance problems.

This article focuses on the perceived benefits of using social impact bonds to finance social outcomes and to expand the availability of services.

This paper explores several questions:

- What is the U.K. social impact bond (SIB)? What does it offer the social sector?
- How can SIBs be constructed to balance the priorities of the government, investors, and service providers? How will they redefine traditional relationships between these players?
- Can this construct apply to the United States? If so, what must we consider to maximize its value?


The article reports on the potential impact of the “pay for success” concept proposed by President Obama on nonprofit organizations in the United States. The concept aims to change the traditional financing of social services. The discussion compares this concept and the social impact bonds being tested in the United Kingdom. Concerns about the future involvement of some politicians and activists in the modified social programs are also discussed.

**Other Reports**


McKinsey & Company conducted a rigorous, data-driven analysis of the potential and capacity for social impact bonds (SIBs) in the United States, focusing on how SIBs might be applied in two program areas: homelessness and criminal justice.


This briefing outlines information about the elements of New York’s social impact bonds program, including evaluation elements and payment determinations based on outcomes.


This fact sheet provides information about the Adolescent Behavioral Learning Experience (ABLE) program, which aims to reduce the reincarceration rate among adolescents at Rikers Island. Included are details of how the program is funded and how the program will be evaluated to determine repayment.


This issue brief presents findings from two roundtable conversations in 2013 with potential social impact bond investors—discussions that produced a series of key policy questions.
This fact sheet offers information about social impact bonds (SIBs) and where they have been used so far in the United States.

This issue brief presents findings from two roundtable conversations in 2013 with potential social impact bond investors, concluding that strong cross-sector relationships are key to social impact bond transactions.

http://www.rand.org/pubs/technical_reports/TR1166.html
This report identifies early lessons from the development and implementation of the world’s first social impact bond, which is being piloted by the U.K. Ministry of Justice to promote interventions to reduce reoffending.

This issue brief gives examples of what should—and should not—be included in a SIB agreement.

This issue brief looks at Social Impact Bonds and their value to government agencies.

http://payforsuccess.org/sites/default/files/social_impact_bonds_-_a_promising_new_financing_model.pdf
This report analyzes social impact bonds, a promising new approach to the government financing of social service programs or social “interventions.” By combining performance-based payments and market discipline, the approach has the potential to improve results, overcome barriers to social innovation, and encourage investment in cost-saving preventive services.

This issue brief considers three prominent social financing mechanisms with the potential of unlocking new sources of capital and revolutionizing how an array of social issues is addressed.

This resource highlights the first-year activities of the Peterborough Social Impact Bond project, launched in September 2010.
This issue brief looks at Social Impact Bonds and their value to government agencies.

This report provides a summary of and conclusions from the Pay for Success: Investing in What Works event, which took place in October 2011. It synthesizes and analyzes what was learned, identifying the Pay for Success challenges, opportunities, and issue areas of interest for future projects.

**Websites**

Urban Institute Pay for Success
http://www.urban.org/socialimpactbonds/

White House Pay for Success
http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/factsheet/paying-for-success
Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Program

**Project Description**

The PREA Program is funded under the Prison Rape Elimination Act, 42 USC 15601, et seq., and the Commerce, Justice Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2013 Public Law 113-6. PREA funds demonstration projects to support comprehensive approaches to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual victimization in state, tribal, and local adult and juvenile correctional facilities.

**OJP-Sponsored Evaluations**


The U.S. Department of Justice is responsible for overseeing Federal, state, and local implementation for the National Standards to Prevent, Detect, and Respond to Prison Rape (the Standards). This OIG report examines DOJ’s efforts to implement the Standards. The OIG found issues related to intergovernmental agreements with the U.S. Marshal Services, investigative entities within DOJ that conduct investigations of sexual abuse in confinement settings, and PREA audits at Bureau of Prisons institutions. Recommendations were withheld since PREA Standards compliance is ongoing. However, OIG encouraged DOJ to take appropriate actions to address identified issues.


This report examines the financial impact of full nationwide compliance with the PREA standards on prisons, jails, tribal facilities, and juvenile correctional facilities. It also assesses the monetary benefits of eliminating prison sexual assault. The report also summarizes the comments relating to the costs and benefits of the standards that the Department of Justice received in response to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Initial Regulatory Impact Assessment.

**OJP-Sponsored Research**

*NOTE:* PREA requires that the Attorney General submit to Congress annually regarding PREA data collection activities undertaken by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) for the preceding year. BJS releases numerous estimates accordingly on the prevalence and incidence of sexual victimization in confinement facilities. Not all BJS reports are listed here, but the most recent report for each data collection series has been included. The full list of BJS publications and products regarding PREA can be found on the BJS website:

http://www.bjs.gov/index.cfm?ty=pbtp&tid=20&dcid=0&sid=0&iid=1&sortby=dt

This report from BJS presents information on data collection activities for 2014 as required by the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003. PREA requires that BJS conduct an annual comprehensive statistical review and analysis of the incidence and effects of prison rape. This report presents highlights from the various surveys conducted by BJS as required by PREA.

[http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/svpjri1112.pdf](http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/svpjri1112.pdf)

This report summarizes the findings of the third National Inmate Survey (NIS) conducted by BJS. The survey was administered to 92,449 inmates age 18 or older. The sample also included juveniles ages 16 to 17 who were held in adult prisons and jails. Findings suggest that 4% of prison inmates and 3.2% of jail inmates experience one or more incidents of sexual victimization. As required by PREA, the survey is a basis for identifying facilities with high and low rates of sexual victimization, including 12 state facilities and 9 jails.


This report summarizes the findings of the second National Survey of Youth in Custody. The survey was administered to 8,707 youth in 273 state-owned or operated juvenile facilities and 53 locally or privately operated facilities that held adjudicated youth. The study found that about 10% of youth in the surveyed facilities reported one or more incidents of sexual victimization. Adjudicated youth reported incidents involving another youth in about 2.5% of the cases, and 7.7% of the incidents involving facility staff. Finally, the report classified 13 facilities as “high-rate,” meaning the youth in those facilities reported higher rates of victimization compared with other facilities.

[http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/svrfsp08.pdf](http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/svrfsp08.pdf)

Part of the National Prison Statistics Program, the National Former Prisoner Survey, collects data on individuals on parole and formerly incarcerated in a local jail, state prison, or community correctional facility. Based on 18,526 completed interviews, the report indicates that 9.6% of former state prisoners experienced one or more incidents of sexual victimization during their most recent incarceration. Furthermore, 5.4% of former inmates reported experiencing an inmate-on-inmate incident, and 5.3% reported an incident with facility staff.


This report assesses the costs associated with implementing the PREA standards and offers a comprehensive view of implementation and compliance on a national level. The cost-impact analysis focuses on five domains of correctional operations: state prison systems, state and local juvenile facilities, community corrections, local/county jails, and police lockups. Among the 41 PREA standards, 12 have little or no effect on cost. The majority of the sites visited during this analysis (8 out of 10) were in compliance with these standards. For the sites not in compliance, there was no indication that meeting PREA standards would result in any measurable costs.

The Survey of Sexual Violence (SSV) conducted by BJS found that in 2011, correctional administrators reported 6,660 allegations of sexual victimization, of which 605 were substantiated claims. Local jail authorities reported 2,042 allegations in 2011, of which 284 were substantiated. The statistical tables in this report summarize allegations and substantiated incidents by type of victimization for every facility and jurisdiction surveyed in 2009, 2010, and 2011.

*Other Academic Research*


The article examines PREA’s purpose and discusses the problem of prison rape, including its impact on inmates and society. It presents an overview of PREA and its balance between Federal and state interests by looking at the implementation and effectiveness of PREA initiatives in Nebraska as a test case.


This article describes some of the reasons offenders give for committing sexual assault in prison. The author contends that PREA is not enough to reduce or eliminate incidences of prison rape. Instead, she suggests PREA needs to be modified and offers suggestions to not only help reduce occurrences of prison rape, but also reduce the spread of HIV/AIDS in prison.


This article examines the progress made since the passage of PREA, such as correctional staff training, data collection, education policies, procedures, and raised awareness. The author suggests that while substantive progress has been made in implementing policies to reduce and eliminate prison sexual assault, persistent challenges remain if we are to adequately respond to prison sexual violence. These challenges include limits on confidentiality among correctional healthcare/mental healthcare staff; wide-ranging facility types, each with its own unique challenges; and the “myth of permissible consensual inmate-on-inmate” sexual behavior.


This article examines the features of effective health care for victims of prison sexual assaults under the requirements of the Federal Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (PREA). Noting that developing ways to reduce the risk of sexual assault for vulnerable inmates is a challenge for corrections managers, the article describes innovations in health care strategies for addressing inmate sexual assault.
This article details California’s efforts under PREA to reduce and eliminate prison rape of transgender inmates. The author suggests these efforts have fallen short and recommends other actions that should be taken to protect this population.

This article describes the challenges of studying and collecting self-report data on inmate-on-inmate sexual assault in California. The authors describe their research methods and offer instructive ways for other researchers to pursue similar efforts.

This study examined the attitudes and beliefs of U.S. state prison wardens toward prison rape since the enactment of PREA. The survey found that of the 60 wardens who responded to the survey (out of 500 invited to participate), the majority reported that male prison rape and sexual assault was a low base-rate occurrence. It also found that wardens believe that increased inmate supervision by staff can be effective in preventing prison rape. The wardens indicated they have policies in place designed to prevent prison rape and that about half of these policies are based on PREA or PREA-related policies. The survey’s findings suggest that PREA’s common standards for addressing prison rape and sexual assault are effective.

The article discusses PREA and the reported importance of litigation in the enforcement of the law, including information on a proposal to hold prison guards accountable for their roles in sexual assaults against prisoners. A legal case involving several female inmates who were allegedly assaulted by prison guards while confined by the Washington Department of Corrections is discussed.

This article reviews the 40 standards to stop prison rape in adult prisons and jails proposed by the National Prison Rape Elimination Commission in 2009, comparing their scope to solutions from past literature. The authors recommend that the effectiveness of NPREC standards be evaluated and that the search for solutions continues.

This article examines the policies of 28 states plus the Federal Bureau of Prisons (n = 29) to assess how they comply with PREA using 8 evaluative dimensions. The authors then excerpted language from various policy statements for the benefit of those institutions that are still developing their own documentation. They emphasized identifying core dimensions of comprehensive policy statements, along with observations and conclusions regarding future implications of prison rape abatement measures.
**Other Reports**

This report examines the current practices related to sexual abuse in local jails and lockups as they relate to PREA and the PREA standards for these facilities. Findings suggest that smaller and midsized agencies generally lack awareness and understanding of what PREA standards are and how they apply to local jail and lockup operations.

http://www.aca.org/publications/pdf/Maccarone.pdf
The article focuses on PREA’s role in community corrections. PREA was implemented to eliminate rape and sexual assault in jails and prisons in the United States. PREA directly affects not only Federal, state, and local prisons and jails, but also community corrections agencies. PREA established a National Prison Rape Elimination Commission whose primary mission is to carry out a comprehensive legal and factual study of the penal, physical, mental, medical, social, and economic impacts of prison rape in the United States.

http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/226680.pdf
This report of the National Prison Rape Elimination Commission discusses the scope and seriousness of the problems related to sexual assault in correctional institutions, ways of solving these problems, and the issues at stake. The report discusses the Commission’s 9 findings on the problems of sexual abuse in correctional facilities and outlines policies and practices that should be mandatory in all facilities to address these problems.

http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/226683.pdf
This report by the National Prison Rape Elimination Commission presents standards for the prevention, detection, response, and monitoring of sexual abuse in community corrections. The standards are presented in four categories that address prevention and response planning, prevention, detection and response, and monitoring.

http://www.aca.org/publications/pdf/Pihl-Buckley.pdf
The article discusses how the Massachusetts Department of Youth Services (DYS) adapted PREA to fit juvenile correctional facilities. The DYS offers training to correctional personnel on the proper institutional culture and orientations for juvenile prisoners regarding their rights and sexual behavior. The DYS also reformed the procedure for prisoners reporting incidents.

**Websites**

National PREA Resource Center 
http://www.prearesourcecenter.org/

Just Detention International 
http://www.justdetention.org/
Project Honest Opportunity Probation and Enforcement/Swift & Certain Sanctions

**Project Description**

BJA’s Project Honest Opportunity Probation and Enforcement combines a demonstration of the Hawaii Opportunity Probation with Enforcement (HOPE) model with a rigorous experimental evaluation in an applied setting and will consist of a randomized controlled trial. A solicitation was released requiring grantees to engage in their own HOPE-based program for several years, culminating in an evaluation of their program.42

The Hawaii Opportunity Probation with Enforcement (HOPE) model is a community supervision strategy for substance-abusing probationers. The main goals of HOPE are to reduce drug use, recidivism, and incarceration. HOPE was designed with a theoretical foundation that emphasizes clearly defined behavioral expectations for probationers, swift and certain sanctions when probationers fail to comply with those expectations, and elements of procedural justice that make it clear to probationers that courtroom members want them to succeed.43

**Note:** The Honest Opportunity Probation and Enforcement evaluations have not yet been completed, since the solicitation was issued in 2011. BJA and the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) are jointly conducting two demonstration field experiments (DFEs) in the areas of probation and post-release community supervision. DFEs work to produce new knowledge in key areas of criminal justice by applying the rigor of science to program implementation and then (a) evaluating those programs through randomized controlled experiments (RCT), (b) tracking and coaching for program fidelity, and (c) strengthening data collection and analysis. In both cases, BJA is funding the demonstration sites while NIJ is funding evaluation efforts. The first project, the Hawaii’s Opportunity Probation with Enforcement (HOPE) DFE, is replicating a program that has shown evidence of success. The four sites selected for this DFE are being rigorously tested to determine whether the HOPE probation model can promote the successful widespread completion of probation for high-risk probationers. The results from this DFE are expected in spring 2016. The second project, the Changing Attitudes and Motivation in Parolees (CHAMPS) DFE, plans to significantly expand the body of evidence associated with improving the outcomes for offenders reentering the community. Based on a crime resistance-based reentry model, this multisite DFE will examine how post-release interactions, programs, services, and activities affect parolees. The results from this DFE are expected to enhance the knowledge base for working with post-release offenders in the community. BJA and NIJ are also partnering with the National Institute of Corrections (NIC) to develop the curricula and provide training and technical assistance for this effort. The initial training for this effort began in January 2015. The listed articles include evaluations and

---

information on the Hawaii Opportunity Probation with Enforcement (HOPE) and similar programs that the Federal program is based on.44

OJP-Sponsored Research

http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/410659_BreakingtheCycle.pdf
This report evaluates Breaking the Cycle (BTC), a program aimed at reducing drug use among offenders. One portion of the program is focused on regular drug testing and certain and swift punishments for those who test positive. The program was found to reduce drug use at two of three sites, and to reduce criminal activity and family problems at all three sites. A cost-benefit analysis found that for every $1 invested in the program, between $2.30 and $5.30 could be saved.

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. This study presented a process and outcome evaluation of Hawaii’s HOPE Program. The process evaluation found that the program decreased the workload of probation officers, but greatly increased the workload of court staff. The outcome evaluation used a randomized, controlled trial to assign probationers to either the HOPE program or traditional probation. The results showed that those in the HOPE group were more likely to keep their probation appointments, less likely to have a positive drug test, less likely to be arrested for a new crime, and less likely to have their probation revoked.

Academic Reports45

This study evaluated South Dakota’s 24/7 Sobriety Project for those convicted of alcohol-involved offenses. The program requires offenders to submit to Breathalyzer test twice a day or wear a continuous alcohol monitoring bracelet. Those who test positive are subject to swift and certain punishments. Results showed a 12 percent reduction in repeat DUI arrests and a 9 percent reduction in domestic violence arrests in counties adopting the program.

http://issues.org/24-4/kleiman/
The article discusses the possibility of COPE, a version of the HOPE program for parolees in California. When the article was published, this was a theoretical program and had not been implemented. While this article came out before the final results of the NIJ-funded HOPE study, it shows support for swift and certain punishment programs. The authors argue that a HOPE-type program can work for parolees, though they provide no data to back up this claim.

44 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
45 Links to abstracts are provided when full articles are not available.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

The study evaluated a swift and certain sanction program aimed at those convicted of DUI. The program reduces jail time but requires electronic monitoring, random urinalysis, mandatory treatment, self-help group attendance, and maintaining full-time employment. Those who chose to take the program were less likely to be arrested for a new DUI offense, driving with a revoked or suspended license offense, or any other traffic violation.

**Other Reports**


This report examines several lessons learned from HOPE and other similar probation programs. First, legal issues can arise because there is little case law in this area. Second, incentives must be used at least as much as sanctions to keep the program in balance. Third, key stakeholders, such as the judicial system, must be on board. Fourth, consistent and proportionate responses to infractions must be delivered. Finally, any program should be evaluated for fidelity and outcomes.

http://www.ajc.state.ak.us/reports/pace2011.pdf

This report describes the progress of Anchorage [AK] PACE, a probation program modeled after Project HOPE. Because of data issues, the PACE probationers were compared with HOPE probationers and not a control group. The report found that over half of the PACE probationers did not have a positive drug test in the first year, but probation violations increased after starting the program. There were not enough data to draw any significant conclusions about program effectiveness.


This report evaluates WISP, a HOPE-based program piloted in Seattle, WA, after 6 months of operation. Parolees were randomly assigned to WISP or parole-as-usual (PAU). Those on WISP were less likely to test positive for drugs, and those that did were more likely to be issued a warrant for a violation. Those on WISP were also less likely to be arrested for a new felony crime and spent less time in jail.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having insufficient evidence.

This study evaluated South Dakota’s 24/7 Sobriety Project for those convicted of alcohol-involved offenses. As with a previous article (see Kilmer et al., 2013), it found lower recidivism rates and declared the program very successful. Crimesolutions.gov rated the program as having insufficient evidence because of inadequate design quality.

This paper is an overview of Texas’s Sanctions Court, later renamed SWIFT (Supervision With Intensified Enforcement). It is similar to HOPE in that it calls for random drug tests, with swift and certain punishments for those who test positive. It differs from HOPE in that it provides many more positive incentives for good behavior. Those in the SWIFT program were less likely to violate probation, have probation revoked, and be convicted of new crimes than those under traditional probation.


This report evaluates the Integrated Domestic Violence Docket (IDVD) program from 2007 through 2010. The IDVD program has multiple parts, but it focuses on offender accountability through immediate arrest for any violations of a criminal law or protective order for those convicted of domestic violence. Those offenders in the IDVD program were less likely to recidivate (be convicted of DV or any crime) than those not in it. The IDVD program also allowed for cases to be processed twice as quickly.


This presentation provides information about the Immediate Sanction Probation program established through a 2012 directive in four Virginia locations and modeled after the HOPE program. Preliminary results indicate that over two-thirds of those currently in the program have violated probation, with only 5 successfully completing the program. This is attributed to the growing pains of a new program.


This report reviews the literature on deterrence theory and concludes that the certainty of punishment is more important than its severity in determining a deterrent effect. This idea is central to HOPE and similar programs. All are based on the idea that punishment should be more certain rather than more severe.

*Websites*

RTI, International’s webpage on the Honest Opportunity Probation with Enforcement Project  

Hawaii’s State Judiciary’s HOPE Probation Program  
http://hopehawaii.net/
Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN)

Project Description

Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN) is a nationwide commitment to reduce gun and gang crime in America at the local level. The core of PSN involves the funding of local programs coupled with increased Federal prosecution of illegal gun use and possession. Since its inception in 2001, $2 billion has been committed to this initiative. This funding is being used to hire new Federal and state prosecutors, support investigators, provide training, distribute gun lock safety kits, deter juvenile gun crime, and develop and promote community outreach efforts, as well as to support other gun and gang violence reduction strategies.

Each year, the 94 U.S. Attorney districts are eligible for PSN grants to develop and sustain the 5 core elements of PSN. This includes partnerships with local and state agencies, strategic planning with a research partner, training on laws or crime trends, community outreach and public awareness campaigns, and accountability through progress reports.

Note: The evaluations that follow include not only PSN evaluations, but also evaluations of programs similar to PSN that have been developed at a local or state level. All programs included share a goal of gun or gang crime reduction and have core elements similar to PSN. Evaluations fitting these criteria have been selected from crimesolutions.gov, publicly available reports, and academic journals.

Highlighted Report


This report examines the PSN program on a national level by evaluating implementation and outcomes. Implementation was successful when the U.S. Attorney’s office took a leadership role, resources were focused on high-gun-crime areas, and crime data were readily available. To determine outcomes, two research methodologies were used. First, several case studies were conducted (detailed below). Second, an analysis of violent crime in cities with populations over 100,000 was conducted. This analysis showed that as the level of PSN increased, a greater decrease in crime occurred.

CrimeSolutions.gov Ratings:

- Effective (3)
- Promising (4)
- No Effect (2)
- Insufficient Evidence (1)

Partners and Professional Organizations:

- National District Attorneys Association (NDAA)
- International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP)
- American Probation and Parole Association (APPA)
- National Crime Prevention Council (NCPC)
- National Gang Center
- Regional Information Sharing Systems (RISS)

Note: More information on Project Safe Neighborhoods can be found at the following link: https://www.psn.gov

Note: Crimesolutions.gov program and practice areas are discussed in the articles and reports cited for each program or practice. In some cases, one article may have multiple ratings or multiple articles may make be used to determine a single rating. Therefore, the number of reports or articles given a crimesolutions.gov rating in this report may not match what is found by searching crimesolutions.gov.


**OJP Evaluations**

**NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations**

https://www.bja.gov/Publications/Chronic_Violent_Offenders.pdf  
This report discusses chronic violent offender lists, which include those offenders who are wanted or are involved in multiple gun crimes. The goal is for the lists to increase awareness of the offenders throughout the criminal justice system, coordinate information sharing, and enhancing deterrence through enforcement and prosecution of repeat offenders. An evaluation of chronic offender lists has not been done, but data suggest that it could be an effective tool leading to the arrest of chronic offenders.

This report examines the PSN program in Detroit, MI. The Detroit program followed the standard PSN model with mixed-agency task forces and case reviews. The process evaluation found a significant increase in the number of charges for carrying a concealed weapon. The outcome evaluation found a significant decrease in the number of fatal and non-fatal shootings in the target areas.

https://www.bja.gov/Publications/ED_Missouri.pdf  
This report discusses the PSN program in the eastern district (St. Louis area) of Missouri. The program identified areas of high gun crime, then focused enforcement and prosecution on these areas. Implementation data show an increase in information sharing and Federal prosecutions for gun crimes. Violent gun crime in the target areas decreased significantly, though it is not clear if the PSN program caused the decrease.

https://www.bja.gov/Publications/Gun_Prosection_Case_Screening.pdf  
This report describes the screening process for possible PSN cases. This includes keeping the case in state court, sending it to Federal court, or using state court with Federal backing. Results show that a review system of cases led to better information sharing, increased Federal prosecution, and enhanced public safety.

https://www.bja.gov/Publications/Strategic_Prob_Solving.pdf  
This report examines gang-focused strategies as part of PSN. It references Operation Cease Fire, which offers 3 successful strategies to reduce gang violence. First, the intervention must be based on local police data. Second, data must be continually collected and used to refine the intervention as needed. Third, the intervention must combine local, state, and Federal efforts.

---

48 The full report for each evaluation or article can be found in the link provided.
This report details the PSN program in the middle district of North Carolina (Durham/Greensboro/Winston-Salem/Salisbury area). A series of task forces were used in each city, coordinated by the U.S. Attorney’s Office. The program had two components: a deterrence-based strategy, and access to services and resources. The results show that total gun crime declined in all four cities studied, though this decline was only significant in two of the cities.

This report details the PSN program in the southern district of Alabama (around Mobile). This program did not use a traditional task force, but instead had officials stay within their agencies while working together. It relied on increased Federal prosecution and a media campaign of deterrence messages. Analysis showed a significant decrease in total gun crime, violent gun crime, armed robberies, and assaults with a gun. There was no significant change in gun homicides.

This report discusses the PSN program in Nebraska. The main focus of this program was a task force in the city of Omaha. The task force, made up of Federal, state, and local authorities, used a strategic problem-solving approach with regular incident reviews. Data show a significant 20 percent reduction in firearms offenses after the start of the PSN intervention.

https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/209800.pdf
This report evaluates a program in Atlanta, GA, aimed at reducing youth gun violence. The program focused on reducing the supply of illegal firearms, strengthening enforcement of illegal carrying, and rehabilitating youth gun offenders. While homicides fell, this was part of a continuing trend also seen in non-program areas. The authors conclude the program did not have an effect. It has since been rolled into PSN.

This report examines crime incident reviews, a way to share detailed crime-related information between local agencies to help in solving crime problems. Success requires good cooperation and collaboration, sound analysis and quality research, and demonstrating linkages between incidents and strategic interventions. The goal of the crime incident review is to develop focused deterrent strategies to reduce gun violence. No evaluation of their effectiveness is presented.

https://www.bja.gov/Publications/Lowell_MA.pdf
This report takes a look at the PSN program in Lowell, MA. This program focused on identifying and prosecuting serious chronic offenders. Outcome data show a significant reduction in assaults with a firearm, but no reduction in armed robberies or gun-related calls for service. The reduction in firearm assaults was sustained for over 2 years.

This report looks at offender notification meetings, a program developed in Operation Cease Fire. The meetings focus on communicating a deterrent message, promising an aggressive response to gun violence, and offering support services for those on the verge of violence. Showing a causal connection between notification meetings and a decrease in violence is difficult, but the evaluation shows that the meetings are effective at getting the message across.


This report examines the PSN program in middle Alabama (the area around Montgomery). Implementation data show an increase in the number of Federal prosecutions for gun crimes, with a focus on those with extensive criminal histories. Outcome data show a significant decrease in assault with a firearm and a marginal decrease in homicide.


This article examines several PSN-based programs—Operation Ceasefire, Cure Violence, PSN, and DMI. The authors conclude that all of these programs focus on establishing new partnerships and rely on a data-driven approach to addressing violent crime issues. They suggest jurisdictions tailor their program based on specific needs, since each of these four programs has a slightly different focus.


This report examines the SACSI program, a precursor to PSN based on Boston’s Operation Ceasefire. The program took place in 10 mid-sized cities, all with violent crime rates much higher than average. It focused on collaboration, strategic planning, and pulling levers. The results show that both homicide and violent crime dropped in the 10 sites, while these measures rose in other cities. All sites have since been rolled into PSN programs.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. This report details Project Ceasefire in Chicago, IL. This program aims to identify those at risk of being the victim or offender of a shooting and using “interrupters” (specially trained workers with local knowledge) to prevent a shooting. The evaluation found that shootings decreased and gun crime hot spots shrank after the program was implemented.


This report discusses the Los Angeles Ceasefire program in California. The program borrowed heavily from Boston’s Operation Ceasefire in Massachusetts: work groups that included police, researchers, and community groups; various sanctions and rewards; and stepped-up patrols. The program saw a 37 percent decrease in violent crime, and smaller decreases in gun- and gang-related crime.
Other OJP-Sponsored Evaluations


http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/411692_communitycollaboratives.pdf

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having no effect in one location (Richmond, VA) and promising in another (Los Angeles). ![✓](https://example.com/✓) ![띄어쓰기](https://example.com/띄어쓰기)

This research evaluates the Gang Reduction Program (GRP), an OJJDP-sponsored program to reduce youth street gang crime in four cities. Results from two cities are discussed on crimesolutions.gov. In Los Angeles, the GRP provided prevention, reentry, and suppression services to combat youth gangs. Results showed a significant decrease in shots-fired calls and gang-related incidents. In Richmond, VA, many of the same services were offered. Results showed an increase in drug incidents, serious violent incidents, and gang-related incidents.


http://cacs.unlv.edu/pdf/OCF.pdf

This report examines the Safe Village Initiative (SVI) program in Las Vegas, NV. It is a replication of Boston’s Operation Ceasefire and focuses on collaboration and community mobilization to decrease gun crime. The report examined calls for service from 1 year before and 1 year after the program. Results showed a significant decrease in all calls for service, person-with-a-gun calls, assaults with a gun, and illegal shooting.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having no effect. ![띄어쓰기](https://example.com/띄어쓰기)

This report details the Weed and Seed program in Miami, FL. This program seeks to “weed” out the criminals and “seed” the community by revitalizing the neighborhood. In Miami, this program also runs the PSN program, and efforts for both programs are focused on the same target areas. The results show no significant decrease in violence and an increase in drug offenses in the target areas.


This report details how probation and parole officers can be involved in PSN. First, officers can make unannounced home visits to high-risk probationers to ensure they are complying with the conditions of their probation. Second, officers need to notify probationers of the enhanced penalties associated with carrying a firearm. Finally, officers should practice proactive supervision, where they seek to uncover information about illegal firearms.
**Other Academic Articles**


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having insufficient evidence. ![Note](https://example.com/note.png)

This article evaluated Operation Ceasefire in Newark, NJ, by examining hospital admissions for gunshot wounds. The results showed no significant decrease in the rate of gunshot wounds after the program began. This was rated as insufficient evidence due to limited or inconsistent outcome evidence.


This article examines the diffusion of benefits from a focused deterrent program such as PSN. The article finds that when certain gangs are targeted for enforcement, other gangs take notice and can be deterred as well. Total shootings went down for both gangs targeted and those targeted vicariously (allies and rivals of targeted gangs).


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective. ![Note](https://example.com/note.png)

This research evaluated Boston’s Operation Ceasefire, a PSN-type program that focused attention on chronic, gang-involved offenders who were responsible for much of the youth homicide in Boston. Results show that the program was associated with significant reductions in youth homicide, shots-fired calls, and firearm assaults.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective. ![Note](https://example.com/note.png)

This research examines a second element of Operation Ceasefire in Boston: the crackdown on firearms traffickers that supplied youth with guns. This program brought ATF and Boston authorities together to crack down on those trafficking firearms commonly used by gang members—usually new, small-caliber, low-quality semiautomatic handguns. The study found that the program resulted in a significant decrease in the number of new handguns seized by the police. However, it appears that criminals simply used older handguns in response to the crackdown.


This practice was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. ![Note](https://example.com/note.png)

This study examines focused deterrence or “pulling levers,” a strategy used in Operation Ceasefire and at the heart of PSN. Focused deterrence relies on targeting chronic offenders and informing them of heightened penalties if they do not stop. It is usually backed up by crackdowns on those who continue committing crimes. This meta-analysis found a significant, medium-size crime reduction from these strategies.

---

49 Links to abstracts are provided when full articles are not available.

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective. 

This report details the DMI program in High Point, NC, that concentrated resources on problem areas and chronic offenders involved in the drug markets. The program focused on identifying these areas, notifying offenders of the harsh sanctions, and offering community resources. Areas targeted by the program saw an almost 8 percent drop in violence, while a comparison area had a similar increase in violence.


This article evaluates the Indianapolis “Pulling Levers” program, modeled after Boston’s Operation Ceasefire. The program focused on reducing gang homicide by targeting chronic offenders. The results show an overall decrease in homicides. However, when the data are disaggregated, the authors show that the program had a greater effect on gang homicides than non-gang homicides. This supports the proposition that the program caused the decrease.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. 

This research evaluated 4 interventions associated with the PSN program in Chicago, IL. The authors found a significant decline in homicide between the PSN areas and comparison areas. The greatest decline in homicide was attributed to the offender notification meetings. However, the PSN areas also received attention from Operation Ceasefire, so it is possible that this caused some of the decrease.


This research examines 3 programs touted as reducing homicides in 3 cities. The analysis compares the claimed reductions with 95 other cities to determine what truly decreased crime. The authors find that Boston’s Operation Ceasefire did result in a drop in homicide, though not enough to reach a strong conclusion. Project Exile in Richmond, VA, had a significant drop in homicides consistent with the claims of the program.


This research examines Baltimore’s Safe Streets program, a replication of the Ceasefire program in Chicago. The only major difference was the lack of “interrupters” in the Baltimore program. The program was implemented in four areas with high gun crime. Three of these areas had a significant decrease in one or more measures of gun violence. The authors estimate the program prevented about 35 shootings and 5 homicides over about 9 years.
Other Reports

http://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/working_papers/2005/RAND_WR284.pdf
This research examines the PSN program in California by examining five target areas. The research provides four suggestions for enhancing the PSN projects to combat youth homicide. First, patrol should be directed to specific places and times based on data analysis. Second, enforcement of firearms laws should be increased by using Federal prosecutions. Third, entire gangs should be held responsible for the actions of the members by pulling levers against gangs. Finally, education and treatment should be provided.

This report details Virginia’s Exile program, a series of grants to help localities enforce new state laws requiring mandatory minimums for certain gun crimes. It is based on Richmond’s Project Exile and aims to reduce gun crime. Results showed an increase in most violent crimes after the program began. The report concludes that this could be because the program was simply not effective.

Websites

Project Safe Neighborhoods
http://www.psn.gov/

National Crime Prevention Council (NCPC)
http://www.ncpc.org/

National Gang Center
http://www.nationalgangcenter.gov
Reentry Courts

Project Description

Reentry courts are common across the United States. What many people don’t know is that there are three types of Reentry Courts; Reentry Drug Courts, Reentry Courts, and Federal Reentry Courts. Reentry Drug Courts use the Drug Court model, as defined in the 10 Key Components. Reentry Drug Courts facilitate the reintegration of drug-involved offenders into the community after their release from jail or prison. Reentry Courts are similar to Reentry Drug Courts but do not necessarily focus on drug-addicted offenders. Federal Reentry Courts are post-incarceration and are a “cooperative effort of the U.S. District Courts, U.S. Probation Office, Federal Public Defender and U.S. Attorney’s Office.” What these courts have in common is that the offender is involved in “regular judicial monitoring, intensive treatment, community supervision, and drug testing.” The participants are offered specialized services with the goal of successful reentry into the community.

Highlighted Reports

This document presents an evaluation of the Reentry Court Initiative from the Office of Justice Programs, which establishes a system of offender accountability and support services throughout the reentry process.

This report presents the program characteristics and preliminary themes from the National Institute of Justice evaluation of Second Chance Act Adult Reentry Courts. The evaluation looked at 8 different courts and assessed their participants, treatment, and other variables important to reentry.

NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations

This report describes the development and implementation of the Nation’s first “reentry” drug courts (Clark County and Washoe County, Nevada), which release prison inmates to drug courts within 2 years of their expected parole dates.

CrimeSolutions.gov Ratings:
No ratings at this time

Partners and Professional Organizations:
✓ National Association of Drug Court Professionals
✓ Center for Court Innovation
✓ U.S. Probation Office
✓ Federal Probation Office

The current article describes the RCI programs. Telephone interviews were conducted with key site contacts from each site. Site visits were conducted at three sites, where semistructured interviews were held with 28 key stakeholders, including judges, program directors, supervision officers, case managers, and program participants. Several barriers were common across the RCI sites, particularly the difficulties in obtaining employment and in finding appropriate, affordable housing for program participants.

**BJA-Sponsored Evaluations**


This article presents a process and preliminary impact evaluation of the Harlem Parole Reentry Court established in 2001 in New York City. The evaluation focuses on the first 20 months of operation focusing on nonviolent adult felony offenders convicted on drug charges.

**BJA Reports**


This report presents information on strategies and successes of Second Chance Act grantees, including the Harlem Parole Reentry Court.


This report covers four quarters of data collected from July 2011 to June 2012 for the Second Chance Act Reentry Courts grantees.


This fact sheet highlights the BJA-funded Back on Track program, a San Francisco reentry initiative aimed at reducing recidivism among low-level drug trafficking defendants. The report outlines the type of participants they serve, their program requirements, and their successes.


This report summarizes the discussions of a focus group on the status of reentry courts as viewed by policymakers, court practitioners, parole and probation administrators, and researchers.

---

54 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
Academic Articles

The article focuses on creation and development of reentry courts in the United States, as of February 2014. The topics discussed include Wyoming's reentry courts, spread of drug courts in the U.S., OJP’s national reentry court initiative, and the role of social ties in preventing future crimes by an offender. Also discussed is the Court Assisted Recovery Effort (CARE) program Massachusetts launched in 2006.

This evaluation assessed the impact of the Harlem Parole Reentry Court following program modifications implemented after an initial formative evaluation. The Reentry Court seemed to have had a positive effect on preventing new crimes.

This article presents the methodology and findings of a process evaluation of the Accelerated Community Entry (ACE) program in the Federal Western District of Michigan, which uses the reentry court model to offer more structure to offenders reentering the community from prison. Despite identified limitations in the methodology of the process evaluation, the evaluation identified areas where the ACE program has excelled as well as areas where it could improve.

The author reviews the Second Chance Act and the potential significance SCA has over sentencing, expressing his concerns about the reentry movement and if it can live up to expectations and fulfill its goals.

This article analyzes collateral consequences of recycling parolees in and out of families and communities. The future of parole is also discussed. The author urges a rethinking of discretionary parole release.

This article gives a brief history of collateral consequences and reentry. It then describes the expansion of these consequences have had on reentry. The author concludes with trying to help readers better understand the scope of the collateral consequences of reentry.

The Federal Probation Office and the Board of Judges for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania initiated a pilot reentry court program, called the Supervision to Aid Reentry (STAR) program in 2007. The impact evaluation used a quasi-experimental research design to compare the reentry success of the first 60 STAR participants to a matched comparison group of 60 probationers in the 18 months postrelease. While results indicated that STAR participants were no less likely to be arrested than the comparison group, STAR
participation was associated with a significant reduction in the likelihood of supervision revocation. Implications of these findings for the STAR program and other reentry programs are discussed.

http://policyinstitute.iu.edu/Uploads/ProjectFiles/MCRC%20Program%20Assessment%20Complete.pdf
The Marion County Reentry Court Program in Indiana contracted with the Center for Criminal Justice Research to conduct a baseline program assessment and in particular, to compare participant profiles between the initial 2 years of the program and associated court program modifications. This report outlines the program assessment over its 3-year, 9-month run.

http://lawdigitalcommons.bc.edu/bclr/vol45/iss2/1/
The article first examines the last two decades of incarceration and the political trends that have influenced it. The article then moves into the reentry period and how it has affected offenders and the judicial system, concluding with two suggestions on how the legal community might improve offender reentry.

http://www.jjay.cuny.edu/extra/president_articles/ReflectionsOntheReentryMovement.pdf
This report examines the history of the reentry movement, the changes it has made, and reentry’s resulting impact, as well as where the movement is headed.

This article summarizes the findings of recent evaluations of Federal reentry court programs in the Federal districts of Oregon, Massachusetts, and Western Michigan. These three districts have established reentry court programs over the past 6 years. Such programs enable the court to impose graduated sanctions and positive reinforcement administered by a team that typically involves a judge, a probation officer, an assistant U.S. attorney, an assistant Federal defender, and a contract services provider. Within this general model, there is considerable variation. This article describes the features of the court reentry program in each of the three districts, along with an overview of an evaluation conducted of each program.

http://digitalcommons.pace.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1206&context=plr
This article outlines the history of prisoner reform and the concept of prisoner reentry. It examines offender reentry and how the courts and corrections play an important role in it. It also discusses the role played by reentry courts.

**Other Reports**

This document describes the model reentry court program, its basis in evidence, and its evaluation. It is divided into three main sections: the development of the District of Oregon Reentry Court, the components of the program, and guidance for replicating and customizing the model.
http://sooo.senate.ca.gov/sites/sooo.senate.ca.gov/files/a%20courtroom%20unlike%20any%20other.pdf
This case study of Santa Clara County’s Parolee Reentry Court explains its rationale and describes its operation, personnel, and impact on parolee recidivism.

This report outlines the progress of Pennsylvania’s Reentry Court Program, also known as the Supervision to Aid Re-entry (STAR) program, since its inception in September 2007. The report goes into how many graduates they have had, how many recidivated, and how the court and its participants are doing overall.

Websites
Center for Court Innovation
www.courtinnovation.org

National Association of Drug Court Professionals
www.nadcp.org
Regional Information Sharing System (RISS)

**Project Description**

The Regional Information Sharing System (RISS) is a program that serves local, state, Federal, and tribal law enforcement agencies in identifying, detecting, deterring, and preventing criminal and terrorist-related activity. RISS was established more than 40 years ago and is a valuable and cost-effective program trusted by over 49,000 individual users representing nearly 6,000 agencies in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, U.S. territories, Australia, Canada, England, and New Zealand.55

RISS provides support in three main areas. First, RISS facilitates and fosters communication and information sharing among law enforcement agencies through RISSNET, a secure cloud-based storage system. Second, RISS operates the nationwide RISS Officer Safety Event Deconfliction System (RISSafe), a database that stores and maintains information on planned law enforcement events and notifies agencies if there are potential conflicts between agencies and their scheduled operations.56 Finally, RISS develops analytical products, such as specialized charts and crime scene diagrams to assist with investigations and provide case support.57

**NIJ-Sponsored Evaluation**


This evaluation of RISS focused on a process analysis of the RISS program. It found that overall membership was increasing though funding remained constant. It also found growth in all of the RISS program areas, including training programs, database inquiries, and equipment loans. No analysis as to the effect or outcomes of the program was conducted.

**Academic Research**


This article examines regional data sharing for regional problem solving using the process evaluation findings of the East Valley, California COMPASS. The study found that the development of a data system for regional problem solving ignores the specific nature of problems and the practical barriers inherent in accessing diverse databases. The study concluded that regional data sharing is important but

---

55 [https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/bja/192666.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/bja/192666.pdf)
56 [https://www.riss.net/Resources/RISSafe](https://www.riss.net/Resources/RISSafe)
57 For more information, see [https://www.riss.net/Documents/Riss.Brochure.pdf](https://www.riss.net/Documents/Riss.Brochure.pdf)
may be better tailored toward sharing data for short-term tactical purposes or for simply identifying problems.

http://pqx.sagepub.com/content/16/2/211.full.pdf+html
This article discusses a national survey examining the experiences of state, local, and tribal law enforcement agencies as well as fusion centers (FCs) in building intelligence capacity. The authors found that officials from Federal, state, and local government believed the process of sharing information is neither “effective” nor “very effective”. The officials surveyed thought the information they routinely received was neither helpful in protecting the public nor reliable, since they claimed that such information was often not timely and not effective enough to prevent terrorist attacks.

This article states that intelligence coordination between Federal, State, and local agencies vary in consistency and effectiveness from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. However, the author finds that the Regional Information Sharing System Network (RISSNET) continues to act as a facilitator in the sharing of intelligence, and it helps coordinate efforts to combat criminal networks that operate across jurisdictions.

Other Research

Using a survey and case study design, this study examined the intelligence practices of state, local, and tribal law enforcement agencies. The authors found that while significant progress had been made since 9/11, there was still room for improvement. There was some indication that respondents thought that RISSNET and other services did not fully meet their needs.

This report summarizes the results of onsite evaluations of 17 regional, state, and local multijurisdictional information systems for law enforcement/criminal justice. RISS was not included in this evaluation. The evaluation found that success came from effective leadership, strategic planning, partnerships with users and the vendor community, and the ability to identify funding sources.

This study looked at the use of metrics to evaluate a regional information-sharing system. It found that a combination of metrics should be used to assess each objective and that qualitative data should be collected in conjunction with quantitative data.

This Concept of Operations (CONOPS) report gives an overview of best practices, recommendations, and ideas for planning, implementing, and operating a RISS. The results come from a national survey as well as site visits. The researchers noted that the system must be developed and designed for the specific intended use, training must be provided, and operating procedures must be put in place.


This handbook offers a clear, documented set of requirements and guidelines for law enforcement agencies undertaking a RISS. It focuses on functional and operational requirements, program organization, and program evaluation.

https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/Digitization/134345NCJRS.pdf

This article is based on a study of issues and management strategies related to automated sharing of criminal information by police agencies in California. The results of this study revealed great uncertainty about the future of law enforcement funding in general and of computer systems in particular. The study concluded that two elements are essential to a successful system: state funding and a better system for identifying individuals.

https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/bja/192666.pdf

This report discusses the background, key elements, and accomplishments of the RISS program. According to the report, from 1991 to 2001, RISS centers assisted with almost 58,000 arrests by offering information, analytical services, equipment loans, and confidential funds to member agencies. Approximately $10 billion in drug seizures and $21 million in civil Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) seizures were made possible because of RISS center services.

https://it.ojp.gov/documents/National_Criminal_Intelligence_Sharing_Plan.pdf

This report outlines a nationwide plan for the sharing of intelligence among law enforcement agencies. The plan establishes a backbone of information sharing by using the combined RISS network and the FBI Law Enforcement Online (LEO) system. This system merge in 2002 enabled a fully encrypted connection for the sharing of intelligence.


Five types of field-based information-sharing entities are supported, in part, by the Federal government: Joint Terrorism Task Forces, Field Intelligence Groups, RISS, fusion centers in states and major urban areas, and High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA) investigative support centers. They have
distinct missions, roles, and responsibilities. However, GAO identified 91 instances of overlap in some analytical activities, such as producing intelligence reports; and 32 instances of overlapping investigative support activities, such as identifying links between criminal organizations. GAO recommended that these systems be connected as soon as possible to reduce this overlap.

Website
Regional Information Sharing Systems
https://www.riss.net/
Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Program

Project Description
The Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners (RSAT) Formula Grant Program assists states and units of local government in developing and implementing residential substance abuse treatment programs in state and local correctional and detention facilities.

RSAT programs offer individual and group treatment activities for offenders and must meet these requirements:

- Last between 6 and 12 months.
- Be provided in residential treatment facilities set apart from the general correctional population.
- Focus on the substance abuse problems of the inmate.
- Develop the inmate’s cognitive, behavioral, social, vocational, and other skills to solve the substance abuse and related problems.58

Note: The RSAT Program has been evaluated multiple times, but these evaluations do not appear on CrimeSolutions.gov. The programs that are listed on CrimeSolutions.gov are similar to the RSAT in structure and have the same requirements. The rest of the sources listed were found on publicly available websites and published in academic journals.

NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations

A process evaluation of the Cooper Street residential drug treatment program in the State prison in Jackson, MI, focused on the central components of the program’s design, implementation, and operation. The study was designed to answer the first part of this call for action. The overall goals of this research were to (1) conduct a process evaluation that examined the integrity of program evaluation, and (2) make specific recommendations about program structure and eligibility criteria that could better prepare the program for an impact evaluation. This report highlights key implementation issues that could create substantial barriers to a rigorous outcome evaluation, and it also makes several recommendations for overcoming these barriers.

CrimeSolutions.gov Ratings:
   - Effective (0)
   - Promising (4)
   - No Effect (0)
   - Insufficient Evidence (1)

Partners and Professional Organizations:
- Advocates for Human Potential

58 This description about the program can be found on the following website:
https://www.bja.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?Program_ID=79
This report presents the methodology and findings of an outcome analysis of the Barnstable House of Corrections RSAT program in Massachusetts, whose objective is to reduce the likelihood of recidivism of inmates. This is part 2 of a 2-part series. This report looks at the process outcomes; part 1 looked at the program process.

*On Crimesolutions.gov list of Programs Reviewed but not rated.
This report presents the methodology and findings of a process evaluation of the Mohican Youth Center (MYC), which is a 160-bed secure facility operated by the Ohio Department of Youth Services as a substance abuse treatment facility for drug-involved youth who have been convicted of a felony. Youth assessed as needing long-term residential treatment are sent to MYC for the last 6 months of their sentence.

This report presents the methodology and findings of a process evaluation of the MonDay Community Correctional Institution’s RSAT in Dayton, Ohio. This evaluation looks at everything in the program from offender demographics to solving problems the report found.

This report presents the methodology and findings of a process evaluation of Ohio’s Nobel Choices program, a residential substance abuse treatment program within the Noble Correctional Institution, a medium-security prison. The process evaluation involved a descriptive analysis of a sample of program participants and a qualitative analysis of the nature of services provided. The sample consisted of 33 cases. The study period extended from the date of first admission (October 18, 1998) through March 31, 1999.

This report presents the methodology and findings of process evaluations of three RSAT programs in Ohio. This is a summary of all of the findings from the previous three reports.

This report presents the methodology and findings of a process evaluation of the RSAT program at the Southern New Mexico Correction Facility in Las Cruces, New Mexico. This report focuses on the process of the Genesis program. This is part 1 of a 2-part series.
This federally funded study explored the effectiveness and enhances the understanding of the RSAT Genesis in-prison therapeutic community at the Southern New Mexico Correctional Facility. The Genesis program uses social learning theory as its theoretical approach, which views the social environment as the most important source of reinforcement. The study examines the program’s effectiveness in treating and rehabilitating participants, in successfully integrating recovering inmates into their communities, in reducing post-release substance use, and in increasing social stability. This is part 2 of a 2-part series.

This report explains the nature and evaluation results of the inmate drug treatment programs established by the RSAT for State Prisoners Formula Grant Program under the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994. The treatment philosophy and approach is that of a therapeutic community environment grounded in behavioral and social learning concepts.

NIJ drafted this evaluation report to review several programs, compare different theoretical methods, and measure what impact the RSAT program had on inmates.

This is the final report on the national evaluation of the RSAT for State Prisoners Program from onset to midpoint. This report looks at all RSAT programs across the country as of December 1998.

This final report provided a process evaluation of the Rhode Island Department of Corrections Minimum Security RSAT. It examined the inner workings of a cognitive behavioral residential treatment program for male inmates in a minimum-security facility. The evaluation combines qualitative and quantitative methods in assessing the program and its operations. The Rhode Island Department of Correction’s minimum security unit for men worked with Brown University’s Center for Alcohol and Addictions Studies to conduct a thorough evaluation of the RSAT program. The review consisted of 30 cases, what inmates had to say about the program, and how the information was presented in the class.
This document presents three publications related to a process evaluation of inmate drug treatment programs in New Jersey correctional facilities. These publications include a cover letter from the Department of Corrections explaining concerns about the evaluation, a critique of the evaluation, and the evaluation report itself.

This evaluation, which was conducted by the New Hampshire Department of Corrections from June to September 2001, focuses its findings of the RSAT program on three categories: content and structure of the RSAT program, quality of staff and training, and individualities of the prisoners compared with another group.

This report presents the methodology and findings of an evaluation of Florida’s Dual Diagnosis Treatment Program (DDTP), a residential substance abuse treatment program for State inmates. This report also outlines difficulties the FDOC encountered and recommendations on how they can be avoided by others implementing the program.

The South Carolina RSAT program, also known as the Correctional Recovery Academy, provides educational treatment for incarcerated males ages 17–24 who are not charged with violent crimes. This evaluation consisted of several components: a comparison group, the CRA as an independent variable, criterion measures, and a 12-month follow-up period. The outcome was that the RSAT program did not reduce the recidivism rate, but actually increased it slightly.

This study examined the effectiveness of offender drug treatment programs. A quasi-experimental design was used to specify impact as indicated by recidivism, relapse, and parole revocation. While analyses revealed no statistically significant difference between treatment and control group participants on these outcome measures, implications of the efficacy of the treatment modality are ambiguous, since implementation failure masked determination of program effects. However, drug testing frequency after release was found to be a significant factor precluding failure, contrary to the conventional view that increased testing identifies greater use.
This report described findings of the process evaluation of the RSAT program operating within the Georgia Department of Corrections. The overall goal of the process evaluation was to examine the FSAT program in sufficient detail that the GDC has the information necessary to assess the program’s quality, efficiency, and effectiveness and to provide the needed contextual framework for an outcome evaluation of the program.

In 1998, Pennsylvania established two 60-bed drug treatment programs in State prisons under the Federal RSAT initiative that target technical parole violators (TPVs). This report looked over Pennsylvania’s RSAT program. The report presents findings from the process evaluation from the first year of RSAT implementation. The three key findings are in the areas of (1) program admissions and participants, (2) program retention and completion, and (3) program implementation. This is part 1 of a 3-part series.

This report describes outcomes from three phases of Pennsylvania’s RSAT program, comparing RSAT program participants and a group of technical parole violators who returned to prison after violating parole. This is part 2 of a 3-part series.

This report describes Pennsylvania’s efforts at reforming the parole system through the RSAT program. This report goes over the three phases in the RSAT program and how the program works. This report also goes over why RSAT was created and the outcomes of two studies of comparison groups. This is part 3 of a 3-part series.

Executive Summary (NCJ 186733): http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/niigrants/186733.pdf
A process evaluation of the drug treatment program in the Barnstable House of Correction in Massachusetts used both quantitative and qualitative methodologies to describe and assess the program, the participants and selection process, program completions and terminations, and compliance with known principles of effective drug treatment.

This is an overview of the South Carolina RSAT Program for State Prisoners. This report also goes over problems they found during the evaluation and includes suggested solutions to these problems.

The South Idaho Correctional Institution (SICI) began implementing the RSAT program in May 1997 to create a structured environment with three treatment modalities, including cognitive self-change and behavioral 12-step programming set within a therapeutic community. Their research questions were centered on whether the program delivery met various criteria. This included matching its stated goals and objectives; being consistent with identified successes in the literature; addressing the targeted population; being likely to result in reduced recidivism, lower costs, and greater abstinence, or measurable behavioral changes; being solidly established so that an outcome evaluation might be conducted; being marred by any communication or other implementation barriers; and being enhanced by development of cooperative remedies to address any real or perceived barriers to successful implementation.


This report presents the methodology and findings of an evaluation of the RSAT program at the South Idaho Correctional Institution, which targets parole-violating inmates with substance abuse problems. The research team believed that the Idaho RSAT program, even without improvements they thought were needed, is likely to result in less recidivism in program graduates and therefore lower cost to taxpayers.


This report compares residential substance abuse treatment in jail at 6 sites in Virginia. The 6 programs successfully graduate relatively few clients, ranging from 3 to 13 clients successfully completing the program. Many of the sites experienced problems with the clients being transferred to other facilities.


This process evaluation examined the implementation of the Maryland RSAT program by observing the therapeutic community program in the prison, conducting structured interviews with treatment and administrative staff members, and tracking client progress through both the treatment and criminal justice systems. It features observations of the treatment program in the prison setting to understand the nature of the substance abuse services offered and how the programs address development of each inmate’s cognitive, behavioral, social, and vocational skills.


This report presents the methodology and findings of a 2-year outcome evaluation of a component of Wisconsin’s RSAT for State Prisoners project; the methodology included the collection of qualitative and quantitative evaluation research data to assess the effectiveness of the Mental Illness-Chemical Abuse (MICA) Program at Oshkosh Correctional Institution (OSCI), which was implemented with RSAT funds. MICA is a residential substance abuse treatment program that utilizes a modified therapeutic community model to provide 8 to 12 months of residential treatment to male inmates who are determined to be dually
diagnosed with both substance abuse and mental health disorders. This outcome study documented important aspects of program implementation and effectiveness, including institutional (intermediate) outcomes and community outcomes of mentally ill offenders involved in the MICA program.

**Other Academic Articles**

**Amity In-Prison Therapeutic Community**
Program summary: Provides intensive treatment to male inmates with substance abuse problems during the last 9 to 12 months of their prison term. The volunteer participants must reside in a dedicated program housing unit during treatment.

The following 3 evaluations of this program were used as evidence for rating this program on crimesolutions.gov.

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.


http://cjb.sagepub.com/content/26/2/147.abstract

The reincarceration rates and days until reincarceration show a similar pattern of positive results. The full intent-to-treat group showed significantly more positive effects than the no-treatment control group. Within the intent-to-treat group, the post-release outcomes are generally related to increased involvement in treatment. Inmates with the most favorable outcomes completed both the prison therapeutic community (TC) and the aftercare TC program.


http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=54

The authors found that at 36 months, the intent-to-treat group showed lower rates of reincarceration than the control group; however, the difference was not statistically significant. A significant difference does emerge when looking at the intent-to-treat subgroups. Only 27 percent of the aftercare completers were reincarcerated, compared with more than three-fourths of the prison TC completers (with no aftercare), prison dropouts, and controls. When controlling for background variables, there was a significant relationship between increasing the amount of treatment and the amount of time until reincarceration. Thus, the longer the treatment duration, the longer the inmate remained on parole before a first return to prison.


http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=54

Although the authors found some significant differences between the groups when examining the outcomes of reincarceration using bivariate analysis, multivariate analysis did not find significant differences. Instead, other factors (age and post-release treatment) became significant predictors of reincarnation. Also, there were no significant differences on measurements of heavy drug use and employment over the 5-year follow-up period. There were some significant differences on employment and reincarceration rates when looking at the subgroups, but no significant differences on heavy drug use.
**Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Program**

**Delaware KEY/Crest Substance Abuse Programs**

*Program summary:* A prison-based therapeutic community for offenders with a history of substance abuse and a residential work release center that allows offenders to continue their treatment as they transition to the community. Both programs are part of the Delaware Department of Correction substance abuse treatment continuum.

The following 2 evaluations of this program were used as evidence for rating this program on crimesolutions.gov.

The program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. 


http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=55

When looking at the new grouping of study participants (Crest dropouts, Crest graduates with aftercare, and Crest graduates without aftercare), the results are somewhat different. Crest dropouts are just as likely to be arrested on a new charge as the comparison group. However, those who complete Crest do much better, and those who complete Crest and receive aftercare are the least likely to have a new arrest. For drug-free status, Crest dropouts are more than 3 times as likely to be drug-free, Crest completers more than 5 times as likely, and Crest completers with aftercare 7 times more likely to be drug-free versus the comparison group.


http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=55
http://cad.sagepub.com/content/50/1/88.short

The authors found that participation in the transitional treatment program more than quadrupled the odds of remaining drug-free at 42 months. Treatment participation was also a significant predictor of criminal recidivism. There was a 70 percent reduction in the odds of a new arrest for those assigned to treatment. The results for drug use and rearrests at 60 months were similar to the results at 42 months. Participation in the transitional treatment program still more than tripled the odds of remaining drug-free. Study participants with no previous treatment were also more likely to relapse, while those who received treatment were significant less likely to. Treatment participation was also a significant predictor of having no new arrests at 60 months.

**Forever Free**

*Program summary:* The first comprehensive, in-prison, residential substance abuse treatment program designed for incarcerated women.

The following 2 evaluations of this program were used as evidence for rating this program on crimesolutions.gov.

The program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.


http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=40

Hall and colleagues found that for measures of crime and recidivism, the bivariate analyses showed that significantly fewer Forever Free participants reported having been arrested or convicted during parole compared to the comparison group. About half of the Forever Free group had been arrested since their release from CIW, and half had been convicted since release. By comparison, 75 percent of women in the comparison group reported arrests since release, and 71 percent reported convictions. Although a smaller percentage of Forever Free participants reported being reincarcerated in jail or prison than the comparison group (50 percent versus 62 percent), the difference was not statistically significant.
This study demonstrates the effectiveness of the Forever Free program, which has a cognitive-behavioral orientation. Most research on prison-based treatment involves programs based on the therapeutic community model. The authors recommend further research on the effectiveness of psychoeducational or cognitive-behavioral models of treatment, in contrast to therapeutic community (TC) treatment within criminal justice settings. In addition, TC treatment programs typically are 9 to 12 months long. That the Forever Free program, which at the time of the study took only 6 months, was able to demonstrate its effectiveness may indicate that considerable cost savings could be achieved by shorter programs.

**Minnesota Prison-based Chemical Dependency Treatment**

*Program summary:* Prison-based chemical dependency treatment for offenders who are chemically abusive or dependent.  
The following evaluation of this program was used as evidence for rating this program on crimesolutions.gov.

The program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.  
The analyses by Duwe found that, compared with untreated offenders, those offenders who received prison-based chemical dependency (CD) treatment provided by the Minnesota Department of Corrections (MNDoc) had significantly lower rates of reoffending across all three recidivism measures (rearrest, reconviction, and reincarceration). Among offenders that received CD treatment, program completers had lower recidivism rates compared to program dropouts across all three measures. In addition, offenders who participated in medium-term programs had the lowest recidivism rates, followed by long-term program participants. The Cox regression analysis, which can control for other factors that may impact the outcome results, showed the same result: participation in prison-based CD treatment significantly reduced the hazard ratio for all three recidivism measures. Treated offenders recidivated less often and more slowly compared to nontreated offenders.

[http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/11291900](http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/11291900)  
In FY 1993 and FY 1995, the Federal Government awarded 27 5-year grants that supported 35 residential treatment projects for substance-abusing pregnant and postpartum women and their children. These projects provided comprehensive culturally and gender-specific treatment. Preliminary aggregated data collected in a national cross-site evaluation of 24 of these projects are encouraging with respect to infant mortality and morbidity, treatment retention and completion rates, and behavioral changes in the participating mothers at 6 months post discharge. Local evaluations reflect other benefits of treatment. Cost data are expected to demonstrate the efficiencies and benefits of these projects compared to no treatment.
A large percentage of individuals who enter residential substance abuse treatment drop out before completing treatment. Given that early treatment dropout places individuals at an increased risk for relapse, identifying the mechanisms underlying treatment dropout would have several important theoretical and clinical implications. In this study, the authors examined levels of psychological and physical distress tolerance as a predictor of early treatment dropout in a residential substance abuse treatment facility. In a sample of 122 individuals entering a residential substance abuse treatment facility, level of psychological distress tolerance was predictive of early treatment dropout above and beyond relevant self-report variables. There was no relationship between physical distress tolerance and early treatment dropout. Implications for future studies and treatment development or modification are discussed.

Although there is increasing emphasis on providing drug treatment programs for women that address their specific needs (including parenting and childcare), some women still fail to complete treatment. Because of the limited information about the barriers involved, this study examines pretreatment characteristics as predictors of program completion for 87 women who were pregnant or who entered residential treatment with their children. By using a multivariate prediction model, three significant predictors of treatment completion were identified: education level, recent arrests, and peer deviance. Women who completed program requirements were more likely to have a high school degree or equivalent, no arrests in the 6 months before admission, and friends who were less socially deviant. These findings support the need for specialized education and services that address social deviancy of pregnant and/or parenting women.

http://tpj.sagepub.com/content/81/2/246.full.pdf
Using data collected on youthful offenders with chemical dependency treatment needs in the Texas Youth Commission, this article presents a systematic and empirical process evaluation of factors associated with successful program progress in the Texas Youth Commission’s Chemical Dependency Treatment program. Analyses focus on appropriate program placement and whether and to what extent risk, dynamic or criminogenic need, and behavioral and treatment amenability factors are related to several key measures of program process. These include completion or expulsion, days to completion or expulsion, and performance as well as variation among these outcomes across treatment sites.

This research synthesized results from 66 published and unpublished evaluations of incarceration-based drug treatment programs using meta-analysis. Incarceration-based drug treatment programs fell into five types: therapeutic communities (TCs), residential substance abuse treatment (RSAT), group counseling, boot camps specifically for drug offenders, and narcotic maintenance programs. The authors examined the effectiveness of each of these types of interventions in reducing post-release offending and drug use. They also examined whether differences in research findings could be explained by variations in methodology, sample, or program features. The authors found support for the effectiveness of RSAT and
group counseling programs in reducing re-offending, but the effects of these programs on drug use were ambiguous.


This evaluation by the Department of Criminology and Criminal Justice of Central Connecticut State University spans three RSAT programs: the Time Program at the Carl Robinson Correctional Institution (Robinson C.I.), the New Horizons Program at the Osborn Correctional Institution (Osborn C.I.), and the Marilyn Baker House at the York Correctional Institution (York C.I.). This evaluation focused on finding the differences among the three programs and then identifying what contributed to the successes and failures of each program’s group of inmates, with the goal of making the programs more effective.


This study used a quasi-experimental research design in evaluating the effectiveness of residential substance abuse treatment in reducing recidivism among high-risk offenders under community corrections supervision. The findings show that the treatment group was more likely to be charged with a probation violation, whereas controls were significantly more likely to be arrested for a criminal offense during the 18-month follow-up period. This confirms the literature’s consistent finding that intensive supervision significantly increases rates of technical violations. For example, among the offenders on intensive probation supervision, treatment participants were drug tested an average of 18 times compared with a mean of 11.5 for the control group. The treatment group experienced a larger reduction in mean incarcerations compared to the control group, again suggesting a positive effect of residential drug treatment.


This study examined the planning, implementation, and outcome of Pennsylvania’s coordinated use of RSAT funding from the outset of the initiative. This report follows the previous reports and presents new information about the program’s ongoing implementation, and insight into the reasons why the participants violated parole. It also gives an overview of the first 5 years of Pennsylvania’s RSAT program along with lessons from the program for policymakers.

*Other Reports*


This program update offers a brief description and history of the RSAT program. The update also discusses the program components; any recent changes to the RSAT program; a national and state-level evaluation; and several RSAT activities in the 2003 and 2002 fiscal years for each state, including the 5 U.S. territories.
This report includes grant activity performance information reported by recipients of BJA RSAT funds for 2013. It outlines key metrics such as program enrollment, completion rate, risk and needs assessments, and treatment services for jail- and prison-based program participants as well as individuals in aftercare.

This closeout report presents an overview on what the RSAT program entails. It presents comprehensive data on RSAT grantees for January 2010 to March 2012. This shows in detail how each grantee must allocate the grant amount across several categories and what positive outcomes result from implementing the program.

This resource includes grant activity performance information reported by recipients of BJA RSAT funds. It includes key metrics such as program enrollment, completion rate, risk and needs assessments, and treatment services for jail- and prison-based program participants as well as individuals in aftercare.

*Website*  
Advocates for Human Potential  
http://www.ahpnet.com  
http://www.rsat-tta.com
Second Chance Act (SCA)

Project Description
The Second Chance Act (SCA) was created to provide grants to state and local government agencies and community organizations for employment and housing assistance, substance abuse treatment, family programming, mentoring, victim support, and other services that help people returning from prison and jail to safely and successfully reintegrate into the community. This legislation supports eligible applicants in developing and implementing comprehensive and collaborative strategies that address reentry challenges by increasing public safety, reducing recidivism, and cutting correctional spending. BJA funds six separate programs that offer reentry services in employment, family relationships, substance abuse, and mental health among the reentry population:

- Co-Occurring Substance Abuse and Mental Health Problems
- State, Local, and Tribal Reentry Courts
- Family-based Prisoner Substance Abuse Treatment Programs
- Technology Careers Programs
- Adult Mentoring Programs
- Adult Offender Reentry Demonstration Programs

Although the six programs differ in population served and/or services provided, they all have certain characteristics in common—mainly, that all programs MUST offer both pre-release and post-release services.

Note: When looking through the research, many studies and evaluations came up for “reentry courts”. But it was still limited when considering what is required of a SCA grantee (providing both pre- and post-release services). All research listed below meets this one requirement, along with all other requirements as specified in the grant application. 59 This report will also be divided up by type of program and the research found for each program.

Co-Occurring Substance Abuse and Mental Health Program 60

NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations


This study conducted an evaluability assessment (determination of whether a project is a candidate for meaningful evaluation) of the Hudson County Community Reintegration Program (CRP), a FY 2011 SCA demonstration site in New Jersey.

59 https://www.bja.gov/search_results.aspx?x=0&y=0&search=SCA+Funding
60 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
This study conducted an evaluability assessment (determination of whether a project is a candidate for meaningful evaluation) of the Beaver County (PA) ChancesR: Reentry, Reunification, and Recovery Program, a FY 2011 SCA demonstration site.

**Other Academic Articles**

**San Francisco (CA) Behavioral Health Court**

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Program summary: A mental health court that aims to reduce recidivism of criminal defendants with serious mental illness. The court connects defendants with community treatment services and considers the defendant’s mental illness and severity of the offense in disposition decisions.

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

The outcome results from this study showed participation (even if a participant did not complete the entire program and graduate) was associated with positive results.

- *Time until a new charge:* Participation in the Behavioral Health Court (BHC) predicted a longer time to any new charge. At 18 months, the treatment group was 26 percent less likely to have been charged with a new offense compared with the treatment-as-usual group.

- *Time until a new violent charge:* Participation in BHC also resulted in a longer time to a new violent charge. The treatment group was 55 percent less likely to have been charged with a new violent offense compared with the treatment-as-usual group.

**Other Reports**

This report covers four quarters of data collected from July 2011 to June 2012 for the Second Chance Act Co-occurring Substance Abuse and Mental Health Reentry Program grantees.

**State, Local, and Tribal Reentry Court Program**

**NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations**

This report presents the methodology and findings of an evaluation of the implementation of 10 Second Chance Act (SCA) adult demonstration grants for programs intended to improve reentry services for adult offenders.

This study conducted an evaluability assessment (determination of whether a project is a candidate for meaningful evaluation) of the Johnson County (KS) Reentry Project (JCRP), a FY 2011 SCA demonstration site.

*Other Academic Articles*

**Preventing Parolee Crime Program (PPCP)**

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Program summary: A multidimensional, parole-based reintegration program that aims to reduce parolee crime and reincarceration by providing parolees with services to facilitate their successful reintegration into society following release from prison.


http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=72

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

The authors found that participants of the Preventing Parolee Crime Program (PPCP), as a whole, had a recidivism rate 8 percentage points lower than non–PPCP parolees (44.8 percent versus 52.8 percent, respectively). In addition, increasing levels of participation in PPCP services was associated with an even lower recidivism rate.


[http://sw.oxfordjournals.org/content/56/1/53.short](http://sw.oxfordjournals.org/content/56/1/53.short)

This 3-year study investigated the effects of collaboration-based in-jail services and post-release transitional services provided by the Allegheny County Jail Collaborative (ACJC) in Pennsylvania. The results included a significantly lower recidivism rate among inmate participants, similar service benefits across racial groups, and successful reintegration into community life among a large majority of participants.

*Other Reports*


[https://www.bja.gov/Publications/SCAReentryDemo_PPR_06-12.pdf](https://www.bja.gov/Publications/SCAReentryDemo_PPR_06-12.pdf)

This report covers four quarters of data collected from July 2011 to June 2012 for Second Chance Act Adult Reentry grantees.
Family-based Substance Abuse Treatment Program

**NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations**


This study was an evaluability assessment (determination of whether a project is a candidate for meaningful evaluation) of the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (ODRC) Healthy Environment, Loving Parents (HELP II) program, a FY 2011 SCA demonstration site.


This report presents an evaluability assessment (determination of whether a project is a candidate for meaningful evaluation) of the Missouri Department of Corrections (DOC) Second Chance in Action (SCIA) program, a FY 2011 SCA demonstration site. Missouri’s SCIA program is an innovative approach to community-based reentry services for female offenders released from incarceration into rural communities.

**Other Reports**

https://www.bja.gov/Publications/SCAFamily-Based_PPR_06-12.pdf

This report covers four quarters of data collected from July 2011 to June 2012 for the Second Chance Act Family-Based grantees.

**Technology Careers Program**

**NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations**

No NIJ-sponsored evaluations were found that met the requirements under Technology Grants for Second Chance Act grantees.

**Other Academic Articles**

Corrections-Based Vocational Training Programs.

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Practice summary: Vocational training or career technical education programs in prison that are designed to teach inmates about general employment skills or skills needed for specific jobs and industries.

Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/PracticeDetails.aspx?ID=24

http://www.crimesolutions.gov/PracticeDetails.aspx?ID=24

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Davis and colleagues conducted a meta-analysis of evaluations examining the effectiveness of programs that provide education to incarcerated adults. A comprehensive literature search was done that covered the period from January 1, 1980, through December 31, 2011. To be included in the review, a study...
needed to (1) evaluate an eligible intervention, (2) measure success of the program using an eligible outcome measure, and (3) employ an eligible research design.

http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/924

**This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.**

This meta-analysis updated and extended an earlier 2001 review by Aos and colleagues. The review’s overall goal was to present policymakers in Washington State with a comprehensive assessment of adult corrections programs and policies that can affect crime rates. This meta-analysis concentrated exclusively on adult corrections programs.

http://www.crimesolutions.gov/PracticeDetails.aspx?ID=24

**This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.**

The authors examined the effectiveness of corrections-based education, vocation, and work programs for adult offenders through a meta-analysis of 33 experimental and quasi-experimental evaluations.

Postsecondary Correctional Education (PSCE)

**This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.**

Practice summary: Postsecondary correctional education (PSCE), which is academic or vocational coursework taken beyond a high school diploma or equivalent that allows inmates to earn credit while they are incarcerated.

Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/PracticeDetails.aspx?ID=23

http://www.crimesolutions.gov/PracticeDetails.aspx?ID=23

**This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.**

The author conducted a meta-analysis of studies examining the effects of postsecondary correctional education (PSCE) on recidivism. Only published articles and unpublished research finalized between 1990 and 1999 were included in the review. PSCE was defined as any type of education beyond high school, or its equivalency, that has inmates in prisons or jails for students (including vocational, academic, undergraduate, graduate, certificate, or degree programs). If studies combined data on inmates participating in PSCE with inmates in adult basic education and GED courses, they were eliminated. Studies had to include recidivism rates of program participants to be included. Studies were located through literature reviews and requests of information from the Correctional Education Association. The review included correlational and quasi-experimental studies.


**This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.**

The authors conducted a meta-analysis of evaluations examining the effectiveness of programs that offer education to incarcerated adults. A comprehensive literature search was done that covered the period from January 1, 1980, through December 31, 2011. To be included in the review, a study needed to (1) evaluate an eligible intervention, (2) measure success of the program using an eligible outcome measure, and (3) employ an eligible research design.
**Other Reports**

This report covers four quarters of data collected from July 2011 to June 2012 for the Second Chance Act Technology Careers grantees.

**Adult Mentoring Program**

This document presents an evaluation of the Reentry Court Initiative (RCI) from the Office of Justice Programs (OJP), which establishes a system of offender accountability and support services throughout the reentry process.

**NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations**

This study conducted an evaluability assessment (determination of whether a project is a candidate for meaningful evaluation) of the Boston Reentry Initiative (BRI), a FY 2011 Second Chance Act (SCA) demonstration site.

This study conducted an evaluability assessment (determination of whether a project is a candidate for meaningful evaluation) of the Palm Beach County (FL) Regional and State Transitional Ex-Offender Reentry (RESTORE) Initiative, a FY 2011 SCA demonstration site. The RESTORE Initiative is a coordinated countywide approach to providing reentry services to moderate-risk and high-risk offenders returning to Palm Beach County from Florida Department of Corrections correctional facilities.

This study conducted an evaluability assessment (determination of whether a project is a candidate for meaningful evaluation) of 8 FY 2011 SCA demonstration sites. These sites shared the goals of increasing reentry programming for returning prisoners and their families, reducing recidivism of program participants by 50 percent over 5 years, reducing parole violations, and improving reintegration outcomes.

This study conducted an evaluability assessment (determination of whether a project is a candidate for meaningful evaluation) of the Solano County (CA) Women’s Reentry Achievement Program (WRAP), a FY 2011 SCA demonstration site. WRAP uses a gender-responsive, evidence-based, offender-informed approach to address the barriers facing female offenders as they transition from jail to the community.


This study conducted an evaluability assessment (determination of whether a project is a candidate for meaningful evaluation) of the New Haven (CT) Reentry Initiative (NHRI), a FY 2011 Second Chance Act (SCA) demonstration site.

**Other Academic Articles**

**Auglaize County (Ohio) Transition (ACT) Program**

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.  
Program summary: One of the Nation’s first jail reentry programs, working to reduce recidivism of jail inmates once they reenter the community, in part by linking inmates to various resources.  
Details: https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=130


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.  
The study found the Auglaize County Transition (ACT) Program in Ohio was successful in reducing recidivism rates among program participants. Bivariate analysis showed that only 12.3 percent of program participants were rearrested during the 12-month follow-up period, compared with 82 percent of the control group.

**Boston (Massachusetts) Reentry Initiative (BRI)**

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.  
Program summary: An interagency public safety initiative to help incarcerated violent adult offenders transition back to their Boston neighborhoods following release from jail through mentoring, social service assistance, and vocational development.  
Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=42

http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=42

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.  
The first analysis by these authors found consistently and significantly lower failure rates for the participants of the Boston Reentry Initiative (BRI) in Massachusetts compared with the control group, though the differences between the two groups narrowed somewhat over time. Arrests for violent crimes presented a similar pattern over the course of the study. The second analysis that looked at the effects of treatment on time to failure also found statistically significant differences between the treatment and control groups.
Delaware KEY/Crest Substance Abuse Programs

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. ✔
Program summary: A prison-based therapeutic community for offenders with a history of substance abuse, and a residential work release center that allows offenders to continue their treatment as they transition to the community—both part of the Delaware Department of Correction’s substance abuse treatment continuum.
Details: https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=55

http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=55

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. ✔
When looking at the new grouping of study participants (Crest dropouts, Crest graduates with aftercare, and Crest graduates without aftercare), the results are somewhat different. Crest dropouts are just as likely to be arrested on a new charge as the comparison group. However, those who complete Crest do much better, and those who complete Crest and receive aftercare are the least likely to have a new arrest. For drug-free status, Crest dropouts are more than three times as likely to be drug-free, Crest completers more than five times as likely, and Crest completers with aftercare are seven times more likely to be drug-free versus the comparison group.

http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=55
http://cad.sagepub.com/content/50/1/88.short

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. ✔
The authors found that participation in the transitional treatment program more than quadrupled the odds of remaining drug-free at 42 months. Treatment participation was also a significant predictor of criminal recidivism. There was a 70 percent reduction in the odds of a new arrest for those assigned to treatment. The results for drug use and rearrests at 60 months were similar to the results at 42 months. Participation in the transitional treatment program still more than tripled the odds of remaining drug-free. Study participants with no previous treatment were also more likely to relapse, while those who received treatment were significant less likely to. Treatment participation was also a significant predictor of no new arrests at 60 months.

https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=55

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. ✔
The analyses by these authors showed that the KEY–Crest and Crest–only groups were significantly more likely to be drug free and arrest free at follow-up than the KEY–only and the no-treatment groups. However, the differences between the KEY–only group and the comparison group on both measures were not statistically significant.
Forever Free

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Program summary: The first comprehensive, in-prison, residential substance abuse treatment program designed for incarcerated women.

Details: https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=40


http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=40

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

The authors found that for measures of crime and recidivism, the bivariate analyses showed that significantly fewer Forever Free participants reported having been arrested or convicted during parole compared with the comparison group. About half of the Forever Free group had been arrested since their release from CIW, and half had been convicted since release. By comparison, 75 percent of women in the comparison group reported arrests since release and 71 percent reported convictions. Although a smaller percentage of Forever Free participants reported being reincarcerated in jail or prison than the comparison group (50 percent versus 62 percent), the difference was not statistically significant.

InnerChange Freedom Initiative (Minnesota)

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Program summary: A voluntary faith-based prisoner reentry program that attempts to prepare inmates for reintegration into the community, through employment as well as family and other significant relationships, using educational, values-based programming

Details: https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=353


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Overall, the authors found that InnerChange Freedom Initiative participants had lower recidivism rates than nonparticipants for three of the four recidivism measures. They also found varying levels of recidivism rates for program participants. For example, completers had lower recidivism rates than dropouts, and participants who continued to meet with their mentors had lower rates than participants who did not meet with their mentors at all or who did only while in prison.

Other Reports


This report presents a set of strategies for reducing recidivism and improving employment opportunities for recently released inmates. It is intended for use by policymakers and practitioners who recognize the need for improved collaboration between the corrections and workforce development fields.

This report covers four quarters of data collected from July 2011 to June 2012 for Adult Mentoring Second Chance Act grantees.

**Adult Offender Reentry Demonstration Program**

**NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations**


This study conducted an evaluability assessment (determination of whether a project is a candidate for meaningful evaluation) of the Minnesota Department of Corrections High Risk Recidivism Reduction (HRRR) Demonstration Project, a FY 2011 SCA demonstration site. The HRRR Demonstration Project aims to reduce recidivism in a high-risk population of parole violators through collaborative case management and the co-location of services supported by DOC and community agency partnerships.


This report presents the program characteristics and preliminary themes from the National Institute of Justice’s evaluation of Second Chance Act Adult Reentry Courts.

**Other Academic Articles**

**Auglaize County (Ohio) Transition (ACT) Program**

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. [✓](https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=130)

Program summary: One of the Nation’s first jail reentry programs, working to reduce recidivism of jail inmates once they reenter the community, in part by linking inmates to various resources.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. [✓](https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=130)

This study found the Auglaize County Transition (ACT) Program in Ohio was successful in reducing recidivism rates among program participants. Bivariate analysis showed that only 12.3 percent of program participants were rearrested during the 12-month follow-up period, compared with 82 percent of the control group.

**Community and Law Enforcement Resources Together (ComALERT)**

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. [✓](https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=114)

Program summary: A reentry program in Brooklyn, NY, that provides substance abuse treatment, employment, and housing services for parolees transitioning from prison back into the community.


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.  

The authors examined the recidivism rates between Community and Law Enforcement Resources Together (ComALERT) participants and a matched control group. They found statistically significant and nonsignificant treatment effects on several outcome measures: Rearrest, Reconviction, Reincarceration by parole violation, Reincarceration by new sentence, Any reincarceration, employment and earning, co-residence and contact with children, and drug and alcohol use.

**Serious and Violent Offender Reentry Initiative (SVORI)**

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having no effect.  

Program summary: A collaborative Federal effort that concentrated on improving criminal justice, employment, education, health, and housing outcomes of adult and juvenile offenders upon their release from incarceration.  

Details: https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=167


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having no effect.  

The authors found that the Serious and Violent Offender Reentry Initiative (SVORI) significantly increased access to a variety of services for program participants. However, the results suggest that the number of participants who reported receiving services was smaller than the number of participants who reported needing the services. The overall results of the impact evaluation did not show many significant differences between SVORI participants and non–SVORI participants using measures of housing, substance use, and criminal behavior/recidivism for adult male and female offenders and juvenile male offenders.

**Other Reports**


This report covers four quarters of data collected from July 2011 to June 2012 for the Second Chance Act Adult Reentry grantees.

**Research and Evaluations for the SCA Program**

*OJP-Sponsored Evaluation*


This report presents information on the strategies and successes of Second Chance Act grantees, highlighting the following recipients that have received funds and implemented successful programs. These include the New York City Office of the Criminal Justice Coordinator—Harlem Parole Reentry Court; Ottawa County, Michigan’s West Shoreline 2nd Chance Connections program; the Comprehensive Community Cross-System Reentry Support Project run by the Department of Human Services in Oakland, CA; the New Haven Reentry Initiative run by the Connecticut Department of Corrections; the Springfield Community Mentoring Project, Springfield, MA; the Co-occurring Program at Minnesota
Correctional Facility—Lino Lakes, run by the Minnesota Department of Corrections; the Ohio Department of Youth Services; the Gang Intervention Treatment: Reentry Development for Youth program in Harris County, TX; Project Reconnect in Tulsa, OK, run by the Girl Scouts of Eastern Oklahoma; the Family Supports for Treatment and Reentry Success: Center for Family Success in Multnomah County, OR; and the Wisconsin Tribal Community Reintegration Program, run by the Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin.

**NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations**


The evaluation of 10 State and local governments from around the Nation, which first received SCA funding, determined that reentry programs are moving toward a rehabilitative philosophy and an acceptance of evidence-based practices.


This report, which is reprinted from *Corrections Today*, briefly discusses two Federal initiatives aimed at reducing recidivism among offenders: the Serious and Violent Offender Reentry Initiative (SVORI) and the Second Chance Act (SCA). Analysis of SCA was begun in 2010 and is ongoing. The goal of the evaluation is to compare recidivism rates for offenders both during and after their participation in reentry court programs.

**Other Academic Articles**


From 2005 to 2007, NRRC reported that 7 states had achieved recidivism reduction. In this report for 2007 to 2010, NRRC notes that 8 new states have been added to the recidivism reduction list.


The author comments on the SCA itself and then discusses the potential significance of a reentry focus at sentencing. Finally, he suggests some concerns about the ability of the reentry movement to live up to its potential. In brief, he is troubled by the tendency to frame and evaluate reentry initiatives as solely (or even primarily) recidivism reduction measures. He states that although decreased crime rates are certainly a plausible and desirable consequence of devoting more attention and resources to offenders during their transition from prison. He conceptualizes the reentry “problem” as a crime prevention issue that misses many important social welfare and social justice concerns implicated in the treatment of returning prisoners and threatens to reinforce, rather than supplant, the legalist mindset that fuels mass incarceration.

**Staff Training Aimed at Reducing Rearrest (STARR)**

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. Program summary: A training program for Federal community supervision officers providing direct service to clients (i.e., offenders under supervision), with the goal of improving one-on-one officer–client interactions to reduce risk and thereby client recidivism. Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=236
Second Chance Act (SCA)


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

This study used an experimental pretest/posttest design to examine the impact of Staff Training Aimed at Reducing Rearrest (STARR). Federal probation officers who volunteered to participate in STARR were randomly assigned to the experimental (trained) and control (untrained) groups. The randomization procedure was completed so that 66 percent of the officers were randomly assigned to the experimental group and the remaining officers were assigned to the control group.

Strategic Training Initiative in Community Supervision (STICS)

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

Program summary: A job training program for probation officers to help them apply the risk–need–responsivity (RNR) model with probationers to reduce recidivism.

Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=47


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising.

The results of the study by Bonta and colleagues revealed significant changes in the officer population, but nonsignificant though positive differences in subsequent recidivism among offenders.

Transitional Case Management

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having no effect.

Program summary: A strengths-based case management intervention that provided expanded case management services during an inmate’s transition from incarceration to the community.

Details: https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=222


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having no effect.

The authors failed to find statistically significant differences between the groups at 3 months or 9 months with regard to participation in services. Compared with those in the standard referral (SR) group, those in the Transitional Case Management (TCM) group did report significantly more nights in residential substance abuse treatment at 3 months; however, the difference was not significant at 9 months. There were no other differences in treatment or other services received by both groups.

Other Reports


The objective of this audit was to examine OJP’s design and management of its three prisoner reentry grant programs: the Serious and Violent Offender Reentry Initiative (SVORI), the Prisoner Reentry Initiative (PRI), and the Second Chance Act Prisoner Reentry Initiative (SCA). Because the initial SCA grants were awarded in September 2009, OIG could not evaluate the monitoring and effectiveness of the SCA grant program. Discussion related to the SCA grant program is limited to the adequacy of the performance measures designed to monitor the program’s progress.
**NIJ-Pending Resources**

The following articles are ongoing evaluations that will be published in 2015. Preliminary results, where available, are discussed, and links are provided to publicly available project descriptions.


The project is studying 8 adult reentry courts. Early results indicate that a majority of program participants were male, their average age was 33, and their ethnicity varied greatly depending on site location. Follow-up found that 52% of participants reported being steadily employed since their release from incarceration. Many participants reported that they were currently living in a house or apartment (80%), and smaller percentages (0%–12%) stated they had experienced homelessness since their release. Participants reported being pleased with their experiences in the reentry program but cited room for improvement in housing, employment, public assistance, and transportation.


This report documented the first year of implementation across 8 reentry court program sites. The sites shared common core characteristics, including an emphasis on post-release services, the range of services offered, use of case management techniques, reliance on the court to monitor participant progress, drug testing, and creation of a team approach when giving rewards or sanctions. All 8 sites used reentry court participation as a special condition of a participant’s community supervision. A majority of sites served moderate- to high-risk individuals. Many reentry programs excluded sex offenders or those with serious mental illness.


This implementation study focused on 10 grantees awarded SCA adult demonstration grants. All grantees reported that they limited enrollment to offenders who scored at moderate or high risk for recidivism. Early results indicate that grantees experienced several challenges, such as low community support, few employment opportunities for participants, difficulty coordinating services, and the lengthy time needed for the program to begin running smoothly. Other barriers included participants with low educational levels, poor coping skills, and high instances of substance abuse and mental illness. Sites able to overcome some of these challenges indicated that they gained significant experience in needs-based planning and coordination for pre- and post- services, strengthened government and community partnerships, and embraced a rehabilitative philosophy.

The following resources currently do not offer any additional publications for inclusion in this literature review. Literature reviews are updated annually, and subsequent editions will include any final evaluations or reports from these resources.

**NIJ Award Detail: Evaluation of the FY2011 Bureau of Justice Assistance Second Chance Act Adult Offender Reentry Demonstration Projects (Focus Area 2)**  

This project will assess the implementation, effects, and cost-effectiveness of an adult reentry program using data from 8 sites. Analysis will examine the yearly recidivism rates (reincarceration and rearrests) for program and comparison participants. The study will also examine the effect of the reentry program on employment, housing, child support payments, drug use, and supervision violation rates. A supplemental award was granted that will examine 40 treatment and 40 comparison cases for greater in-depth program analysis, such as short-term program effects and any other possible “delayed effects.”
NIJ Award Detail: Evaluation of FY2010 Juvenile SCA  
This project will conduct a comprehensive evaluation of Second Chance Act projects from FY2010 released through OJJDP. Five sites will participate in the overall process and fidelity evaluations, and the top 2 to 3 sites will be included for more intensive evaluation analysis. The proposed study will examine youth participants for a total of 15 months, with interviews conducted 30 days before release, 6 months post-release, and 12 months post-release.

NIJ Award Detail: Evaluation of the Multi-Site Demonstration Field Experiment: What Works in Reentry Research (DFE)  
This research project will examine the effectiveness of a newly designed model, Demonstration Field Experiment (DFE), which seeks to promote a successful transition from prison back into the community. The follow-up period will be 12 months to assess if significant differences emerge between experimental and control groups. Key subgroups based on risk level will also be analyzed. A supplemental award will examine 3 sites and analyze whether specially trained parole officers and service providers influence offender outcomes post-release.

Websites

American Correctional Association (ACA)  
http://www.aca.org/

Council of State Governments (CSG) Justice Center  
http://csgjusticecenter.org

National Institute of Corrections (NIC)  
http://nicic.gov/
Smart Policing Initiative

**Project Description**

The Smart Policing Initiative (SPI) supports law enforcement agencies in testing innovative, evidenced-based solutions to serious crime problems. A key goal of the program is to build evidence-based, data-driven law enforcement tactics and strategies that are effective, efficient, and economical. Smart Policing supports a strategic approach that incorporates science into police planning and operations. To do this, agencies are encouraged to leverage innovative applications of analysis, technology, and evidence-based practices. The overall goal of the SPI is to improve policing performance and effectiveness while containing costs, an important consideration in today’s fiscal environment.\(^{61}\)

**Note:** The evaluations that follow include not only SPI evaluations, but also evaluations of specific strategies that fit into SPI.\(^{62}\) This includes hot spots policing, problem-oriented policing, and other strategies. Evaluations have been selected from crimesolutions.gov, publicly available reports, and academic journals.\(^{63}\)

**OJP-Sponsored Evaluation\(^{64}\)**


Preliminary findings suggest that through engagement and education of community agencies and members, progress in process-related goals have been made. Positive process goals include increases in prescription drug abuse education, reductions in prescription drug availability, and enhanced suppression in reducing illegal prescription drug abuse.

[http://erx.sagepub.com/content/35/6/592](http://erx.sagepub.com/content/35/6/592)

See also: [http://erx.sagepub.com/content/early/2012/01/03/0193841X11433827](http://erx.sagepub.com/content/early/2012/01/03/0193841X11433827)

This program is rate on crimesolutions.gov as promising.\(^{\checkmark}\)

This article presents findings from the impact evaluation on Boston’s SST program, summarizing an early evaluation of an SPI award site from the hot spots policing program run by the Boston [MA] Police Department’s Safe Street Team (SST). The SST was determined by Crime Solutions to be promising. This evaluation was conducted with funding from BJA under the Smart Policing Initiative. The program

---

\(^{61}\) For more background on the Smart Policing Program, please visit the following link:  

\(^{62}\) Many policing programs fall under the category of “smart” programs and are not included here. This review seeks to describe the theory and evaluate of SPI, not provide evaluations of all “smart” policing programs.

\(^{63}\) Crimesolutions.gov program and practice areas are discussed through the articles and reports cited for each program or practice. In some cases, one article may have multiple ratings, or multiple articles may make be used to determine a single rating. Therefore, the number of reports or articles given a crimesolutions.gov rating in this report may not match what is found by searching crimesolutions.gov.

\(^{64}\) For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
was associated with a statistically significant reduction in violent index crimes at the treatment places relative to comparison sites, without displacing crime into nearby areas.

http://pqx.sagepub.com/content/16/3/339

This article summarizes the process and impact evaluations of the SPI Safe Street Teams (SST) strategy implemented in the City of Boston. Findings upheld the effectiveness of the SSTs and the value of research, since the evaluation assisted Boston police in strengthening the implementation of the program. Results of the process evaluation suggested the program was on target in addressing areas with high levels of violence. The impact evaluation determined that the SST intervention strategies were related to a reduction in violent crime in treatment areas.


The authors review the success of the SPI program and the findings of evaluations at reducing gun violence from a number of SPI sites. Implications of these successes are discussed, as well as plans for future research.


This report highlights the SPI project in San Diego, California, and discusses an evaluation of the impact of associated police operations to target gang activity. Although this was not a long-term evaluation and only focused on the grant period, various findings are discussed, including a decrease in crime in the target areas and a substantial increase in gang intelligence about how gangs operate in San Diego.


http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.2011.00240.x


This report documents the results of the experiment on the Smart Policing Initiative in the City of Philadelphia, which was funded through the Smart Policing Initiative BJA grant. Study activities included pre-post surveys of officers and residents involved in the experiment. Findings showed that offender focus areas were successful in reducing all violent crimes by 22 percent and violent felonies by 31 percent compared with the equivalent control areas.

---

65 Citations for the CNA “Spotlight” articles are presented in addition to journal articles when there is more than one piece written on the same program evaluation with the same outcomes.

The study revisited the Philadelphia Foot Patrol Experiment and explored the longitudinal deterrent effects of foot patrol in violent crime hot spots using Sherman’s (1990) concepts of initial and residual deterrence decay as theoretical framework. The study found that it is theoretically possible that previously displaced offenders returned to the original target areas, causing inverse displacement.

http://pqx.sagepub.com/content/16/3/287

Both articles present findings from an evaluation conducted on the Strategic Extraction Restoration (Operation LASER) program in Los Angeles. The program is designed to reduce gun violence as part of the Smart Policing Initiative. In the current study, the effectiveness of Operation LASER was assessed at the reporting district level using a panel design. Initial results indicated a significant reduction in gun crime in treatment areas compared with reporting districts from other divisions. Specifically, results show that Part I violent crimes, homicide, and robbery all decreased significantly after Operation LASER began. After the program was implemented, Part I violent crimes dropped by an average of 5.4 crimes per month, and homicides dropped by 22.6 percent per month. It is worth noting that the declines in crime did not occur outside of the treatment area, which presents strong evidence that Operation LASER caused these declines.

http://www.smartpolicinginitiative.com/sites/all/files/spotlights/Palm%20Beach%20Program%20Profile%20FINAL%202012.pdf
This report highlights the early results from a program evaluation of Palm Beach County, Florida. Findings suggest positive progress has been made, including improved relations between the police and the immigrant community as well as a reduction in robbery.

http://pqx.sagepub.com/content/16/3/305

These articles discuss problem-oriented policing in Glendale, Arizona. The department received funding in 2009 through BJA’s SPI. Results indicate that crime dropped significantly (42 percent) at the SPI target

---
67,8 Citations for the CNA “Spotlight” articles are presented in addition to journal articles when there is more than one piece written on the same program evaluation with the same outcomes.
stores from the year preceding the intervention to the year after. This decline is inconsistent with crime patterns witnessed at the remaining convenience stores in Glendale.

**Non-OJP Sponsored Evaluation**


The article and spotlight report discuss how Lowell, Massachusetts, operationalized problem-solving in crime hot spots. It focuses on the alignment between property crime problems and response strategies selected to achieve results. The authors found a high degree of congruence between the SPI problem-solving components, which likely produced the positive crime reductions observed in the outcome data.

**Other Academic Articles**


This article reports the results of an impact evaluation of the focused deterrence strategy known as pulling levers. Findings suggest that the pulling levers strategy was associated with a statistically significant decrease in the monthly number of gun homicide incidents in Stockton, California. A comparative analysis of gun homicide trends in Stockton relative to other midsize California cities also supports a unique program effect associated with the pulling levers intervention.


This article reports the results of a systematic review of the hot spots policing innovation. Results showed that 20 of 25 tests of hot spots policing interventions reported noteworthy reductions in crime and disorder. The extant evaluation research offers fairly robust evidence that hot spots policing is an effective crime prevention strategy.


This practice was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. ✅

---

69 Bond & Hajjar (2013) is not sponsored by BJA. The evaluation conducted by Bond and colleagues (2014) is of a sponsored SPI BJA program, and funds were used to conduct the evaluation.

70 CNA “Spotlight” articles are presented in addition to journal articles when there is more than one piece written on the same program evaluation with the same outcomes. In this case, the *Police Quarterly* article was not funded by BJA, but the program evaluation was.

71 These articles serve as evidence of the successful elements of SPI. Since SPI can include a number of different types of policing methods, this research demonstrates a portion of the evidence base in support of various SPI techniques. These references are not direct SPI evaluations but may be an important resource as part of the background regarding SPI’s success. Examples include crime analysis, intelligence-led policing, hotspots policing, pulling levers, and problem-oriented policing.

72 This evaluation was sponsored by DOJ before the SPI grant program. This is supporting evidence for the need of SPI programs.

73 The Campbell Collaboration (C2) is in Oslo, Norway. However, the reported findings are based on a program conducted in the United States.
This analysis examined the use of pulling levers focused deterrence strategies to reduce crime. This report presents the results of a meta-analysis of evaluations of 10 programs that used pulling levers focused deterrence strategies to prevent gang and group-involved violence. The analysis found that 9 of the 10 programs evaluated reported statistically significant reductions in crime. The analysis of the evaluations found that focused deterrence strategies are highly effective at producing statistically significant, medium-sized reductions in crime.

http://cad.sagepub.com/content/59/7/1085
This study examines the effect of a strategic, pulling levers intervention that was implemented by law enforcement officials in Rockford, Illinois, to address drug markets in a high-crime neighborhood. Study findings suggest that the Rockford strategy was associated with a statistically significant and substantive reduction in crime, drug, and nuisance offenses in the target neighborhood.

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.

This study examines a drug market intervention using the pulling levers method. Findings showed a statistically significant reduction in violent offenses in specific high-crime locations across the targeted neighborhoods compared with the rest of High Point, North Carolina, and relative to comparable nontargeted areas. The citywide violent crime rate actually increased after a series of interventions unfolded, which may suggest limitations to the approach. Finally, trend analyses indicated the strategy had different levels of violent crime impact throughout unique geographic contexts.

http://www.emeraldinsight.com/journals.htm?articleid=17048129
This report examines policing activities in hot spots to determine if the various types of crimes were affected when deployment was applied on a rotation basis. It was found that the hot spots policing of the Dallas [TX] Police Department’s Disruption Unit immediately affected violent crimes, nuisance offenses, and total index crimes, while there were no residual effects of hot spots policing.

This study assesses the intelligence-led policing model used by the Denver [CO] Police Department (DPD). This study focuses on the crimes of burglary, motor vehicle theft, and robbery to identify the impact an intelligence-led policing model implemented by the DPD has on the cited crimes.

doi: 10.1177/1043986214525080
http://ccj.sagepub.com/content/30/2/147.abstract
This article examines the role of crime analysis as a component in specific police strategies to reduce crime. Findings show that crime analysis clearly plays a significant role in effective police approaches. It is just as apparent that crime analysis plays a very limited role in policing approaches that are ineffective. These findings show that crime analysis is a key component in successful crime reduction efforts.


This study reports on the outcome of a systematic review examining the effectiveness of problem-oriented policing (POP) in reducing crime and disorder. Findings show that POP has an overall modest but statistically significant impact on crime and disorder.

Other Reports


This summary article discusses the findings from the process and impact evaluations conducted in Boston, MA, on their Smart Policing Initiative called Safe Street Teams (SST). Articles listed previously present more in-depth information on the evaluation of this site-specific evaluation.


https://www.bja.gov/Publications/WSSU-PB4-WinstonSalem-ILP.pdf

This is a blueprint document that describes the implementation of the Project Safe Neighborhoods Winston-Salem Intelligence-Led Policing Initiative. This initiative was a collaborative effort with the purpose of creating a systemic change in the ways in which police departments reduce crime in the Winston-Salem community. The document outlines the steps taken to implement the project and guidelines on how to replicate it in other communities. The report highlights information on the development of the team and its approach and includes goals and objectives as well as strategies for implementing the initiative.


This research paper addresses the need for a new strategy to be used in today’s policing practices as a result of recent fiscal and demographic changes in American society. The paper examines the current strategies used in policing and discusses how smart policing can be used a problem-solving approach. Seven steps that law enforcement can use to develop new policing strategies are outlined in the paper.


This report documents the use of intelligence-led policing (ILP) as a crime reduction strategy by various law enforcement agencies across the Nation. The focus of the study was to determine the commonalities, challenges, and best practices of ILP programs so that they could be replicated in other jurisdictions. The study reports on the commonalities that are a critical part of successful ILP programs. The review of the programs found that the most successful programs had certain factors in common that led to their success.

74 Some of the reports listed in this section are related to a previous article or evaluation. These reports are listed because the evaluations were conducted on the same program.
Websites

CNA Smart Policing Initiative (SPI)
http://www.smartpolicinginitiative.com

International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP)
http://www.theiACP.org/

Police Executive Research Forum (PERF)
http://www.policeforum.org/
**Project Description**

BJA’s Smart Prosecution Initiative (SPI) program is designed to promote effective data-driven research-based approaches to prosecution and prosecutor-led justice system innovations and reforms. The Smart Prosecution model builds on the lessons learned from BJA’s “Smart Suite” of crime fighting programs—Smart Policing, Smart Supervision, and now Smart Prosecution. Smart Prosecution pairs an operational, results-focused researcher with a prosecutor’s office to develop data-driven solutions for effective, efficient, and just prosecution strategies to improve public safety. The program offers valuable resources designed to equip a prosecutor’s office with access to data across various information systems in criminal justice and related fields. BJA hopes that the lessons learned will promote a rich body of evidence prosecutors can use nationwide as they work with communities to solve chronic problems and fight violent crime.\(^75\)

**NIJ-Sponsored and Other OJP-Sponsored Evaluations**

*Note:* Because this program is relatively new, no BJA program evaluations were found during the literature review. The listed articles provide background on smart prosecution initiatives and include related materials intended to show support for future evaluations.

**Academic Research**\(^76\)


This study employed a nationally representative sample of felony drug defendants and examined whether or not age and gender mitigate the effect of race on prosecutorial decisions to dismiss criminal charges. The study found that race did not affect the decision to dismiss a charge. However, the results did indicate that middle-aged White defendants were treated more punitively than young Black defendants.


The article focuses on pretrial diversion (PTD), a program that deals with juvenile offenders as an alternative to prosecution. The study found that program success was dependent on an open-systems management style and the collaboration of multiple agencies through the use of effective communication. It concludes that PTD should be evaluated as a possible evidence-based practice.

\(^75\) More in-depth information about the program can be found in the program solicitation: [https://www.bja.gov/Funding/14SmartProsSol.pdf](https://www.bja.gov/Funding/14SmartProsSol.pdf)

\(^76\) For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
Other Research


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as promising. Operation Hardcore is a special prosecution unit for gang-related offenses. The unit focuses on working with victims and witnesses to help eliminate the gang problem. Result show that the program resulted in a decrease in dismissals, an increase in convictions, and an increase in the rate of incarceration.


This article describes an intelligence-driven program used by prosecutors in New York. The program centers around a database of known gang members, some of whom have not been arrested or convicted. When a gang member on the watch list is arrested, prosecutors seek harsher penalties to keep that person off the street. In some cases, minor charges that normally would be dropped lead to convictions and jail time.


Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN) is a gun crime−reduction program focused around increased Federal prosecution for gun offenses. This evaluation of PSN found that prosecution increased in many but not all jurisdictions as a direct result of program implementation. An analysis of their crime data found that as PSN implementation increased, a bigger drop in gun-related crime also occurred.


This study examined the community prosecution approach, which brings prosecutors together with the community to identify problems and develop solutions. It found that there is a difference between community prosecution and traditional prosecution in practice, but that there is no real philosophical difference between the two approaches.


This study examined the Community-Based Prosecution Model, which categorizes incoming cases based on the charges, complexity, and likely time to disposition. This leads to better use of limited judicial resources. Preliminary results show that felony cases are being disposed of more quickly and fewer are being dismissed.

---

77 This program is included as an example of a smart prosecution program that shows promise.
78 For more information on PSN, please see BJA’s PSN literature review.
Smart Supervision Program (SSP)

Project Description
BJA’s Smart Supervision Program (SSP) uses evidence-based practices to improve adult probation and parole. The program’s main goal is to improve the success rate for those on probation or parole. This in turn can lead to improved public safety and fewer prison and jail admissions. A secondary SSP goal is to enroll uninsured parolees in Medicaid.

By improving supervision practices through evidence-based programs, SSP hopes to reduce recidivism among probationers and parolees. This is done through a cross-sectional collaboration across all sectors of the justice system. Grantees are also required to incorporate a research partner to assist with data analysis, strategy development, and evaluation.

Note: Process and outcome evaluations of the SSP program and its grantees have not yet been completed and are not included in this report. Instead, the articles listed offer evaluations and information on the core aspects of SSP: evidence-based practices, research partnerships, and data-driven approaches in corrections. Evaluations fitting these criteria have been selected from crimesolutions.gov, publicly available reports, and academic journals.

OJP-Sponsored Research

NIJ Evaluations


This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as having insufficient evidence. This study explores the characteristics of caseload size among probation officers to determine whether smaller caseloads improve probation outcomes. The results suggest that reduced caseloads can lead to less recidivism. Officers trained in evidence-based practices who have reduced caseloads are better able to identify treatment needs among their clientele, and they are better able to direct resources to those most in need.


This study evaluates various parole methods in Georgia for their effectiveness. The report reaches some noteworthy general conclusions about supervision: adherence to evidence-based supervision can improve the odds of a positive outcome, and there is promise in using data to develop supervision strategies.

---

80 For more information on SSP, see the 2014 solicitation at [https://www.bja.gov/Funding/14SmartSupervisionSol.pdf](https://www.bja.gov/Funding/14SmartSupervisionSol.pdf)

81 For information on specific practices that fall under SSP, see the literature reviews of Project HOPE, JRI, and the Second Chance Act.

82 Crimesolutions.gov program and practice areas are discussed in the articles and reports cited for each program or practice. In some cases, one article may have multiple ratings, or multiple articles may be used to determine a single rating. Therefore, the number of reports or articles given a crimesolutions.gov rating in this report may not match what is found by searching crimesolutions.gov.

83 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.

This article discusses the use of a data-driven tool to identify probationers tracked through Philadelphia’s Adult Probation and Parole Department who are likely to reoffend. Co-developed with a research partner from the University of Pennsylvania, the tool rates the risk of a probationer as high, medium, or low, then the appropriate level of supervision assigned based on this ranking. This kind of assessment can lead to increased public safety and lower costs.

**Other OJP-Sponsored Research**


This article touches on the need for a collaboration mindset in the implementation of evidence-based principles in community corrections. The collaborative process is intended to move participants away from the traditional definition of power as control or domination, and towards a definition that allows for shared authority. Working collaboratively with all stakeholders in the planning and implementation of systemic change in community corrections can result in a more coherent continuum of care, using evidence-based principles to reduce recidivism.


This publication summarizes a growing body of research about evidence-based strategies in five areas for reducing recidivism among criminal offenders: (1) assessment that uses validated screening and assessment tools to determine offender risk and needs; (2) treatment that applies risk-need-responsivity (RNR) principles when matching offenders to interventions; (3) deterrence that imposes certain and consistent consequences in response to noncompliance; (4) procedural justice that establishes fair and consistent procedures and treats offenders respectfully, and (5) collaboration that obtains the buy-in and participation of multiple criminal justice agencies, including both top-level officials and line staff.

**Academic Reports**


In 2007, elected officials in Texas faced a major dilemma: spend $500 million to build and operate new prisons to accommodate the surging number of people expected to be incarcerated, or explore options to control that growth. The Texas legislature adopted a budget that included greater treatment capacity in the prison system and expansion of diversion options in the probation and parole system. The final budget adopted by the legislature for the 2008–2009 biennium reflected an increase of $241 million in funding for additional diversion and treatment capacity. The expansion of these programs translated into a net savings of $443.9 million. The increase in treatment capacity and intermediate sanction facilities funded by the initiative has helped to increase the number of people on probation connected to services and reduce the number revoked to prison.

---

84 Links to abstracts are provided when full articles are not available.

This study examines the link between incarceration and access to health care and found that those who had been incarcerated had less access to health care compared with those who had not been incarcerated. The author concludes that this can be fixed through programs to enable access to health care for those who were formerly incarcerated.


This article describes lessons learned from one group of researchers after partnerships with practitioners. Most of the conflict came from differing priorities throughout the process. In general, research partners wanted a robust study with data that could be used in academic reports and to inform the field. In contrast, practitioners wanted a study that helped the agency, used funds and staff effectively, and prioritized practitioner needs over general research. These seemingly conflicting needs were overcome through respect and open communication that allowed both sides to find solutions.


This article emphasizes the importance of using evidence-based corrections to reduce crime in the community. The article examines what programs work, which ones don’t, and which are promising. Although a variety of community-based programs work or are promising, intensive supervision and shock probation programs do not work.


New ways of thinking about community corrections are necessary to reduce both the economic impact and the public safety consequences of offenders cycling in and out of prison and jail. Several new paradigms for dealing with offenders have recently emerged and are expanding throughout the United States. All of these approaches involve using sanctions that are swift, certain, and modest rather than random and severe, which is the status quo.


This paper compares three contemporary models of probation supervision: surveillance (protecting community safety), treatment (promoting offender rehabilitation), or hybrid (both surveillance and treatment). Results indicate that a hybrid model is more effective than either surveillance or treatment alone. However, most agencies focus on surveillance and have not included an evidence-based treatment element.


Efforts to use evidence-based practices will likely have limited success altering the outcomes from incarceration unless more attention is paid to the principles of effective interventions and access to...
efficacious treatment services. The most comprehensive survey of services, conducted in 2005–2006, found that less than 10% of offenders can participate in treatment services on a given day. Expanding access and participation rates in programs can yield reductions in recidivism, and adding treatment matching will accelerate the recidivism reduction. By offering risk-need-responsivity (RNR) programming, the reincarceration rate can be reduced by 3% to 6%.

This article reports on the development and operation of a multijurisdictional collaboration of probation agencies in Arizona. This effort facilitates rational planning for and implementation of evidence-based policies and practices for reducing recidivism. The shared vision of this ongoing project is to train officers in evidence-based tools to reduce recidivism, as well as to support and learn from community corrections partners in Arizona as knowledge and skill acquisition progresses.

Other Reports

This article describes several trends in probation and parole, including the establishment of formal, high-level partnerships with other agencies and researchers and the use of data in the evaluation of programs. This results-driven system relies on performance measurement to prove success.

http://www.uc.edu/content/dam/uc/ccjr/docs/reports/project_reports/PA_Reentry_executivesummary.pdf
This study examined which community corrections centers in Pennsylvania were effective in reducing recidivism. A total of 54 programs were evaluated, scored, and rated on program content and capacity based on the Evidence-Based Correctional Program Checklist (CPC). The study found that of the 54 sites, 93 percent were ineffective or needed improvement. Of the two types of facilities examined, the community contract facilities (CCFs) offered more treatment programs than the community corrections centers (CCCs), yet the CCC programs had lower rates of recidivism than the CCF programs.

http://hsr.himmelfarb.gwu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1296&context=sphhs_policy_facpubs
This report examines how the Affordable Care Act (ACA) will change health coverage for those on probation, many of whom have unmet health, mental health, and substance abuse problems. By offering health insurance for these individuals, it may be possible for more people to successfully complete probation.

Website
Council of State Governments Justice Center
http://www.csgjusticecenter.org/
State and Local Anti-Terrorism Training (SLATT)

Project Description

The State and Local Anti-Terrorism Training (SLATT) program is dedicated to providing critical training and resources to our Nation’s law enforcement professionals, who face the challenges presented by the terrorist/violent criminal extremist threat. To help confront this threat, the SLATT Program provides specialized multiagency antiterrorism detection, investigation, and interdiction training and related services to state, local, and tribal law enforcement and prosecution authorities.

The SLATT Program has been proactively involved in antiterrorism research and training since 1996. SLATT focuses on the prevention of terrorism in the United States by offering the tools necessary for state, local, and tribal law enforcement officers to understand, detect, deter, and investigate acts of domestic terrorism by both international and domestic terrorists.86

NOTE: No published evaluations were found for this program. However, BJA, working with NIJ, has an ongoing process and impact evaluation currently being conducted by RAND Corporation that will conclude in 2015. The evaluation is examining the process by which the SLATT program’s curriculum is developed and implemented, the cost effectiveness of the SLATT program, and the degree to which it has an impact on countering violent extremism.

OJP-Sponsored Research87

https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/bja/192165.pdf

This program brief gives an overview on what the State and Local Anti-Terrorism Training program is responsible for accomplishing. The brief also discusses which law enforcement entities should consider implementing the SLATT program.

Other Reports

http://valorforblue.org/Documents/Publications/Public/The_Thin_Blue_Line-Step_One_in_Homeland_Defense_SLATT.pdf

This document explains that state and local law enforcement professionals are the first to respond to any domestic threat, including terrorism. To better understand a terrorist threat, state or law enforcement agencies are encouraged to take advantage of the training opportunities available from SLATT and other programs that cover this topic.

86 This description, as well as more in-depth information about the program, can be found at the following website: https://www.slatt.org/SLATT
87 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the link where access information is located.
Website
State and Local Anti-Terrorism Training
www.slatt.org/
Project Description

The Statewide Automated Victim Information and Notification (SAVIN) Program helps protect victims and survivors of crime from further victimization and ensures that their rights are secured. It does the latter by providing registered individuals with timely and accurate information about any important dates related to the criminal proceedings at issue in their case (e.g., trial dates, times, or changes; probation hearings; inmate relocation; and offender release) as well as specific changes to the status of offenders who committed crimes against them. (The program is guided in part by the general principles of 42 USC 10603e.) SAVIN focuses on three areas: governance, technology, and program management. Together, these components seek to improve the governance process of the criminal justice and law enforcement agencies; the use of technology to manage information to and from victims, providing timely service; and program management as guided by the governance structure.

Highlighted Reports


This issue brief is the result of a U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice funded evaluation of the SAVIN program administered by the Bureau of Justice Assistance. The information presented was collected primarily through telephone interviews with system administrators over the course of the evaluation. Findings indicate that AVN systems are extremely diverse across jurisdictions. Given this diversity, it is critical that victims understand what services are and are not provided.

NIJ-Sponsored Evaluations88


This report presents the findings and methodology of an evaluation of the federally funded Automated Victim Notification (AVN) system, which offers crime victims timely and accurate information on court events and status changes in the course of their case processing. The researchers also present a cost consideration analysis as a topic for discussion and offer recommendations for future enhancement of the program. The evaluation featured three phases, each of which sought to provide a comprehensive evaluation of AVN services as well as recommendations for future research. The study concluded that 47 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico operate some AVN system, the majority of which were opened to the public and were offered in multiple languages. Overall, the research found that service providers regard AVN systems as beneficial to increasing victims’ sense of safety, and the majority of

88 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the link where access information is located.
victims who use the systems reported being satisfied with the services. Case studies of states identified promising strategies and examined cost and sustainability issues relevant to AVN systems.

**OJP-Sponsored Evaluations**

This literature review has not yielded any OJP-sponsored evaluations related to this program or research area.

**OJP-Sponsored Research**


[https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/243841.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/243841.pdf)

This issue brief discusses cost considerations associated with the implementation and operation of AVN and the implications for system sustainability. Researchers conducted interviews with system administrators, vendors, and other key stakeholders, as well as reviews of budget information provided by a select number of state administrators. The study found that cost considerations for AVN systems must take into account each state’s unique demographic characteristics (e.g., the population of incarcerated offenders and the number of custodial facilities) and system structures (e.g., whether the system is operated in-house or by an outside vendor, the number of participating facilities/branches, and the availability of different system features). The generally high costs of AVN systems highlight the importance of gaining a more in-depth understanding of the cost-benefit value of these systems.


[https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/243840.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/243840.pdf)

This issue brief summarizes the findings of a survey of service providers and crime victims about their awareness and use of their jurisdiction’s AVN systems. The service providers’ survey targeted individuals providing direct services to victims of violent crime. Survey results demonstrate that the overall concept of automated notification is important to service providers and victims, and that AVN provides a valued service. Both service providers and victims expressed high satisfaction with and perceived benefits from their jurisdiction’s AVN system, and the majority of victims indicated that they would recommend the system to others.


[https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/243843.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/243843.pdf)

This issue brief highlights findings from an evaluation of practices that jurisdictions can use to improve services provided by their AVN systems. Researchers collected information from multiple sources, including interviews with system administrators, surveys of service providers and victims, and case studies of states employing a range of practices for providing notification services. Findings indicate high satisfaction with AVN systems among registered victims; however, they also suggest that systems could be enhanced to better respond to the multiple needs and diverse populations of victims. For example, registration should be made as simple and customizable as possible, and notifications should provide comprehensive information to ensure that AVN systems are adequately meeting the needs of victims. The authors also recommend further research to assess the security of victim data stored in the AVN systems and to explore the role of privacy and anonymity in victims’ decisions to register for AVN.
Using the project’s SAVIN Contacts database, the researchers sent a seven-question survey to 93 individuals. They included SAVIN administrators, project advisory board members, “other state contacts” (practitioners and key stakeholders working within state SAVIN programs), and project team members. The survey was developed using Survey Monkey, and emailed to the 93 individuals on two separate occasions in April and May 2012. It was completed by 32 respondents. The vast majority of respondents (80 percent) indicated that they currently use BJA grant funding to support their programs. One-third of respondents (33 percent) have a line item in their state budget, and nearly one-quarter (24 percent) are using Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) funding.

This comprehensive booklet presents recommendations and standards for planning, implementing, and operating an effective SAVIN program.

Academic Articles

http://digital.ncdcr.gov/cdm/ref/collection/p249901coll22/id/28188
This report summarizes the findings of a process and impact evaluation of the SAVAN system. The study documented the extent of the program’s impact on victims of crime, law enforcement, jail operations, and prosecutor offices. The findings validate the assumption that the SAVAN network is accomplishing one of its intended purposes and demonstrating an above-average impact on reducing, or minimizing, the workload of the local criminal justice agencies as related to victim notification. The study also found that SAVAN was cost-efficient and that a majority of victims using the services were satisfied with the system. The authors conclude with three policy recommendations: the need for a greater and more intensified public relations campaign; the need for legislation to provide sustained funding for the SAVAN network; and the need for a more in-depth examination of reported technical problems, including both their frequency and magnitude.

http://crj.sagepub.com/content/early/2013/01/06/1748895812469382
This study uses the Victim Personal Statement (VPS) policy in England and Wales to evaluate what factors influence whether victims are informed of the VPS, and what factors influence the decision to provide a VPS. The authors use British Crime Survey (BCS) data. VPS is a controversial topic that has inspired several decades of debate. Criminal justice systems internationally have implemented diverse types of such policies, but regardless of the type, the literature shows that victims seldom provide statements. Despite these findings, few studies have explored the notification and use of these policies. The findings of this study show that several characteristics of victims, offenders, offenses, and jurisdictional criteria influence both notification and utilization of victim statements. The authors go on to discuss the implications of these findings.
Other Articles

http://www.appa-net.org/eWeb/docs/appa/pubs/Perspectives_2012_Spotlight.pdf
This special edition is a collection of articles to support community corrections professionals in incorporating victims’ rights and services into daily practice. This issue reflects on ways to promote restitution collection, timely notifications, and other sources of support to victims.

http://www.thefreelibrary.com/SAVIN lives: notifying victims of their assailants' whereabouts can...-a0153899399
The authors explain that SAVIN systems are designed to ensure that victims receive the information they need to participate fully in the judicial process. By registering with the system, victims, their families, and coworkers are notified when any significant event surrounding a case occurs. The authors stress that SAVIN systems need coordination, collaboration, and leadership at all levels of state government, as well as money at the state and local levels.

The Michigan Crime Victim Services Commission (CVSC), which published this FY 2005 annual report, is responsible for overseeing Michigan's wide range of funding and services for crime victims. It operates under the Michigan Department of Community Health, which administers three victim service programs that provide approximately $20 million in services to more than 250,000 citizens each year. Programs are crime victim compensation, crime victim rights, and crime victim assistance. All programs are fully supported by assessments or fines paid by convicted defendants in state and Federal courts. The CVSC provides an advisory function in policy development, determines criminal assessment amounts, and acts as the appeals forum for compensation claims. The commission also supports training and technical assistance for victim advocates in Michigan and manages the statewide automated crime victim notification project.

http://www.appa-net.org/eWeb/docs/appa/pubs/PHVIPPRR.pdf
This report’s 10 recommendations for the development of victim-centered policies, protocols, and training curricula for community corrections are based on input from crime victims and community corrections professionals who testified at two public hearings in the early 1990s. The recommendations are intended to improve services to crime victims and survivors throughout the community corrections process. This report also summarizes some of the key perspectives witnesses offered during the hearings.

This fact sheet provides information on how community corrections professionals can enhance their victim notification practices. Recommendations include providing victims an orientation regarding their rights and information on how the victim can access notifications regarding the offender. The factsheet also provides suggestions on ways to notify the victim.
Website:
National Criminal Justice Association
http://www.ncja.org

SAVIN Online Community site
http://savinonline.org/

VINELink ver. 2.0
https://www.vinelink.com/vinelink/initMap.do
VALOR Program

Project Description

In 2010, the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) at the U.S. Department of Justice created the VALOR Program to help prevent violence against law enforcement officers and ensure officer resilience and survivability following violent encounters during the course of their duties. VALOR was developed to address the increase in ambush-style assaults that have taken the lives of many law enforcement officers in recent years.

VALOR provides critical nationwide training and technical assistance to sworn state, local, and tribal law enforcement officers. It does this through a variety of delivery mechanisms and conducts and disseminates analysis of violent encounters in various forms, including after-action reviews and publications focusing on lessons learned.

Note: No evaluations of the VALOR program were found during the review. The articles listed here give background information on selected publications about officer safety to show support of the VALOR program.

OJP-Sponsored Research

This study investigated rates of injuries sustained by law enforcement officers. This study, conducted by the International Association of Chiefs of Police and BJA, investigated rates of injuries sustained by law enforcement officers.

Other Academic Articles

http://policing.oxfordjournals.org/content/8/2/193.short
This research examined a number of community elements to investigate violence against the police. A number of findings are presented that include the relationships between population size, overall aggravated assault rates, and minority representation.

http://cad.sagepub.com/content/early/2013/08/21/001128713498330.full.pdf
This research reviewed NIBRS data to compare incidents in which law enforcement officers experienced violence with a random sample of encounters in which they did not. They identify a number of situational factors related to violence against the police.

For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
http://pqx.sagepub.com/content/15/3/262.full.pdf
This research examines the extent to which injuries to law enforcement officers have changed from 1996–1998 to 2006–2008. Findings indicated that the frequency and rate (injury incidents per officer) of officer assaults, other suspect-related injuries, and accidents declined during the study period. Reasons for this decline are discussed.

This research examined a number of police agency factors to determine their impact on the level of violence against the police. Findings indicated that body armor policies, agency accountability, and violent crime were all factors influencing police violence.

http://hsx.sagepub.com/content/12/4/350.full.pdf
This research examines the variables associated with law enforcement homicides and reports on the elements most likely to be present during these events. Findings include a number of environmental and demographic factors most likely to be present during felonious line-of-duty deaths.

http://hsx.sagepub.com/content/14/1/3.full.pdf
This study investigates the relationship between the political conditions of large U.S. cities and the number of homicides of police officers in the line of duty in 1980, 1990, and 2000. Findings suggest a number of connections between income and race characteristics, as well as police killings related to the incidence of violence against the police.

http://pqx.sagepub.com/content/15/2/115.short
This research examines the role of CEDs and police officer injuries. Findings indicated that there were increased benefits for officer use of CEDs. Findings also indicated that the use of CEDs, along with other types of force, led to an increase in officer injuries. The implications for these findings are considered.

**Other Reports**

http://www.policechiefmagazine.org/magazine/index.cfm?fuseaction=display_arch&article_id=2384&issue_id=52011
This article describes the National Center and why it was created: to conduct studies on how to prevent violence against law enforcement professionals.

Washington, DC: Author.
This web-based document from the Federal Bureau of Investigation presents information on the number of law enforcement officers killed and assaulted in 2012.

See also: https://www.ncjrs.gov/App/Publications/abstract.aspx?ID=263458

This webcast of *FEDtalk* features an interview with an official from the Office of Justice Programs and the CEO of Memorial Fund, who discuss the Memorial Fund’s report on 2012 officer fatality rates.

https://webapps.icma.org/pm/9502/

This article discussed the partnership between DOJ and the U.S. Attorney General to address the major increase in deaths of law enforcement officers in the line of duty from 2009 to 2011. The article provides a review of the statistics, presents a case study in a sidebar, and outlines steps DOJ is taking to prevent further fatalities for officers.


This fact sheet is a list of priorities conducted by the Office of Safety and Wellness (OSW) to address police safety.

*Website*

VALOR for Blue  
http://www.valorforblue.org/
Veterans Treatment Courts (VTC)

**Project Description**

Veterans Treatment Courts are the newest Drug Court model and they are the quickest growing Problem Solving Court as well. In 2008, the first Veterans Treatment Court opened up Buffalo, New York.90 As of December 31, 2013, there were 188 Veterans Treatment Courts in the United States and its territories.91 A Veterans Treatment Court is defined as a “hybrid integration of the Drug Court and Mental Health Court principles to serve military veterans, and sometimes active-duty personnel.” Veterans Treatment Courts “promote sobriety, recovery, and stability through a coordinated response that involves collaboration with the traditional partners found in Drug Court and Mental Health Courts, as well as the Department of Veterans Affairs, volunteer veteran mentors, and organizations that support veterans and veterans’ families.”92

The need for Veterans Treatment Courts is shown through our returning soldiers. It is estimated that “one in five veterans has symptoms of a mental health disorder” and “one in six veterans” who served in Operation Enduring and Iraqi Freedom “suffer from a substance abuse issue.”93 The Veterans Treatment Court model requires “regular court appearances, as well as mandatory attendance at treatment sessions and frequent and random testing for substance abuse use”.94 Veterans respond favorably to this structured environment given their past experiences in the Armed Forces.95 The Veterans Treatment Court is able to ensure that the soldiers meet their obligations to themselves, the court, and their community.96

*Note:* Although Veterans Treatment Courts are the fastest growing Problem Solving Court, there is little in academic research on the topic since they are so new to the system.

**BJA-Sponsored Evaluations**97


Recovery Solutions was contracted to conduct a comprehensive evaluation using both quantitative and qualitative measures to effectively evaluate grant outcomes as well as the 10 Key Components of Veterans Court. They were also asked to present a basis for recommendations and future research. Comparative cohort analysis, participant interviews, participant focus groups, participant surveys, staff interviews, and court observations were used to effectively evaluate the BVTC.

---

91 www.ndcrc.org
93 www.justiceforvets.org
94 Ibid.
95 Ibid.
96 Ibid.
97 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the website where access information is located.
**Academic Articles**


The author covers the history and importance of Veterans Treatment Courts, identifies who they serve, and looks at recidivism rates. This report shows that Veterans Treatment Courts do in fact reduce recidivism and promote rehabilitation.

http://d20j7ic7dvmpq00.cloudfront.net/sites/default/files/ndci/DrugCourtReviewVolume7PDF.pdf

This article examines how Veterans Treatment Courts have developed out of and been informed by existing treatment court theory and practice. It also identifies the unique elements that characterize this new form of treatment court.

http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/osjcl/Articles/Volume7_2/Hawkins-FinalPDF.pdf

This article discusses the need for Veterans Treatment courts and the brief history behind the courts. The evidence presented shows that the courts are indeed helping nonviolent veterans return to society.


This report reviews the history of combat trauma and how the United States has dealt with it (from legislation to Supreme Court decisions). The author concludes that we must encourage our judicial system to use the alternative treatments available (Veterans Treatment Courts) to help serve our returning military.

http://www.jaapl.org/content/41/2/256.long

This article examines how Veterans Treatment Courts can help the rural veteran population with their mental health and substance abuse needs. The article notes that 39% of returning veterans are in rural communities, and Veterans Treatment Courts are a good way to get them the help they need. Rural communities do not have access to the variety of health services and alternative treatments of urban areas. Veterans Treatment Courts provide these communities with the health programs and officials they need.

**Prolonged Exposure Therapy**

This program was rated on crimesolutions.gov as effective.  
Program summary: A cognitive–behavioral treatment program for individuals with posttraumatic stress disorder.  
Details: http://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=152
Wrongful Conviction Review Program (Justice for All Act)

**Project Description**

The Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) Wrongful Conviction Review Program seeks to provide high-quality and efficient representation for defendants in post-conviction claims of innocence. Such claims are likely to include complex challenges to the reliability or accuracy of evidence presented at trial and, as such, require high-quality representation. Specifically, this program’s goals are to (1) provide quality representation to those who may have been wrongfully convicted of crimes they did not commit; (2) alleviate burdens placed on the criminal justice system through costly and prolonged post-conviction litigation; and (3) identify, whenever possible, the actual perpetrator of the crime. Grants of up to $250,000 are available to public and nonprofit entities that work to exonerate people who have been wrongfully convicted.98

This program builds on funding established in the Justice for All Act of 2004. While the bulk of the law focused on enhancing DNA analysis, it also authorized grants to states to improve the quality of legal representation, including investigative and expert services provided to indigent defendants in state capital cases.

**NIJ-Sponsored and OJP-Sponsored Evaluations**

*Note:* Because this program is relatively new, no Office of Justice Programs (OJP) program evaluations were found during the literature review. The listed articles provide background on the Wrongful Convictions Review Program and include related materials intended to show support for future evaluations.

**OJP-Sponsored Research**99


The authors identify 10 factors that explain why innocent people, once indicted, go on to be convicted of the crime. Results suggest that there should be greater emphasis on analyzing and learning from past mistakes—at all levels and on all sides of the criminal justice system, including police, prosecutors, defense attorneys, and judges.

---

98 For more information, see the solicitation at [https://www.bja.gov/Funding/13WrongfulConvictionSol.pdf](https://www.bja.gov/Funding/13WrongfulConvictionSol.pdf)

99 For each article, a link has been provided to either the full-length document or the link where access information is located.
The International Association of Chiefs of Police gathered 75 subject-matter experts to create a national strategy to prevent and reduce wrongful convictions. This report provides 30 recommendations with a common theme: the need for all justice system agencies to be open to new information at any point in the investigation, arrest, prosecution, trial, and subsequent appeal of a suspect.

https://ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/244915.pdf
This report discusses the results of a study that examined the effects of wrongful convictions on the criminal justice system. The author provides six recommendations for preventing wrongful convictions, concluding that correctional officers can help to identify such cases. For example, the author suggests conducting DNA testing earlier in the case to weed out innocent suspects; having prosecutors establish open-file discoveries; and providing a threshold of evidence to support why a suspect is in a lineup.

Academic Articles

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1068316X.2014.951645#.VDGmLfldX6I [Abstract]
This research examined whether particular exonerees are stigmatized more than others. Participants read an article about a fictional exoneree who falsely confessed, was misidentified by an eyewitness, or was implicated by a jailhouse snitch, then reported their perceptions. Those in the control condition did not read an article. An exoneree who falsely confessed was more likely to be perceived as actually guilty of the crime, less competent, and less warm than the other exonerees, but participants did not express more anger or less pity toward any particular exoneree. However, participants did express more pity when rating exonerees than in the control condition, but this did not translate into a greater willingness to offer the exonerees more governmental assistance (e.g., job training or psychological counseling). Exonerees who falsely confessed were stigmatized more than other exonerees, and the authors discuss the implications for these individuals’ post-incarceration experiences.

The authors analyze a century of research on the causes and consequences of wrongful convictions in the American criminal justice system. They argue that traditional sources of error (eyewitness misidentification, false confessions, perjured testimony, forensic error, tunnel vision, prosecutorial misconduct, ineffective assistance of counsel, etc.) are contributing sources, not exclusive causes, of wrongful convictions. They urge criminal justice professionals and policymakers to take this research more seriously and apply the lessons learned from a century of research into wrongful convictions.

http://www.pnas.org/content/111/20/7230.short [Abstract]
The following study seeks to examine the rate of erroneous convictions of innocent criminal defendants. The authors use survival analysis to model the effect and estimate that if all death-sentenced defendants remained under sentence of death indefinitely, at least 4.1% would be exonerated. They conclude that this is a conservative estimate of the proportion of false conviction among death sentences in the United States. This study is significant because the authors argue there is no systematic method to determine the
Wrongful Conviction Review Program (Justice for All Act)

accuracy of a criminal conviction; if there were, these errors would not occur in the first place. As a result, very few false convictions are ever discovered, and those that are discovered are not representative of the group as a whole. In the United States, however, a high proportion of false convictions that do come to light and produce exonerations are concentrated among the tiny minority of cases in which defendants are sentenced to death.

http://ccj.sagepub.com/content/21/3/201.full.pdf

Despite the recent proliferation of wrongful conviction scholarship, there are methodological, conceptual, and theoretical gaps and problems in the understanding of miscarriage of justice. The author argues that criminologists should move beyond the legal categories and concepts used by lawyers and journalists to engage in more big-picture analysis rather than the descriptive case studies that dominate the field.

http://cjp.sagepub.com/content/early/2014/02/13/0887403414521461.abstract [Abstract]

This study provides an exploratory quantitative examination of wrongful criminal conviction. The author use data pertaining to all known exonerations in the United States from 1989 to 2012, to explore the extent to which deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) testing and/or race of a convicted innocent are related to that person’s exoneration. Controlling for race, the availability of DNA testing increases the likelihood of exoneration for murder or sexual assault. The authors find that race is a significant factor in the wrongful conviction and exoneration of Blacks for murder or sexual assault.


This article establishes the very first empirically justified wrongful conviction rate for capital rape-murders in the 1980s. Combining various facts and figures resulted in a minimum factually wrongful conviction rate of 3.3%. The article goes on to consider the likely ceiling accompanying this 3.3% floor, arriving at a slightly softer number for the maximum factual error rate of around 5%.


These 16 chapters detail research and scholarship on innocence reform—change in the justice system designed to reduce error and help exonerees—and represent the latest thinking on the subject. This is not a compendium of what has been learned about wrongful convictions, as serious scholarship began in the 1980s; rather, it examines the issues and processes related to wrongful conviction in the light of the policy reform process.

**Websites**

Center on Wrongful Convictions, Northwestern Law  
http://www.law.northwestern.edu/legalclinic/wrongfulconvictions/

Michigan Law Innocence Clinic  
http://www.law.umich.edu/clinical/innocenceclinic/Pages/default.aspx

The Innocence Project  
http://www.innocenceproject.org/