Establishment of a National Center on Restorative Justice
FY 2019 Competitive Grant Solicitation

CFDA # 16.030

Grants.gov Solicitation Number: BJA-2019-17794

Solicitation Release Date: November 29, 2019


The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) is seeking applications for funding the establishment of a National Center on Restorative Justice.

This solicitation incorporates the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide by reference. The OJP Grant Application Resource Guide provides guidance to applicants for the preparation and submission to OJP of applications for funding. If this solicitation expressly modifies any provision in the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide, the applicant is to follow the guidelines in this solicitation as to that provision.

Eligibility (Who may apply):

Eligible applicants are limited to:
- accredited universities of higher education and
- accredited law schools.

An applicant university must be fully accredited by one of the regional institutional accreditation agencies recognized by the U.S. Secretary of Education (see also 34 U.S.C. 10251(a)(17)). Foreign governments, foreign organizations, and foreign colleges and universities are not eligible to apply.

All recipients and subrecipients (including any for-profit organization) must forgo any profit or management fee.

BJA will consider applications under which two or more entities would carry out the federal award; however, only one entity may be the applicant. Any others must be proposed as subrecipients (subgrantees). The applicant must be the entity that would have primary responsibility for carrying out the award, including administering the funding and managing the entire project. For additional information on subawards, see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide.
Contact information
For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800–518–4726, 606–545–5035, at https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html, or at support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Support Hotline operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays.

An applicant that experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must email the BJA contact identified below within 24 hours after the application deadline to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. Additional information on reporting technical issues appears under “Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues” in the How To Apply (Grants.gov) section in the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide.

For assistance with any other requirements of this solicitation, contact Elizabeth A. Griffith, BJA Associate Deputy Director, by telephone at 202–616–2008 or by email at Elizabeth.Griffith@usdoj.gov.

Post-Award Legal Requirements Notice
If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the OJP-approved application, the recipient must comply with all award conditions, and all applicable requirements of federal statutes and regulations (including applicable requirements referred to in the assurances and certifications executed in connection with award acceptance). OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review information on post-award legal requirements and common OJP award conditions prior to submitting an application.

For additional information on these legal requirements, see the “Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements” section in the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide.

Deadline details
Applicants must register with Grants.gov at https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html prior to submitting an application. All applications are due by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on January 3, 2020.

To be considered timely, an application must be submitted by the application deadline using Grants.gov, and the applicant must have received a validation message from Grants.gov that indicates successful and timely submission. OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, to allow time for the applicant to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

An applicant must use the Add Attachment button to attach a file to its application. Do not click the paperclip icon to attach files. This action will not attach the files to the application. After adding an attachment, select the View Attachment button to confirm you attached the correct file. To remove the file, select the Delete Attachment button.

OJP encourages all applicants to read this Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov.

For additional information, see the “How to Apply (Grants.gov)” section in the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide.
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ESTABLISHMENT OF A NATIONAL CENTER
ON RESTORATIVE JUSTICE
CFDA # 16.030

A. Program Description

Overview
The Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) seeks to fund an accredited university of higher education or an accredited law school for the purposes of establishing a National Center on Restorative Justice with the purpose of educating and training the next generation of justice leaders.

Statutory Authority: Department of Justice Appropriations Act, 2019 (Public Law 116-6); Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968; and 28 U.S.C. 530C

Program-Specific Information
The National Center on Restorative Justice (Center) has two purposes:

1) Educate and train next generation of juvenile and criminal justice leaders. The Center shall engage and challenge undergraduate, graduate, and law students, in conjunction with criminal justice professionals, community members, educators, and social service providers, at the state, regional, and national levels, in order to broaden their understanding of criminal justice systems and restorative approaches through a degree program, a summer-term institute, or brief courses, while encouraging access to educational opportunities for incarcerated individuals.

2) Support research focusing on how best to provide direct services to address social inequities, such as simultaneous access to substance abuse treatment and higher education.

Objectives and Deliverables
The objective of this program is to improve criminal justice policy and practice in the United States through:

1) the development of educational curriculum designed to broaden the understanding of justice systems and restorative approaches through a degree program, a summer-term institute, or brief courses, while encouraging access to educational opportunities for incarcerated individuals, and

2) support for research focusing on how best to provide direct services to address social inequities, such as simultaneous access to substance abuse treatment and higher education.

The Objectives and Deliverables are directly related to the performance measures that demonstrate the results of the work completed, as discussed under What an Application Should Include.
Evidence-Based Programs or Practices
OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program
development in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. For additional
information and resources on evidence-based programs or practices, see the OJP Grant
Application Resource Guide.

Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities
Applicants should note OJP may conduct or support an evaluation of the programs and
activities funded under this solicitation. For additional information, see the OJP Grant
Application Resource Guide section, entitled, “Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of
Programs and Activities.”

B. Federal Award Information

Maximum number of awards BJA expects to make 1
Estimated maximum dollar amount for each award up to $3,000,000
Total amount anticipated to be awarded under solicitation $3,000,000
Period of Performance start date February 1, 2020
Period of Performance duration 48 months

BJA may, in certain cases, provide additional funding in future years to awards made under this
solicitation, through continuation awards. In making decisions regarding continuation awards,
OJP will consider, among other factors, the availability of appropriations, when the program or
project was last competed, OJP’s strategic priorities, and OJP’s assessment of both the
management of the award (for example, timeliness and quality of progress reports), and the
progress of the work funded under the award.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or
additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

Type of Award
BJA expects to make any award under this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement,
which is a type of award that provides for OJP to have substantial involvement in carrying out
award activities. See the “Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements”
section of the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for a brief discussion of important
statutes, regulations, and award conditions that apply to many (or in some cases, all) OJP
grants (and cooperative agreements).

Cooperative agreements include a condition in the award document that sets out the nature of
the “substantial federal involvement” in carrying out the award and program. Generally stated,
under OJP cooperative agreement awards, responsibility for the day-to-day conduct of the
funded project rests with the recipient. OJP, however, may have substantial involvement in
matters such as substantive coordination of technical efforts and site selection, as well as
review and approval of project work plans, research designs, data collection instruments, and
major project-generated materials. In addition, OJP often indicates in the award terms and conditions that it may redirect the project if necessary.

In addition to an award condition that sets out the nature of the anticipated “substantial federal involvement” in the award, cooperative agreements awarded by OJP include an award condition that requires specific reporting in connection with conferences, meetings, retreats, seminars, symposia, training activities, or similar events funded under the award.

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls
Award recipients and subrecipients (including recipients or subrecipients that are pass-through entities) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements1 as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303, comply with standards for financial and program management. See OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for additional information.

Budget Information
Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement
This solicitation does not require a match. However, if a successful application proposes a voluntary match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit.

Pre-agreement Costs (also known as Pre-award Costs)
Pre-agreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the federal award.

OJP does not typically approve pre-agreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. All such costs incurred prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of the applicant.

Generally, no applicant should incur project costs before submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs. Should there be extenuating circumstances that make it appropriate for OJP to consider approving pre-agreement costs, the applicant may contact the point of contact listed on the title page of the solicitation for the requirements concerning written requests for approval. If approved in advance by OJP, award funds may be used for pre-agreement costs, consistent with the recipient’s approved budget and applicable cost principles. See the section on Costs Requiring Prior Approval in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide Post award Requirements at https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm for more information.

Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver
For applicants seeking the waiver, see OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for information.

Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs
OJP strongly encourages every applicant that proposes to use award funds for any conference-, meeting-, or training-related activity (or similar event) to review carefully—before submitting an application—the OJP and DOJ policy and guidance on approval, planning, and reporting of such events. See OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for information.

1 The “Part 200 Uniform Requirements” means the DOJ regulation at 2 C.F.R Part 2800, which adopts (with certain modifications) the provisions of 2 C.F.R. Part 200.
Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)
See the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for information on costs associated with language assistance that may be allowable.

C. Eligibility Information
For eligibility information, see title page.

For information on cost sharing or match requirements, see Section B. Federal Award Information.

D. Application and Submission Information
What an Application Should Include
The following application elements MUST be included in the application submission for an application to meet the basic minimum requirements (BMR) to advance to peer review and receive consideration for funding: Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative.

See the “Application Elements and Formatting Instructions” section of the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for information on, among other things, what happens to an application that does not contain all the specified elements, or that is nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation.

1. Application for Federal Assistance (Standard Form (SF)-424)
   The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of pre-applications, applications, and related information. See the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for additional information on completing the SF-424.

   **Intergovernmental Review:** This solicitation (“funding opportunity”) is not subject to Executive Order 12372. (In completing the SF-424, an applicant is to answer question 19 by selecting the response that the “Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.”)

2. Project Abstract
   Applications should include a high quality project abstract that summarizes the proposed project in 400 words or less. Project abstracts should be —
   - Written for a general public audience.
   - Submitted as a separate attachment with “Project Abstract” as part of its file name.
   - Single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font with 1-inch margins.

   As a separate attachment, the project abstract will not count against the page limit for the program narrative.

3. Program Narrative
   The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative:
   a. Statement of the Problem
   b. Project Design and Implementation
c. Capabilities and Competencies

d. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures

OJP will require each successful applicant to submit regular performance data that demonstrate the results of the work carried out under the award. The performance data directly relate to the goals, objectives, and deliverables identified under "Objectives and Deliverables" in Section A. Program Description.

Applicants should visit OJP’s performance measurement page at www.ojp.gov/performance for an overview of performance measurement activities at OJP.

Performance measures for this solicitation are listed in Appendix A: Performance Measures Table.

The application should demonstrate the applicant’s understanding of the performance data reporting requirements for this grant program and detail how the applicant will gather the required data should it receive funding.

Please note that applicants are not required to submit performance data with the application. Performance measures information is included as an alert that successful applicants will be required to submit performance data as part of the reporting requirements under an award.

Note on Project Evaluations
An applicant that proposes to use award funds through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should follow the guidance under Note on Project Evaluations in the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide.

4. Budget Information and Associated Documentation

See the Budget Preparation and Submission Information section of the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for details on the Budget Detail Worksheet, and on budget information and associated documentation, such as information on proposed subawards, proposed procurement contracts under awards, and pre-agreement costs.

5. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement

See the Budget Preparation and Submission Information section of the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for information.

6. Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (including applicant disclosure of high-risk status)

Every OJP applicant (other than an individual applying in his or her personal capacity) is required to download, complete, and submit the OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (Questionnaire) at https://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/FinancialCapability.pdf as part of its application. See the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for additional information and submission instructions for this Questionnaire.

7. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities
Each applicant must complete and submit this information. See the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for additional information and submission instructions for this disclosure.

8. Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications

Each applicant is to disclose whether it has (or is proposed as a subrecipient under) any pending applications for federally funded grants or cooperative agreements that (1) include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed in the application under this solicitation, and (2) would cover any identical cost items outlined in the budget submitted to OJP as part of the application under this solicitation. For additional information on the submission requirements for this disclosure, see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide.

9. Applicant Disclosure and Justification – DOJ High Risk Grantees² (if applicable)

An applicant that is designated as a DOJ High Risk Grantee is to submit, as a separate attachment to its application, information that OJP will use, among other pertinent information, to determine whether it will consider or select the application for an award under this solicitation. The file should be named “DOJ High Risk Grantee Applicant Disclosure and Justification.” (See, also, “Review Process,” below, under Section E. Application Review Information, for a brief discussion of how such information may considered in the application review process.) See the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for additional information and submission instructions for this disclosure.

10. Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity

If an application proposes research (including research and development) and/or evaluation, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence and integrity, including appropriate safeguards, before it may receive award funds. For additional information regarding demonstrating research/evaluation independence and integrity, including appropriate safeguards, see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide.

How To Apply (Grants.gov)

Applicants must register in and submit applications through Grants.gov, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find information on how to apply in response to this solicitation in the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide.

Registration and Submission Steps

Applicants will need the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov.

- CFDA #16.030, Establishment of a National Center on Restorative Justice
- Funding Opportunity Number: BJA-2019-17794

For information on each registration and submission step, see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide.

² A “DOJ High Risk Grantee” is a recipient that has received a DOJ High-Risk designation based on a documented history of unsatisfactory performance, financial instability, management system or other internal control deficiencies, or noncompliance with award terms and conditions on prior awards, or that is otherwise not responsible.
E. Application Review Information

Review Criteria

Applications that meet basic minimum requirements will be evaluated by peer reviewers using the following review criteria.

1. Statement of the Problem (10 percent)

Describe the need for training at an accredited university of higher education or law school level and how curriculum could be developed that aims to broaden an understanding of justice systems and restorative approaches through a degree program, a summer institute, or short courses, while encouraging access to educational opportunities for incarcerated individuals. The applicant must also describe a process by which an institution or law school can support research focusing on how best to provide direct services to address social inequities, such as simultaneous access to substance abuse treatment and higher education.

2. Project Design and Implementation (35 percent)

Describe how the applicant will identify, assess, develop and deliver curriculum that broadens an understanding of justice systems and restorative approaches through mechanisms including degrees, summer programs, short courses and/or other adult learning processes. Provide specific information on materials to be developed. Provide a timeline for completion of development and implementation of courses and any potential knowledge transfer to public safety entities. Describe the proposed approach to supporting research focusing on how best to provide direct services to address social inequities, such as simultaneous access to substance abuse treatment and higher education.

3. Capabilities and Competencies (35 percent)

Clearly articulate the applicant institution’s history of involvement with development and/or implementation of programs designed to educate and train the next generation of justice leaders. Illustrate its ability to effectively manage different types of education models including degree programs, short courses, and/or summer institutes. Clearly outline the ability to develop and support an approach to research that will focus on how best to provide direct services to address social inequities, such as simultaneous access to substance abuse treatment and higher education. Describe the management structure, staffing, and in-house or contracted capacity to effective establish a Center on Restorative Justice. Include résumé(s)/curriculum vitae of key project staff demonstrating relevant experience. The management and organizational structure should match the staffing needs necessary to accomplish the tasks outlined in the Project Task Timeline.

4. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation’s Performance Measures (10 percent)

Describe the process for measuring program performance. Identify who will collect the data, who is responsible for performance measurement, and how the information will be used to guide and evaluate the impact of the program. Describe the process to accurately report implementation findings and, specifically, the impact of the solution on criminal justice operational practices. Applicants should identify and describe both the outputs and
outcomes they anticipate as a result of their proposed implementation strategy, and a process for measuring them.

5. Budget (10 percent)

Provide a proposed budget that is complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for program activities). Budget narratives should detail how applicants will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures to reach the largest number of grantees possible as it related to enhancing core capabilities. Budget narratives should demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the objectives of the programs. The budget should also reflect the funds provided to any sub-recipient, or contractor. In the narrative, applicants must fully explain why the proposed expenditures are necessary to support implementation of the technical solution described in the Program Narrative.

Review Process

OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for making awards. BJA reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation. See the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for information on the application review process for this solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether an application meets basic minimum requirements and should proceed to further consideration, OJP screens applications for compliance with those requirements. Although specific requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP programs:

• The application must be submitted by an eligible type of applicant

• The application must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable).

• The application must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation.

• The application must include all items designated as basic minimum requirements.

For a list of the application elements that MUST be included in the application submission for an application to meet the basic minimum requirements (BMR) to advance to peer review and receive consideration for funding for this solicitation, see “What an Application Should Include” under Section D. Application and Submission Information.

Peer review panels will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. BJA may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess applications on technical merit using the solicitation’s review criteria. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. Peer reviewers’ ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although reviewer views are considered carefully.

Pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, before award decisions are made, OJP also reviews information related to the degree of risk posed by the applicant. Among other things to help assess whether an applicant that has one or more prior federal awards has a satisfactory
record with respect to performance, integrity, and business ethics, OJP checks whether the applicant is listed in SAM as excluded from receiving a federal award.

In addition, if OJP anticipates that an award will exceed $250,000 in federal funds, OJP also must review and consider any information about the applicant that appears in the non-public segment of the integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently, the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System, FAPIIS).

**Important note on FAPIIS:** An applicant, at its option, may review and comment on any information about itself that currently appears in FAPIIS and was entered by a federal awarding agency. OJP will consider any such comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in FAPIIS, in its assessment of the risk posed by the applicant.

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General, who may take into account not only peer review ratings and BJA recommendations, but also other factors as indicated in this section.

**F. Federal Award Administration Information**

**Federal Award Notices**
See the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for information on award notifications and instructions.

**Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements**
OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review information on post-award legal requirements and common OJP award conditions prior to submitting an application. See the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on administrative, national policy, and other legal requirements.

**Information Technology (IT) Security Clauses**
An application in response to this solicitation may require inclusion of information related to information technology security. See the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for information on information technology security.

**General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements**
In addition to the deliverables described in **Section A. Program Description**, any recipient of an award under this solicitation will be required to submit certain reports and data.

**Required reports.** Recipients typically must submit quarterly financial reports semi-annual progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements or specific award conditions. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent. (In appropriate cases, OJP may require additional reports.)

See the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on specific post-award reporting requirements, including performance measures data.

**G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)**
For OJP contact, see page 2.
For contact information for Grants.gov, see page 2.

H. Other Information

All applications submitted to OJP (including all attachments to applications) are subject to the federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and to the Privacy Act. See the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for information on DOJ and OJP processes with regard to application information requested pursuant to FOIA.

**Provide Feedback to OJP**
To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, OJP encourages applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review process. See the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for information on providing solicitation feedback to OJP.
## Appendix A: Performance Measures Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Data Grantee Provides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 1:</strong> Development of curriculum to broaden their understanding of justice systems and restorative approaches</td>
<td>Number of trainings conducted</td>
<td>Number of trainings (by type):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• In-person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Web-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• CD/DVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Peer-to-peer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of participants who attended the training</td>
<td>Number of individuals who:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Attended the training (in-person) or started the training (web-based)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Completed the training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Completed an evaluation at the conclusion of the training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Completed an evaluation and rated the training as satisfactory or better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Completed the post-test with an improved score over their pre-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of participants who successfully completed the training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of participants who rated the training as satisfactory or better</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of participants trained who subsequently demonstrated performance improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of curricula developed</td>
<td>Number of training curricula:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Pilot tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Revised after being pilot tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 2:</strong> Support of research to address social inequities and restorative justice</td>
<td>Number of scholarly products resulting from the work under the BJA award</td>
<td>Number of scholarly products resulting from the work under the BJA award</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of research documents published</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B: Application Checklist
Establishment of a Center on Restorative Justice

This application checklist has been created as an aid in developing an application.

What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:
_____ Acquire a DUNS Number (see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide)
_____ Acquire or renew registration with SAM (see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide)

To Register with Grants.gov:
_____ Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password (see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide)
_____ Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC (see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide)

To Find Funding Opportunity:
_____ Search for the Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov (see page 9)
_____ Access Funding Opportunity and Application Package (see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide)
_____ Sign up for Grants.gov email notifications (optional) (see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide)
_____ Read Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov
_____ Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting available at ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm (see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide)

After Application Submission, Receive Grants.gov Email Notifications That:
_____ (1) Application has been received
_____ (2) Application has either been successfully validated or rejected with errors (see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide)

If No Grants.gov Receipt and Validation or Error Notifications Are Received:
_____ Contact BJA regarding experiencing technical difficulties (see page 2)

Overview of Post-Award Legal Requirements:

Scope Requirement:
_____ The federal amount requested is within the allowable limit of $3,000,000.
Eligibility Requirement: Eligible applicants are accredited universities of higher education and accredited law schools. An applicant university must be fully accredited by one of the regional institutional accreditation agencies recognized by the U.S. Secretary of Education (see 34 U.S.C. 10251(a)(17)). Foreign governments, foreign organizations, and foreign colleges and universities are not eligible to apply.

What an Application Should Include:

The following items are critical application elements required to pass BMR. An application that OJP determines does not include the application elements designated to be critical will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration.

- Program Narrative (see pages 7–8)
- Budget Detail Worksheet (see page 8 and OJP Grant Application Resource Guide)
- Budget Narrative (see page 8 and OJP Grant Application Resource Guide)
- Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424) (see page 7)
- Project Abstract (see page 7)
- Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable) (see page 7)
- Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (see page 8)
- Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) (see page 8)
- Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications (see page 9)
- Applicant Disclosure and Justification – DOJ High Risk Grantees (if applicable, see page 9)
- Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity (see page 9)
- Request and Justification for Employee Compensation; Waiver (if applicable) (see page 6)