Adult Drug Court Program

Purpose of Report

The Grantee Feedback Report is a biannual report that allows you, the grantee, to compare your project’s performance measurement data to the Adult Drug Court Program as a whole. The Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) produced this report with the hope that you may better understand how your project fits into the larger BJA-funded program. All the data reported represents the 6-month period noted in the report’s header, unless otherwise noted in the table or figure finding.

Program Purpose

The purpose of the Adult Drug Court Program is to implement and enhance drug treatment courts that integrate substance abuse treatment, mandatory drug testing, sanctions and incentives, and transitional services in a judicially supervised court setting with jurisdiction over nonviolent participants. BJA encourage courts to target participants with a high risk for recidivating and a high need for treatment to address substance addiction.

Program Highlights

Highlights of the Adult Drug Court Program from April to September 2016:

- The overall graduation rate increased 2.6 percent to 57.2 percent in April to September 2016 compared to 54.6 percent in April to September 2015.
- BJA is accomplishing its goal of encouraging BJA-funded drug courts to accept more high-risk/high need participants. During this time, a total of 77.3 percent of new participants assessed were high risk and/or high need.
- A total of 11,415 participants were enrolled in BJA-funded drug courts. This is a 7 percent reduction (827) in participants from the April to September 2015 reporting period.
- Of the participants in the program at least 90 days who were administered drug tests, 30.1 percent tested positive for illegal substance. This rate is much higher than the 18 percent who tested positive during the April to September 2015 reporting period.

Program Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Drug Courts Budget Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$ 40,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$ 41,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$ 42,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$123,500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program Goals

Adult Drug Court is designed to equip courts and court systems with the necessary tools and resources to intervene with substance-abusing offenders while preparing citizens for successful community reintegration. To accomplish this goal, Adult Drug Court discretionary grant funds will be awarded to build and/or expand drug court capacity at the state, local, and tribal levels to reduce crime and substance abuse among high-risk, high-need offenders.

---

1 The Biannual Grantee Feedback Report includes performance data reported by BJA Drug Court grant recipients that conducted grant activities through September 2016. The following data come from the Performance Measurement Tool (PMT) data covering Drug Court grants from fiscal year (FY) 2009 through FY 2015. The data reflected in this report represent information as entered by grantees. Some grantee data will be verified that may have a slight impact on the calculated values throughout this report.
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Statewide grants are awarded to state agencies (Table 1 and Figure 1). Statewide grantees can use these funds to “improve, enhance, or expand drug court services statewide”\(^2\) such as performing court evaluations or establishing a statewide drug court data system. These grantees can also financially support other drug courts in their jurisdiction that are not currently receiving funding from BJA by making enhancements to the courts.\(^3\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Grant (Total N = 239)</th>
<th>Fiscal Years Present</th>
<th>Average Funds Received</th>
<th>Minimum Amount</th>
<th>Maximum Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statewide (n = 63)</td>
<td>2012–2015</td>
<td>$902,410.40</td>
<td>$143,234*</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation (n = 56)</td>
<td>2010–2015</td>
<td>$339,303.60</td>
<td>$166,018</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement (n = 113)</td>
<td>2011–2015</td>
<td>$219,168.20</td>
<td>$48,885</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statewide spending varies on whether the state spreads the total funding to certain subgrantees or uses it to fund certain items in all of its courts.

Enhancement grants are awarded to operational Adult Drug Courts which have been operating at least 1 year and which seek to do one or more of the following:

1. Expand the number of participants served who meet the existing target population description
2. Expand the target population description and serve additional participants who meet the expanded description
3. Enhance court operations
4. Enhance court and/or supervision services
5. Enhance recovery support services.\(^4\)

Implementation grants are awarded to jurisdictions that have “completed a substantial amount of planning”\(^5\) and are ready to implement a new drug court into their community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Grant</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Suburban</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Tribal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statewide (n = 63)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation (n = 56)</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement (n = 113)</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Courts (N = 232)</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^2\) BJA Adult Drug Court Solicitation 2016. Available at: [https://www.bja.gov/adultdrugcourts16](https://www.bja.gov/adultdrugcourts16).

\(^3\) [https://www.bja.gov/adultdrugcourts16](https://www.bja.gov/adultdrugcourts16).

\(^4\) Ibid.

\(^5\) Ibid.

\(^6\) Not all statewide grantees will report in this section. Only those that have subgrantees will report what type(s) of court(s) the grant is serving (Figure 2).
Figure 1. Drug Court Grantees as of September 30, 2016

Figure 2. Types of Courts Funded as of September 30, 2016

*Grantees that marked “other” mostly did so to indicate that their court serves more than one population such as an adult court that also serves veterans or mental health services participants or to indicate that the grantee courts have a separate track within the main court.

---

7 Hybrid Driving While Intoxicated (DWI)/Drug Court: A specialized court that accepts both Drug and DWI/Driving Under the Influence (DUI) cases. Most Hybrid courts started out as a Drug Court but now offer a specialized DWI/DUI docket to deal with DWI/DUI participants. For more information, please go to www.DWICourts.org.

8 Some courts marked more than one court served by the grant. The total number of court types may exceed the total number of grantees. See Table 2 for a more accurate number.

9 Tribal drug courts can also apply for funding under Coordinated Tribal Assistance grants.
Participants

Table 3. Program Participants by Location Type: April–September 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Court Location</th>
<th>New Participants</th>
<th>Total Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban (n = 97)</td>
<td>3,102</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban (n = 33)</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural (n = 54)</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal (n = 8)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (N = 192)</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,537</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total number of participants as of September 30, 2016.

Successful Participants

Table 4. Graduation Rates by Location Type: April–September 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Court Location</th>
<th>Graduation Rate (Percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban (n = 97)</td>
<td>59.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban (n = 33)</td>
<td>64.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural (n = 54)</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal (n = 8)</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Average (N = 192)</strong></td>
<td><strong>57.2%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 Only those grantees that input data into the questions asking for the number of successful participants and the number of unsuccessful participants were included in Table 4. All grantees have their entire graduation rate calculated (from start of grant to September 2016) and averaged. The range is from 0 to 100 percent.
Research suggests that participants should remain in the Drug Court Program a minimum of 6 months and no more than 18 months to maximize the positive treatment effects.\textsuperscript{11} There were 1,191 participants (56.6 percent) who completed the program successfully ("graduated") in this time frame (Figure 3).

80 percent of participants are graduating in less than 2 years which is consistent with the National Association of Drug Court Professional’s “Best Practice Standards.”\textsuperscript{12}

### Race of Participants

- Participants who are American Indian/Alaska Native tend to have a higher enrollment rate in drug courts than other racial categories do (Table 5).
- Black/African American and Asian/Pacific Islander as well as minorities classified in the “other” categories have a much lower enrollment rate in the program. This was particularly true for Black/African American individuals where almost 90 percent of those eligible to enter did not enroll in the Drug Court Program. Some research shows that minority participants are going into other programs even though they are eligible for drug court. Additionally, capacity is limited in some sites to collect this data. More research is needed to understand enrollment decisions among minority offenders.

---


\textsuperscript{12} http://www.nadcp.org/Standards
Table 5. Race of New Participants and Those Eligible Who Did Not Enter (Total Grantees = 18813)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Percentage of Those Eligible Who Did Not Enter (Number)</th>
<th>Percentage of Those Eligible Who Enrolled Who Are New Participants (Number)</th>
<th>Total Eligible (Number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>61.9% (5,283)</td>
<td>38.1% (3,245)</td>
<td>100% (8,528)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>87.4% (6,003)</td>
<td>12.6% (867)</td>
<td>100% (6,870)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>37.9% (66)</td>
<td>62.1% (108)</td>
<td>100% (174)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander/ Multi/Other/Unknown</td>
<td>84.3% (1,808)</td>
<td>15.7% (337)</td>
<td>100% (2,145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74.3% (13,160)</td>
<td>25.7% (4,557)</td>
<td>100% (17,717)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enrollment rates between Hispanic/Latino(a)s and Non-Hispanic/Latino(a)s are relatively similar, with 27 and 24 percent enrolling into the program (Table 6). It should be noted that many courts are not able to track this information, which leads to a higher number of ethnicity unknowns.

Table 6. Hispanic/Latino Ethnicity of New Participants and Those Eligible Who Did Not Enter (Total Grantees = 18614)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Percentage of Those Eligible Who Did Not Enter (Number)</th>
<th>Percentage of Those Eligible Who Enrolled Who Are New Participants (Number)</th>
<th>Total Eligible (Number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino(a)</td>
<td>75.7% (1,619)</td>
<td>24.3% (519)</td>
<td>100% (2,138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic/Latino(a)</td>
<td>72.8% (10,272)</td>
<td>27.2% (3,842)</td>
<td>100% (14,114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity Unknown</td>
<td>66.1% (306)</td>
<td>33.9% (157)</td>
<td>100% (463)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>73% (12,197)</td>
<td>27% (4,518)</td>
<td>100% (16,715)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although most races had a higher percentage of successful exits than unsuccessful exits, American Indian participants were in the only group with a higher rate of unsuccessful exits, at almost 53 percent (Table 7).

---

13 Four grantees were left out of the table for they had a very high number of “unknowns” in their data. This high number would skew the data.

14 Six grantees were removed from this analysis due to a high number of “unknowns” that skewed the data. Additional follow-up with these grantees is being conducted.
Table 7. Race of Successful and Unsuccessful Participants (Total Grantees = 192)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Percentage Successful Exits (Number)</th>
<th>Percentage Exit Unsuccessfully (Number)</th>
<th>Total (Number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>58.4% (1,515)</td>
<td>41.6% (1,080)</td>
<td>100% (2,595)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>50.3% (373)</td>
<td>49.7% (368)</td>
<td>100% (741)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>47.3% (26)</td>
<td>52.7% (29)</td>
<td>100% (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander/</td>
<td>65.2% (178)</td>
<td>34.8% (95)</td>
<td>100% (273)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi/Other/Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57.1% (2,092)</td>
<td>42.9% (1,572)</td>
<td>100% (3,664)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although all ethnicities had a higher rate of successful participants, those of Hispanic/Latino(a) origin had the highest, with an overall successful exit rate of 73 percent (Table 8).

Table 8. Hispanic/Latino Ethnicity of Successful and Unsuccessful Participants (Total Grantees = 192)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Percentage Successful Exits (Number)</th>
<th>Percentage Exit Unsuccessfully (Number)</th>
<th>Total (Number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino(a)</td>
<td>73.1% (283)</td>
<td>26.9% (104)</td>
<td>100% (387)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic/Latino(a)</td>
<td>55% (1,678)</td>
<td>45% (1,375)</td>
<td>100% (3,053)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity Unknown</td>
<td>58.5% (121)</td>
<td>41.5% (86)</td>
<td>100% (207)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57.1% (2,082)</td>
<td>42.9% (1,565)</td>
<td>100% (3,647)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reasons Participants Did Not Enter the Program

Table 9, below, displays the reasons participants did not enter the Adult Drug Court Program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Suburban</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Tribal</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>73.6% (9,247)</td>
<td>22.8% (218)</td>
<td>40.9% (510)</td>
<td>16.6% (4)</td>
<td>67.5% (9,979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecutor objection</td>
<td>8.5% (1,061)</td>
<td>6.4% (61)</td>
<td>7.5% (94)</td>
<td>4.2% (1)</td>
<td>8.3% (1,217)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant refused entry</td>
<td>4.8% (598)</td>
<td>11.8% (113)</td>
<td>8.9% (111)</td>
<td>33.3% (8)</td>
<td>5.6% (630)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent history</td>
<td>2.3% (285)</td>
<td>16.2% (154)</td>
<td>4.4% (55)</td>
<td>8.3% (2)</td>
<td>3.4% (496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate waiting for program slot (will enroll next quarter)</td>
<td>2.8% (352)</td>
<td>2.5% (24)</td>
<td>8.5% (106)</td>
<td>8.2% (2)</td>
<td>3.3% (484)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient risk (low risk)</td>
<td>1.6% (198)</td>
<td>11.5% (110)</td>
<td>7.6% (94)</td>
<td>4.2% (1)</td>
<td>2.7% (403)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of jurisdiction</td>
<td>1.7% (217)</td>
<td>6.1% (58)</td>
<td>1.9% (24)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>2% (299)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No drug problem</td>
<td>1.3% (159)</td>
<td>1.9% (18)</td>
<td>7% (87)</td>
<td>4.2% (1)</td>
<td>1.8% (265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate did not complete screening</td>
<td>0.7% (91)</td>
<td>3.4% (33)</td>
<td>6.5% (81)</td>
<td>12.5% (3)</td>
<td>1.4% (208)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusionary prior nonviolent offense</td>
<td>0.9% (114)</td>
<td>6.8% (65)</td>
<td>1.3% (16)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>1.3% (195)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrest, conviction, or incarceration on another charge</td>
<td>0.7% (91)</td>
<td>5.1% (49)</td>
<td>2.2% (27)</td>
<td>4.2% (1)</td>
<td>1.1% (168)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judicial objection</td>
<td>0.5% (66)</td>
<td>2.7% (26)</td>
<td>1.9% (24)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>0.8% (116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health diagnosis that cannot be handled by the court</td>
<td>0.4% (46)</td>
<td>2.6% (25)</td>
<td>1.4% (18)</td>
<td>4.2% (1)</td>
<td>0.6% (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineligible for VA services</td>
<td>0.2% (2)</td>
<td>0.2% (2)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>0.2% (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense objection</td>
<td>0% (5)</td>
<td>0% (1)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>0% (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accident involving injury</td>
<td>0% (3)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>0% (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100% (12,565)</td>
<td>100% (956)</td>
<td>100% (1,247)</td>
<td>100% (24)</td>
<td>100% (14,792)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main “Other” reasons participants did not enter the program include the following:

- Entered another program (369)
- Program at capacity (242)
- Participant still in screening/pending (182)
- Not enough time on sentence (130)
- Statutory ineligibility/ ineligible (79)
- Not actioned (67)

15 After potential participants are screened, they may or may not be found eligible for drug court participation.
- Voluntary withdrawal (52)
- Waiting on court dates/plea agreements (48)
- Did not have proper mental health/ co-occurring/ substance use disorder (44)
- Not target population (41)
- Absconded (38)
- Previous participant (35)
- Medical issue/ Death (35)
- Charge/case reduced or dismissed (29) (Table 9).

There are a few grantees with a large number of screened participants (8,386) who are not able to track this part of the questionnaire. These participants are also placed in the “Other” category.

**Participants Who Left the Program Unsuccessfully**

Figure 4. Time Spent in Program for Participants Who Did Not Complete Program Requirements (Total Participants = 1,573)

- 39 percent of unsuccessful participants (617) left drug court within 6 months of starting the program (Figure 4). Reasons for leaving the program unsuccessfully included continued use of drugs, absconding, and not following protocol.
- Interestingly, almost 42 percent of unsuccessful participants (659) left the program without graduating but after participating for 10 or more months.
“Other” reasons why participants left the program primarily include voluntary withdrawal/self-termination from the program, not complying with the rules of the program, or participant’s sentence/probation ended (Figure 5).

**Risk/Assessments, Drug Testing, and Services Rendered**

BJA requires Drug Court grantees to use validated risk/needs assessment tools and to target high-risk/high-need participants (Table 10). Research suggests that Drug Court programs can have the most impact by targeting offenders who are at high risk for reoffending and have significant substance abuse treatment needs (Tables 11–13). This, in turn, increases the cost-effectiveness of the program.16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location Type</th>
<th>Average Number of New Participants Assessed</th>
<th>Average Number of New Participants Found to Be High Risk</th>
<th>Average Percentage of New Participants Found to Be High Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban (n = 116)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>57.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban (n = 44)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural (n = 68)</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>73.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal (n = 9)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (N = 237)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only those grantees that perform assessments on new participants were included in Table 10.

Figure 6 displays the types of assessment tools used by Drug Court grantees through a word cloud graphic. The larger the text in Figure 6, the more often that tool is used by grantees. The tools in smaller text were used less often. The most common assessment instrument used by grantees is the LSI-R (19.9 percent), followed by RANT (17.4 percent).

---

Table 11, below, displays the average number of participants who were drug tested after being in the program for 90 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average Number Tested</th>
<th>Average Number Testing Positive</th>
<th>Average Percentage Testing Positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban (n = 116)</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban (n = 44)</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural (n = 68)</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal (n = 9)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (N = 237)</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17 Random drug testing is a requirement of all drug courts. A few grantees had a few outliers that skewed the average percentage.
Drug Court Services Paid for with Grant Funds

Table 12, below, displays the participants receiving BJA-funded services as of September 30, 2016. Table 13, below, displays the Drug Court Program enhancements as of September 30, 2016.

### Table 12. Participants Receiving BJA-Funded Services as of September 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Suburban</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Tribal</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other services*</td>
<td>31.2% (264)</td>
<td>44.7% (379)</td>
<td>23.1% (196)</td>
<td>1% (8)</td>
<td>24% (847)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosocial services</td>
<td>57.7% (290)</td>
<td>7.3% (37)</td>
<td>32% (161)</td>
<td>3% (15)</td>
<td>14.3% (503)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health services</td>
<td>57.1% (236)</td>
<td>8.7% (36)</td>
<td>32.7% (135)</td>
<td>1.5% (6)</td>
<td>11.7% (413)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health services</td>
<td>62.2% (244)</td>
<td>4.1% (16)</td>
<td>32.7% (128)</td>
<td>1% (4)</td>
<td>11.1% (392)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>61.3% (195)</td>
<td>6.9% (22)</td>
<td>30.5% (97)</td>
<td>1.3% (4)</td>
<td>9% (318)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing services</td>
<td>68.2% (206)</td>
<td>8.3% (25)</td>
<td>22.8% (69)</td>
<td>0.7% (2)</td>
<td>8.6% (302)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veteran services</td>
<td>91.3% (263)</td>
<td>1.4% (4)</td>
<td>6.9% (97)</td>
<td>0.4% (1)</td>
<td>8.2% (288)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma treatment</td>
<td>61.5% (155)</td>
<td>7.1% (18)</td>
<td>31% (78)</td>
<td>0.4% (1)</td>
<td>7.1% (252)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education services</td>
<td>61.1% (129)</td>
<td>5.2% (11)</td>
<td>31.8% (67)</td>
<td>1.9% (4)</td>
<td>6% (211)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>56.2% (1,982)</td>
<td>15.5% (548)</td>
<td>27% (951)</td>
<td>1.3% (45)</td>
<td>100% (3,526)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Spending items under “Other services” include transportation for participants (e.g., bus passes and helping participants get their licenses), assessments, peer mentoring, case management, drug screenings, and child care/parenting classes.

### Table 13. Drug Court Program Enhancements as of September 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enhancements</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Suburban</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Tribal</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case manager</td>
<td>43.5% (53)</td>
<td>19.7% (24)</td>
<td>31.1% (38)</td>
<td>5.7% (7)</td>
<td>25.2% (122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>47.5% (48)</td>
<td>13.9% (14)</td>
<td>33.7% (34)</td>
<td>4.9% (5)</td>
<td>20.9% (101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>40.7% (22)</td>
<td>13% (7)</td>
<td>40.7% (22)</td>
<td>5.6% (3)</td>
<td>11.2% (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>50% (22)</td>
<td>15.9% (7)</td>
<td>29.5% (13)</td>
<td>4.6% (2)</td>
<td>9.1% (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>51.2% (22)</td>
<td>9.3% (4)</td>
<td>34.9% (15)</td>
<td>4.6% (2)</td>
<td>8.9% (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aftercare</td>
<td>55.2% (21)</td>
<td>5.3% (2)</td>
<td>31.6% (12)</td>
<td>7.9% (3)</td>
<td>7.8% (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing risk/assessment screening</td>
<td>25.7% (9)</td>
<td>14.3% (5)</td>
<td>51.4% (18)</td>
<td>8.3% (3)</td>
<td>7.2% (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance standards measures</td>
<td>32% (8)</td>
<td>12% (3)</td>
<td>52% (13)</td>
<td>4% (1)</td>
<td>5.2% (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS (management information system)</td>
<td>31.8% (7)</td>
<td>13.6% (3)</td>
<td>50% (11)</td>
<td>4.6% (1)</td>
<td>4.5% (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>43.8% (212)</td>
<td>14.3% (69)</td>
<td>36.3% (176)</td>
<td>5.6% (27)</td>
<td>100% (484)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*“Other” includes primarily drug testing, supplies, and compensation.
Technical Assistance to Improve Outcomes

Do you have questions about how to get the most from your Drug Court grant? Be sure to contact the National Association of Drug Court Professionals (NADCP), the Technical Assistance provider for the Drug Court Program.

Drug Court Technical Assistance Provider: National Association of Drug Court Professionals (NADCP)

The National Drug Court Institute, a division of The National Association of Drug Court Professionals, uses a multi-tiered training curriculum developed in conjunction with leading researchers in the field to provide unparalleled instruction to treatment court teams all over the world. Have a specific question? Go ahead and Ask the Experts!

For more information or to request technical assistance, please contact:

Melynda Benjamin, Project Director
National Drug Court Institute
1029 N. Royal, Ste. 201, Alexandria, VA 22314
D: 703-575-9500 | W: NDCI website

Technical Assistance and Training Resources

Request TA: Onsite technical assistance customized for your needs.
Designing A Court: Start and sustain an adult, tribal or veterans’ treatment Court.
Medication Assisted Treatment: Critical training on an issue facing every program.
Discipline Specific Training: Training programs designed for each member of the drug court team.
Advanced Trainings: Training programs for drug courts in operation for two or more years.
Statewide Training: Request speakers for your statewide conference or training.
On Demand: Request any NDCI training customized to fit your needs.
Visit a Court: Organized site visit to learn from other mentoring courts.
e-Learning: Online training on your schedule.

Upcoming Events

ADVANCING JUSTICE
NADCP Annual Training Conference featuring Vet Court Con
July 9-12, 2017 Washington, DC
**Appendix**

This appendix shows grantee data from the April–September 2016 reporting period. Each chart is separated by type of location of grantee (Urban, Suburban, etc.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Type</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Grant Name</th>
<th>Award Number</th>
<th>Award Amount ($)</th>
<th>Subgrantee 18</th>
<th>Reporting Period</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of Participants</th>
<th>Number of Participants Who Tested Positive for</th>
<th>Number of Total Participants</th>
<th>Number of New Participants</th>
<th>Number of Successful Graduation Rate</th>
<th>Number of Unsuccessful Graduation Rate</th>
<th>Graduation Rate</th>
<th>Percentage Who Tested Positive for Drug Testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Spokane County</td>
<td>2011-DC-BX-0034</td>
<td>$349,959</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0039</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>Judiciary Courts of the State of Hawaii</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0004</td>
<td>349,943</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>City of Billings</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0043</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>Harris County, Texas</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0002</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>City of Jacksonville</td>
<td>2013-VV-BX-0049</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Ramsey County</td>
<td>2013-VV-BX-0046</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Marion County</td>
<td>2013-VV-BX-0012</td>
<td>348,435</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Colorado Judicial Department</td>
<td>2013-VV-BX-0003</td>
<td>344,285</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Arlington County Drug Court Program</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0050</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Fond du Lac County</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0039</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Colorado Judicial Department</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0001</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18 “False” in the subgrantee category means that this is not a subaward.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Court Implementation</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>Judiciary Courts of the State of Montana</th>
<th>2014-DC-BX-0044</th>
<th>299,629</th>
<th>FALSE</th>
<th>Both</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>100.0%</th>
<th>14.7%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Seminole County</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0051</td>
<td>348,446</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>Executive Office of the Governor of Delaware</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0034</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>86.7%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>San Francisco Superior Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0004</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0003</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Indianapolis Community Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0062</td>
<td>320,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0021</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>24th Judicial District Court, State of Louisiana</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0019</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0064</td>
<td>324,960</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Second Judicial District Court of New Mexico</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0041</td>
<td>279,919</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>01 Jul 2016-30 Sep 2016</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>County of DuPage</td>
<td>2011-DC-BX-0130</td>
<td>199,691</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>MHMR of Tarrant County</td>
<td>2011-DC-BX-0037</td>
<td>249,260</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Idaho Supreme Court</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0058</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>VT</td>
<td>Vermont Office of the Court Administrator</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0049</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>78.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>State Name</td>
<td>Program Name</td>
<td>Grant Number</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Grant Type</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0038</td>
<td>188,908</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Mahoning County Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services Board</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0013</td>
<td>199,720</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0005</td>
<td>195,024</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>79.8%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0073</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>54.4%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Clark County</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0067</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Orange County Government</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0065</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Colorado Judicial Department</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0063</td>
<td>295,550</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Miami-Dade County</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0062</td>
<td>298,525</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Bernalillo County Metropolitan Court</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0061</td>
<td>292,968</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>82.9%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0027</td>
<td>199,615</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Circuit Court of Cook County</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0005</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0001</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Judicary Courts of the State of Minnesota</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0048</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>01 Apr 2016-30 Jun 2016</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0085</td>
<td>286,450</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0079</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>MHHM of Tarrant County</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0076</td>
<td>298,900</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>City of Jacksonville</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0072</td>
<td>299,975</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Colorado Judicial Department</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0071</td>
<td>293,457</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>64.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Macon County</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0066</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>NH Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0065</td>
<td>174,148</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>62.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MA Administrative Office of the Trial Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0063</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>County of Marion</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0054</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1124</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>73.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>MISSOURI 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. LOUIS CITY DRUG COURT</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0022</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Cleveland Municipal Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0010</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>NEWTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0082</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0084</td>
<td>295,288</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>The County of Lancaster, Nebraska</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0081</td>
<td>210,183</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Funder Code</td>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Completion Rate</td>
<td>Intake</td>
<td>Reentry</td>
<td>Graduation</td>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Recidivism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>County of Kane</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0077</td>
<td>233,570</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Pierce County Superior Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0068</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0046</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>County of Johnson, Iowa</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0045</td>
<td>192,528</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Benton County Sheriff’s Office</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0027</td>
<td>199,874</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Multnomah, County of—SSP</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0023</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Sangamon County</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0018</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>County of Hamilton</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0083</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>13th Judicial Circuit—Boone County</td>
<td>2015-VV-BX-0064</td>
<td>199,989</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2015-VV-BX-0048</td>
<td>199,952</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2015-VV-BX-0046</td>
<td>199,995</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook County State’s Attorney’s Office</td>
<td>2015-VV-BX-0029</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>8033</td>
<td>7725</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>County of Washington</td>
<td>2015-VV-BX-0018</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>96.7%</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Drug Court Program</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0084</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Jurisdiction</td>
<td>Award Number</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Projected</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Non-Urban</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Non-Urban</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Referred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson County Commission</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0078</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior Court of California, County of Alameda</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0075</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>61.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0073</td>
<td>299,491</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>53.9%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison County Circuit Court</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0067</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant County Drug Court</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0066</td>
<td>137,813</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Court of Richmond County Accountability Court</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0063</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81.0%</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexar County Commissioners Court</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0059</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judiciary of State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantation</td>
<td>RI</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0057</td>
<td>189,746</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>81.5%</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waukesha County</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0050</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco Superior Court</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0044</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenth Judicial District</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0032</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Judicial Department</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>City of Grand Rapids</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0031</td>
<td>199,992</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>85.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Allegheny County</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0022</td>
<td>166,605</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Second Judicial District</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0052</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Hampton</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0050</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>5th Judicial District DCS</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0060</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>01 Apr 2016-30 Jun 2016</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>6th Judicial District DCS</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0060</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>8th Judicial District DCS</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0060</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>4th Judicial District DOC</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0060</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>Garfield County Drug Court</td>
<td>2013-VV-BX-0042</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>Muskogee County Drug Court</td>
<td>2013-VV-BX-0042</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Superior Court of California, County of Alameda</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0019</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Superior Court of California, County of San Diego</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0019</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0019</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Superior Court of California, County of Contra Costa</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0019</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Chatham County BOC (VTC)</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0058</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Cobb County BOC (VTC)</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0058</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Macon Judicial Circuit</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0058</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Type</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Award Number</td>
<td>Award Amount ($)</td>
<td>Subgrantee</td>
<td>Reporting Period</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Number of Participants Screened</td>
<td>Number of Participants Who Did Not Enter</td>
<td>Total Participants</td>
<td>Number of New Participants</td>
<td>Number of Successful Participants</td>
<td>Number of Unsuccessful Participants</td>
<td>Graduation Rate (Percentage)</td>
<td>Percentage Who Tested Positive for Substance Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Muscogee County BOC (VTC)</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0058</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Shelby County Vet Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0057</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Montgomery County Vet Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0057</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Davidson County Vet Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0057</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--Subgrant award totals are removed from this report.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Type</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Grant Name</th>
<th>Award Number</th>
<th>Award Amount ($)</th>
<th>Subgrantee</th>
<th>Reporting Period</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of Participants Screened</th>
<th>Number of Participants Who Did Not Enter</th>
<th>Total Participants</th>
<th>Number of New Participants</th>
<th>Number of Successful Participants</th>
<th>Number of Unsuccessful Participants</th>
<th>Graduation Rate</th>
<th>Percentage Who Tested Positive for Substance Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>County of Fairfax</td>
<td>2015-VV-BX-0035</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Tenth Judicial District</td>
<td>2015-VV-BX-0034</td>
<td>166,018</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Judiciary Courts of the State of Montana</td>
<td>2011-DC-BX-0117</td>
<td>236,740</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Lake County, Illinois</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0007</td>
<td>189,693</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Madison County IL Project Restore</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0075</td>
<td>299,917</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0072</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Clayton County</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0031</td>
<td>198,901</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Chesterfield County</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0030</td>
<td>199,993</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Judiciary Courts of the State of Montana</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0029</td>
<td>199,971</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both (01 Apr 2016-30 Jun 2016)</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Marin, County of</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0024</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both (01 Apr 2016-30 Jun 2016)</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>County of Bucks</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0073</td>
<td>278,156</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>87.0%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Type</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Award Number</td>
<td>Award Amount ($)</td>
<td>Subgrantee</td>
<td>Reporting Period</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Number of Participants Screened</td>
<td>Number of Participants Who Did Not Enter</td>
<td>Total Participants</td>
<td>Number of New Participants</td>
<td>Number of Successful Participants</td>
<td>Number of Unsuccessful Participants</td>
<td>Graduation Rate</td>
<td>Percentage Who Tested Positive for Substance Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Gwinnett County Board of Commissioners</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0043</td>
<td>199,973</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Rockdale County</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0042</td>
<td>199,998</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0070</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>WVV</td>
<td>City of Huntington</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0083</td>
<td>220,005</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0074</td>
<td>184,368</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte County</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0065</td>
<td>197,805</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0047</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Colorado Judicial Department</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0027</td>
<td>199,828</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Rockland County District Attorney’s Office</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0020</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>24th Judicial District Court, State of Louisiana</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0014</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Type</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Award Number</td>
<td>Award Amount ($)</td>
<td>Subgrantee</td>
<td>Reporting Period</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Number of Participants Screened</td>
<td>Number of Participants Who Did Not Enter</td>
<td>Total Participants</td>
<td>Number of New Participants</td>
<td>Number of Successful Participants</td>
<td>Number of Unsuccessful Participants</td>
<td>Graduation Rate</td>
<td>Percentage Who Tested Positive for Substance Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide VA</td>
<td>Chesapeake Community Services Board</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0050</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>01 Apr 2016-30 Jun 2016</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>98.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide OK</td>
<td>Pittsburg County Drug Court</td>
<td>2013-VV-BX-0042</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide MO</td>
<td>Missouri Office of State Courts Administrator</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0049</td>
<td>1,047.368</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide GA</td>
<td>Coweta County BOC (VTC)</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0058</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide GA</td>
<td>Hall County State Court (VTC)</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0058</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide GA</td>
<td>Hall County HELP Veterans Track (VTC)</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0058</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide OK</td>
<td>Cleveland County Drug Court</td>
<td>2013-VV-BX-0042</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide OK</td>
<td>Payne County Drug Court</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0061</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide OK</td>
<td>Washita/Custer County Drug Court</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0061</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide OK</td>
<td>Inflexxion, Inc.</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0061</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--Subgrant award totals are removed from this report.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Type</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Grant Name</th>
<th>Award Number</th>
<th>Award Amount ($)</th>
<th>Subgrantee</th>
<th>Reporting Period</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of Participants Screened</th>
<th>Number of Participants Who Did Not Enter</th>
<th>Total Participants</th>
<th>Number of New Participants</th>
<th>Number of Successful Participants</th>
<th>Number of Unsuccessful Participants</th>
<th>Graduation Rate</th>
<th>Percentage Who Tested Positive for Substance Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0035</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>Monongalia County Commission</td>
<td>2010-DC-BX-0039</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2013-VV-BX-0038</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>Cheshire County</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0048</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Hill County, Montana</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0016</td>
<td>349,923</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>Nye County Sheriff's Office</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0013</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>State of New Mexico 13th Judicial District Court</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0011</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Highlands Community Services Board</td>
<td>2011-DC-BX-4011</td>
<td>349,300</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Crawford Marion Alcohol Drug Addiction and Mental Health Board</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0040</td>
<td>348,833</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>Judiciary Courts of the State of Hawaii</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0020</td>
<td>309,741</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Dallas County Commission, Inc.</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0035</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>164</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>GU</td>
<td>Judiciary of Guam</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0017</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Type</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Award Number</td>
<td>Award Amount ($)</td>
<td>Subgrant</td>
<td>Reporting Period</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Number of Participants Screened</td>
<td>Number of Participants Who Did Not Enter</td>
<td>Total Participants</td>
<td>Number of New Participants</td>
<td>Number of Successful Participants</td>
<td>Number of Unsuccessful Participants</td>
<td>Graduation Rate</td>
<td>Percentage Who Tested Positive for Substance Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Kosciusko County</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0061</td>
<td>306,533</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Steele Waseca Drug Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0050</td>
<td>346,600</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0031</td>
<td>349,821</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>City of Glasgow</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0071</td>
<td>333,167</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Overton County Tennessee</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0068</td>
<td>349,188</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>AK</td>
<td>Kenaitze Indian Tribe</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0054</td>
<td>349,869</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>County of Pulaski, Virginia</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0043</td>
<td>345,617</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>County of St. Joseph</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0042</td>
<td>350,007</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Roane County Government</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0038</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Le Sueur County</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0037</td>
<td>349,6510</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Mono County Probation</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0019</td>
<td>349,998</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Implementation</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Beaverhead County DUI Accountability Court</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0072</td>
<td>302,482</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Minnesota Judicial Branch</td>
<td>2011-DC-BX-0011</td>
<td>222,027</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0057</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Type</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Award Number</td>
<td>Award Amount ($)</td>
<td>Subgrantee</td>
<td>Reporting Period</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Number of Participants Screened</td>
<td>Number of Participants Who Did Not Enter</td>
<td>Total Participants</td>
<td>Number of New Participants</td>
<td>Number of Successful Participants</td>
<td>Number of Unsuccessful Participants</td>
<td>Graduation Rate</td>
<td>Percentage Who Tested Positive for Substance Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Cumberland Mountain Community Services Board</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0055</td>
<td>286,316</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>01 Apr 2016-30 Jun 2016</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0048</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Elmore County</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0009</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Tehama County Health Services Agency</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0064</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0047</td>
<td>157,628</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0036</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>01 Apr 2016-30 Jun 2016</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>County of Brunswick</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0028</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>01 Apr 2016-30 Jun 2016</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>GU</td>
<td>Judiciary of Guam</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0020</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>01 Apr 2016-30 Jun 2016</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Clackamas County Juvenile Department</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0010</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Piedmont Judicial Circuit</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0078</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>County of Cole</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0059</td>
<td>182,034</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Baldwin County</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0041</td>
<td>199,632</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Type</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Award Number</td>
<td>Award Amount ($)</td>
<td>Subgrantee</td>
<td>Reporting Period</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Number of Participants Screened</td>
<td>Number of Participants Who Did Not Enter</td>
<td>Total Participants</td>
<td>Number of New Participants</td>
<td>Number of Successful Participants</td>
<td>Number of Unsuccessful Participants</td>
<td>Graduation Rate</td>
<td>Percentage Who Tested Positive for Substance Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>31st Judicial District Adult Drug Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0037</td>
<td>142,562.2</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0080</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>18th Judicial District—Jones County Drug Court</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0052</td>
<td>199,513.3</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0047</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Harney County</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0038</td>
<td>109,749</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Josephine County</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0025</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>25th Circuit Adult Drug Court</td>
<td>2015-VV-BX-0055</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Cumberland Mountain Community Services Board</td>
<td>2015-VV-BX-0017</td>
<td>199,828.2</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0088</td>
<td>299,902.2</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>Reno County Community Corrections/Drug Court</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0081</td>
<td>55,232</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>Dunklin County, Missouri</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0056</td>
<td>85,690</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Type</td>
<td>Stat</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Award Number</td>
<td>Award Amount ($)</td>
<td>Subgrantee</td>
<td>Reporting Period</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Number of Participants Screened</td>
<td>Number of Participants Who Did Not Enter</td>
<td>Total Participants</td>
<td>Number of New Participants</td>
<td>Number of Successful Participants</td>
<td>Number of Unsuccessful Participants</td>
<td>Graduation Rate</td>
<td>Percentage Who Tested Positive for Substance Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Troup County Board of Commissioners</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0053</td>
<td>80,335</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>23rd Cir/81st District Adult Drug/Sobriety Court</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0051</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0045</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>County of Alpena/88th Dist. Adult Drug &amp; Alcohol Trmt. Court</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0028</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>County of Ottawa</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0026</td>
<td>143,806</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Cass County, Inc.</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0025</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Joint/Enhancement</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Modoc Superior Court</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0015</td>
<td>161,828</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Drug testing</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0050</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>First Judicial District Court of Montana</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0035</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>8th Judicial District Recovery Court</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0074</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Johnson Co Clarksville Drug Court</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0041</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Appalachian Judicial Circuit</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0058</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Adult Drug Court Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Type</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Grant Name</th>
<th>Award Number</th>
<th>Award Amount ($)</th>
<th>Subgrantee</th>
<th>Reporting Period</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of Participants Screened</th>
<th>Number of Participants Who Did Not Enter</th>
<th>Total Participants</th>
<th>Number of New Participants</th>
<th>Number of Successful Participants</th>
<th>Graduation Rate</th>
<th>Percentage Who Tested Positive for Substance Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>Canadian County Drug Court</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0061</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both Rural</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--Subgrant award totals are removed from this report.
The following are statewide grantees that do not fill out the Implementation or Enhancement questionnaires.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Type</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Grant Name</th>
<th>Award Number</th>
<th>Award Amount ($)</th>
<th>Subgrantee</th>
<th>Reporting Period</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Iowa Governor’s Office of Drug Control Policy</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0060</td>
<td>$81,0614</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>California Judicial Council Admin. Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0019</td>
<td>1,299,998</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Criminal Justice Coordinating Council</td>
<td>2014-VV-BX-0058</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Public Health</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0034</td>
<td>1,299,999</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Supreme Court of Virginia</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0050</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES</td>
<td>2013-VV-BX-0042</td>
<td>1,077,380</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0071</td>
<td>199,323</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York State Unified Court System</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0070</td>
<td>196,696</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>Administrative Office of the Courts and Probation</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0023</td>
<td>199,898</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Indiana Judicial Center</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0018</td>
<td>199,706</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>UT</td>
<td>Utah Department of Human Services</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0015</td>
<td>1,472,952</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0014</td>
<td>175,971</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Tennessee Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0057</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Puerto Rico Office of Court Administration</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0039</td>
<td>198,900</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>Maryland Judiciary</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0062</td>
<td>175,419</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Type</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Award Number</td>
<td>Award Amount ($)</td>
<td>Subgrantee</td>
<td>Reporting Period</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Iowa Judicial Branch</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0030</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Idaho Supreme Court</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0023</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Colorado Judicial Department</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0037</td>
<td>841,667</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>AR Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0041</td>
<td>1,281,156</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0067</td>
<td>186,433</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Wisconsin Department of Justice</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0032</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0061</td>
<td>790,593</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0060</td>
<td>196,843</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Judiciary Courts of the State of Montana</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0035</td>
<td>422,478</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Tennessee Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0074</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0043</td>
<td>143,234</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals</td>
<td>2013-DC-BX-0017</td>
<td>1,488,514</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Judiciary Courts of the State of New Mexico</td>
<td>2014-DC-BX-0069</td>
<td>153,540</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>KY Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0024</td>
<td>199,778</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>National Center for State Courts</td>
<td>2015-DC-BX-0062</td>
<td>175,419</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Court Statewide</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Criminal &amp; Juvenile Justice Planning</td>
<td>2012-DC-BX-0060</td>
<td>810,614</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>01 Apr 2016-30 Jun 2016</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>