The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) is seeking applications to provide national training and technical assistance to BJA programs that have researcher-practitioner partnerships. This program furthers the Department’s mission by providing resources for state, local, and tribal governments to establish or enhance programming focused on modifying and reducing criminal behavior and enhancing public safety.

Enhancing Researcher–Practitioner Partnerships: Smart Suite Training & Technical Assistance Program
FY 2016 Competitive Grant Announcement
Applications Due: April 26, 2016

Eligibility

Eligible applicants are limited to any national nonprofit organization, for-profit (commercial) organization (including tribal nonprofit or for-profit organizations), or institution of higher education (including tribal institutions of higher education) that have expertise and experience in providing and coordinating training and technical assistance for partnerships between researchers and practitioners. In addition, the applicant must show the capacity to provide technical expertise in implementing action research partnerships between local criminal justice researchers and practitioners in multiple criminal justice subject matter areas. For-profit organizations must agree to forgo any profit or management fee.

BJA welcomes applications that involve two or more entities that will carry out the funded federal award activities; however, one eligible entity must be the applicant and the other(s) must be proposed as subrecipient(s). The applicant must be the entity with primary responsibility for administering the funding and managing the entire program. Only one application per lead applicant will be considered; however, a subrecipient may be part of multiple proposals.

BJA may elect to make awards for applications submitted under this solicitation in future fiscal years, dependent on, among other considerations, the merit of the applications and on the availability of appropriations.

Deadline

Applicants must register with Grants.gov prior to submitting an application. All applications are due to be submitted and in receipt of a successful validation message in Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on April 26, 2016.
All applicants are encouraged to read this Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov.

For additional information, see How to Apply in Section D. Application and Submission Information.

Contact Information

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726 or 606-545-5035, or via email to support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Support Hotline hours of operation are 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays.

Applicants that experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must email the BJA contact identified below within 24 hours after the application deadline and request approval to submit their application. Additional information on reporting technical issues is found under “Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues” in the How to Apply section.

For assistance with any other requirement of this solicitation, contact the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) Response Center: toll-free at 800-851-3420; via TTY at 301-240-6310 (hearing impaired only); email grants@ncjrs.gov; fax to 301-240-5830; or web chat at https://webcontact.ncjrs.gov/ncjchat/chat.jsp. The NCJRS Response Center hours of operation are 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday through Friday, and 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. eastern time on the solicitation close date.

Grants.gov number assigned to this announcement: BJA-2016-9080

Release date: February 24, 2016
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A. Program Description

Overview
The last two decades have witnessed increasing commitment from the local to the federal levels for the development of research capacity in local, state, and tribal criminal justice agencies. Such efforts are intended to encourage strategic problem solving and the integration of evidence-based strategies into practice for more effective, efficient, and economical criminal justice operations. One of the key benefits of the integration of research into criminal justice practice is that such analytical skills and processes support the development of highly focused interventions that have been shown to be the most effective in terms of crime prevention and control.

BJA has accelerated the adoption and integration of research and evidence in the field by growing the number of BJA programs that require robust researcher-practitioner partnerships. BJA is promoting researcher-practitioner partnerships in order to implement data-driven approaches to reduce crime, improve community safety, reduce recidivism, and prevent unnecessary confinement. This model is evident in the following BJA programs: Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN), Smart Policing, Smart Prosecution, Smart Supervision, Smart Defense, Second Chance Act (SCA) Re-Entry Demonstration Programs, Prescription Drug Monitoring programs (PDMP), Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation (BCJI), and Encouraging Innovation: Field Initiated program (Category 1). BJA refers to the programs with these partnerships as its “Smart Suite.”

BJA’s Smart Suite invests in the development of researcher-practitioner partnerships that use data, evidence, and innovation to create strategies and interventions that are effective and economical. These data-driven approaches enable jurisdictions to understand the full nature and extent of the crime challenges they are facing and to target resources toward their highest priorities. The Smart Suite represents a strategic approach that incorporates more “science” into criminal justice operations by leveraging innovative applications of analysis, technology, and evidence-based practices (EBP) with the goal of improving performance and effectiveness while containing costs.

Program-Specific Information
The purpose of this FY 2016 Smart Suite Training & Technical Assistance Program competitive grant announcement is to select one provider to deliver a wide range of training and technical assistance (TTA) services to researchers and practitioners in BJA’s Smart Suite programs. These services will focus on the researcher-practitioner partnerships and be implemented in coordination with BJA and other Smart Suite TTA partners. Services will include, but are not limited to, training sessions; partnering with an interdisciplinary team of subject matter experts (researchers and practitioners); developing original web content; developing products to promote continuous learning within the Smart Suite community; collaborating with other Smart Suite TTA partners; and providing remote guidance on problem analysis, solution development, data analysis, evaluation methodology, and producing “real-time” products and resources for
strategic decision-making. The services provided should enhance the quality and outcomes of all of the Smart Suite programs.

This program is funded pursuant to the “Department of Justice Appropriations Act, 2016” (P.L. 114-113).

BJA’s competitively funded TTA partner is expected to work closely with BJA staff and the other Smart Suite TTA partners to ensure effectiveness and success.

For more information on the programs in the Smart Suite please visit, https://www.bja.gov/programs/crppe/smartsuite.html. This web page provides information and resources about the BJA Smart Suite programs.

Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables
The goal of the Smart Suite TTA Program is to support the development, enhancement, and needs of researcher-practitioner partnerships in each of the Smart Suite programs. The objectives are to coordinate, manage, facilitate, and support:

- Establishing or expanding EBPs in all of the Smart Suite programs
- Developing logic models
- Collecting and analyzing criminal justice and public safety data
- Using data to identify criminal justice- and public safety-related problems
- Measuring program outcomes
- Using data to determine program effectiveness
- Assessing implementation fidelity
- Regularly presenting findings and conclusions, both orally and in written form, to a task force/implementation team
- Making recommendations for program improvement
- Developing "real-time" products and resources for strategic decision-making
- Communicating with a wide variety of audiences

Deliverables
The vendor selected under this award will provide TTA in action research, offer links to proven processes and strategies, and support Smart Suite researchers and practitioners with ongoing assistance. The deliverables for this award will include:

- Coordinating and financially supporting two trainings per year to help Smart Suite teams enhance their researcher-practitioner partnerships. Such efforts should encourage strategic problem solving and the integration of evidence-based strategies into practice. Financial support should, at a minimum, cover the logistics, planning activities, meeting space, speakers/ subject matter experts, and a limited number of scholarships.
- These trainings should support up to 20 4-person teams.
- Partnering with an interdisciplinary team of subject matter experts (researchers and practitioners) to support all of the programs in the Smart Suite.
- Developing original web content and collecting, reviewing, and editing grantees’ work products for the forthcoming Smart Suite website.
- Developing a series of products (webinars, white papers, podcasts, videos, etc.) to promote continuous learning within the Smart Suite community.
- Conducting periodic assessments with Smart Suite grantee personnel (i.e., project coordinators and research partners) to monitor and support team coordination, strategy
development, strategy selection, and implementation and the integration of research and EBPs.

- Creating and supporting a web-based information sharing portal or using the portal provided by BJA National Training and Technical Assistance Center (NTTAC) to encourage and help maintain a community of practice for Smart Suite teams.
- Helping Smart Suite teams complete planning and informational reports for BJA.
- Supporting the Smart Suite teams’ capacity for data-driven processes to support the implementation of EBPs.
- Supporting the development of periodic informational reports regarding the application of relevant methods and strategies (e.g., social network analysis, comparing risk assessment data with program enrollment and completion data, matching school police data with neighborhood crime data, monitoring the capacity of the community court to ensure individualized coordination of defendant’s needs, providing crime incident information for Compstat, etc.) to enhance decision-making and support on the ground implementation for Smart Suite teams.
- Assist Smart Suite grantees in disseminating information and updates about their projects within their department/agency throughout the life of the project in order to create greater knowledge and understanding about the value of research and EBPs among officers/practitioners.
- Beginning at the onset of the project, encourage grantees to adopt successful strategies and practices tested in the Smart Suite project at the completion of the grant period.

Applications are solicited for a TTA provider with extensive TTA expertise, experience, and knowledge related to these goals and objectives. The Smart Suite TTA Program should include training, technical assistance, and guidance that address the management, organization, and project implementation needs of all of the BJA Smart Suite programs. It can also include mentoring by other experts in strategy development and assessment, program implementation, program evaluation, and strategic problem solving.

Evidence-Based Programs or Practices
OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program development in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. OJP is committed to:

- Improving the quantity and quality of evidence OJP generates.
- Integrating evidence into program, practice, and policy decisions within OJP and the field.
- Improving the translation of evidence into practice.

OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence, generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations. Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention. Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based. The OJP CrimeSolutions.gov website is one resource that applicants may use to find information about evidence-based programs in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services.
B. Federal Award Information

BJA estimates that it will make one award of up to $2,000,000 for a 36-month project period, beginning on October 1, 2016.

BJA may, in certain cases, provide supplemental funding in future years to awards under this solicitation. Important considerations in decisions regarding supplemental funding include, among other factors, the availability of funding, strategic priorities, assessment of the quality of the management of the award (for example, timeliness and quality of progress reports), and assessment of the progress of the work funded under the award.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

Type of Award

BJA expects that it will make any award from this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement, which is a particular type of grant used if BJA expects to have ongoing substantial involvement in award activities. Substantial involvement includes direct oversight and involvement with the grantee organization in implementation of the grant, but does not involve day-to-day project management. See Administrative, National Policy, and other Legal Requirements, under Section F. Federal Award Administration Information, for details regarding the federal involvement anticipated under an award from this solicitation.

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls

Award recipients and subrecipients (including any recipient or subrecipient funded in response to this solicitation that is a pass-through entity) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the recipient (and any subrecipient) is managing the federal award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in “Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government” issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the “Internal Control Integrated Framework,” issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

(b) Comply with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal awards.

(c) Evaluate and monitor the recipient’s (and any subrecipient’s) compliance with statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of federal awards.

(d) Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified, including noncompliance identified in audit findings.

1 See generally 31 U.S.C. §§ 6301-6305 (defines and describes various forms of federal assistance relationships, including grants and cooperative agreements [a type of grant]).

2 For purposes of this solicitation (or program announcement), “pass-through entity” includes any entity eligible to receive funding as a recipient or subrecipient under this solicitation (or program announcement) that, if funded, may make a subaward(s) to a subrecipient(s) to carry out part of the funded program.
(e) Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information and other information the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive or the recipient (or any subrecipient) considers sensitive consistent with applicable federal, state, local, and tribal laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

In order to better understand administrative requirements and cost principles, award applicants are encouraged to enroll, at no charge, in the Department of Justice Grants Financial Management Online Training available here.

**Budget Information**

**Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement**
This solicitation does not require a match. However, if a successful application proposes a voluntary match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit.

**Pre-Agreement Cost (also known as Pre-award Cost) Approvals**
Pre-agreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the grant award.

OJP does not typically approve pre-agreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. If approved, pre-agreement costs could be paid from grant funds consistent with a grantee’s approved budget, and under applicable cost standards. However, all such costs prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of an applicant. Generally, no applicant should incur project costs before submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs. Should there be extenuating circumstances that appear to be appropriate for OJP’s consideration as pre-agreement costs, the applicant should contact the point of contact listed on the title page of this announcement for details on the requirements for submitting a written request for approval. See the section on Costs Requiring Prior Approval in the Financial Guide for more information.

**Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver**
With respect to any award of more than $250,000 made under this solicitation, recipients may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the award recipient at a rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the Federal Government’s Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year.³ The 2016 salary table for SES employees is available at the Office of Personnel Management website. Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a greater rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds. (Any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds where match requirements apply.) For employees who charge only a portion of their time to an award, the allowable amount to be charged is equal to the percentage of time worked times the maximum salary limitation.

The Assistant Attorney General for OJP may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, the limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant requesting a waiver should include a detailed justification in the budget narrative of the application. Unless

---

³ OJP does not apply this limitation on the use of award funds to the nonprofit organizations listed at Appendix VIII to 2 C.F.R. Part 200.
the applicant submits a waiver request and justification with the application, the applicant should anticipate that OJP will request the applicant to adjust and resubmit the budget.

The justification should include the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of the service the individual will provide, the individual’s specific knowledge of the program or project being undertaken with award funds, and a statement explaining that the individual’s salary is commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work to be done.

Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs
OJP strongly encourages applicants that propose to use award funds for any conference-, meeting-, or training-related activity to review carefully—before submitting an application—the OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting available at http://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm. OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of conference, meeting, and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect project timelines) of most conference, meeting, and training costs for cooperative agreement recipients and of some conference, meeting, and training costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, including a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)
If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services where appropriate.

For additional information, see the "Civil Rights Compliance" section under “Solicitation Requirements” in the OJP Funding Resource Center.

C. Eligibility Information

For eligibility information, see title page.

For additional information on cost sharing or matching requirements, see Section B. Federal Award Information.

Limit on Number of Application Submissions
If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, BJA will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted. For more information on system-validated versions, see How to Apply.

D. Application and Submission Information

What an Application Should Include
Applicants should anticipate that if they fail to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements, it may negatively affect the review of their application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of special conditions that
preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds pending satisfaction of the conditions.

Moreover, applicants should anticipate that applications that are determined to be nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that do not include the application elements that BJA has designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration. Under this solicitation, BJA has designated the following application elements as critical: Project Abstract, Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet, Budget Narrative, Timeline and Task Plan, Proposed staff and Subject Matter Expert (SME) resumes, and letters of support from subject matter expert candidates. Applicants may combine the Budget Narrative and the Budget Detail Worksheet in one document. However, if an applicant submits only one budget document, it must contain both narrative and detail information. Please review the “Note on File Names and File Types” under How to Apply to be sure applications are submitted in permitted formats.

OJP strongly recommends that applicants use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., “Program Narrative,” “Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative,” “Timelines,” “Memoranda of Understanding,” “Resumes”) for all attachments. Also, OJP recommends that applicants include resumes in a single file.

1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of pre-applications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and the OJP Grants Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant's profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting “type of applicant,” if the applicant is a for-profit entity, select "For-Profit Organization" or "Small Business" (as applicable).

**Intergovernmental Review:** This funding opportunity (program) is not subject to Executive Order 12372. (In completing the SF-424, applicants are to make the appropriate selection in response to question 19 to indicate that the “Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.”)

2. Project Abstract

Applications should include a high-quality project abstract that summarizes the proposed project in 400 words or less. Project abstracts should be—

- Written for a general public audience.
- Submitted as a separate attachment with “Project Abstract” as part of its file name.
- Single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman) with 1-inch margins.

As a separate attachment, the project abstract will not count against the page limit for the program narrative.

All project abstracts should follow the detailed template available at ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/ProjectAbstractTemplate.pdf.

**Permission to Share Project Abstract with the Public:** It is unlikely that BJA will be able to fund all applications submitted under this solicitation, but it may have the opportunity to share information with the public regarding unfunded applications; for example, through a listing on a web page available to the public. The intent of this public posting would be to allow other possible funders to become aware of such proposals.
In the project abstract template, applicants are asked to indicate whether they give OJP permission to share their project abstract (including contact information) with the public. Granting (or failing to grant) this permission will not affect OJP’s funding decisions, and, if the application is not funded, granting permission will not guarantee that abstract information will be shared, nor will it guarantee funding from any other source.

Note: OJP may choose not to list a project that otherwise would have been included in a listing of unfunded applications, should the abstract fail to meet the format and content requirements noted above and outlined in the project abstract template.

3. Program Narrative
The program narrative must respond to the solicitation and the Selection Criteria (1–4) in the order given. The program narrative must be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman is preferred) with 1-inch margins, and must not exceed 20 pages. Please number pages “1 of 15,” “2 of 15,” etc.

If the program narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, BJA may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions.

The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative:

a. Statement of the Problem
b. Project Design and Implementation
c. Capabilities and Competencies
d. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation’s Performance Measures

To demonstrate program progress and success, as well as to assist the Department with fulfilling its responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103-62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111–352, applicants that receive funding under this solicitation must provide data that measure the results of their work done under this solicitation. OJP will require any award recipient, post award, to provide the data requested in the “Data Grantee Provides” column so that OJP can calculate values for the “Performance Measures” column. Performance measures for this solicitation are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Catalog ID</th>
<th>Performance Measure(s)</th>
<th>Data Grantee Provides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support the development, implementation, and sustainment of comprehensive, evidence-based, community-oriented crime strategies in targeted neighborhoods through training and technical assistance</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Number of participants who attend the training</td>
<td>For current reporting period, the number of participants who:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>239</td>
<td>Percentage of participants who successfully completed the training</td>
<td>• Attended the training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>235</td>
<td>Percentage of participants who rated the training as satisfactory or better</td>
<td>• Completed training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>215</td>
<td>Percentage of participants trained in and subsequently demonstrating performance improvement</td>
<td>• Completed an evaluation at the conclusion of the training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Completed an evaluation and rated it as satisfactory or better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Completed a pre- and post-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Completed the post-test with an improved score over their pre-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Completed an evaluation and reported that they would make changes in their policies or practices due to training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246</td>
<td>Percentage of participants trained who reported they will implement one or more policies or practices</td>
<td>Implemented changes in policy or practice 6 months after they were trained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246</td>
<td>Percentage of participants trained who implemented one or more policies or practices 6 months after they were trained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246</td>
<td>Increase knowledge of Smart Suite grantees and the criminal justice community through partnerships and the development and dissemination of educational materials</td>
<td>Number of new SME partnerships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>Number of SME partnerships</td>
<td>Number of SMEs that participate in the Smart Suite training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Percent of deliverables that meet expectations</td>
<td>Number of new SMEs that became partners during this reporting period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Number of publications developed</td>
<td>Number of deliverables (e.g., Smart Suite website, online newsletter, webinars, TTA plans, website resources)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Number of publications disseminated</td>
<td>Number of unique educational materials (bulletins, white papers, presentations, brochures/pamphlets, newsletters, websites, etc.) developed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>Number of periodic assessments conducted with Smart Suite project coordinators and research partners</td>
<td>Number of assessments conducted with research partners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>493</td>
<td>Percentage of research partners who reported that they are satisfied with their membership on the team/task force</td>
<td>Number of assessments conducted with project coordinators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>493</td>
<td>Percentage of project coordinators who reported that they are satisfied with their research partner</td>
<td>Number of research partners who reported that they are satisfied with their membership on the team/task force</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>493</td>
<td>Number of project coordinators who reported that they are satisfied with their research partner</td>
<td>Number of project coordinators who reported that they are satisfied with their research partner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BJA does not require applicants to submit performance measures data with their application. Performance measures are included as an alert that BJA will require successful applicants to submit specific data as part of their reporting requirements. For the application, applicants should indicate an understanding of these requirements and discuss how they will gather the required data, should they receive funding.

**Note on Project Evaluations**

Applicants that propose to use funds awarded through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should be aware that certain project evaluations (such as systematic
investigations designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute "research" for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects protection regulations. However, project evaluations that are intended only to generate internal improvements to a program or service, or are conducted only to meet OJP’s performance measure data reporting requirements likely do not constitute “research.” Applicants should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular project they propose would either intentionally or unintentionally collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ regulatory definition of research.

Research, for the purposes of human subjects protections for OJP-funded programs, is defined as, “a systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge” 28 C.F.R. § 46.102(d). For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research, see the decision tree to assist applicants on the “Research and the Protection of Human Subjects” section of the OJP Funding Resource Center web page (http://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SolicitationRequirements/EvidenceResearchEvaluationRequirements.htm). Applicants whose proposals may involve a research or statistical component also should review the “Data Privacy and Confidentiality Requirements” section on that web page.

4. Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative

a. Budget Detail Worksheet
A sample Budget Detail Worksheet can be found at http://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/BudgetDetailWorksheet.pdf. Applicants that submit their budget in a different format should include the budget categories listed in the sample budget worksheet. The Budget Detail Worksheet should be broken down by year.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the Financial Guide at http://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm.

b. Budget Narrative
The Budget Narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe every category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities).

Applicants should demonstrate in their Budget Narratives how they will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget Narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project. For example, a Budget Narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the applicant estimated and calculated all costs, and how they are relevant to the completion of the proposed project. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes but need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the Budget Narrative should be broken down by year.
c. Non-Competitive Procurement Contracts In Excess of Simplified Acquisition Threshold
If an applicant proposes to make one or more non-competitive procurements of products or services, where the non-competitive procurement will exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (also known as the small purchase threshold), which is currently set at $150,000, the application should address the considerations outlined in the Financial Guide.

d. Pre-Agreement Cost Approvals
For information on pre-agreement costs, see Section B. Federal Award Information.

5. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)
Indirect costs are allowed only under the following circumstances:
(a) The applicant has a current, federally approved indirect cost rate; or
(b) The applicant is eligible to use and elects to use the “de minimis” indirect cost rate described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

Attach a copy of the federally approved indirect cost rate agreement to the application. Applicants that do not have an approved rate may request one through their cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant organization, or, if the applicant’s accounting system permits, costs may be allocated in the direct cost categories. For the definition of Cognizant Federal Agency, see the “Glossary of Terms” in the Financial Guide. For assistance with identifying your cognizant agency, please contact the Customer Service Center at 800-458-0786 or at ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain information needed to submit an indirect cost rate proposal at http://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/IndirectCosts.pdf.

In order to use the “de minimis” indirect rate, attach written documentation to the application that advises OJP of both the applicant’s eligibility (to use the “de minimis” rate) and its election. If the applicant elects the “de minimis” method, costs must be consistently charged as either indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as both. In addition, if this method is chosen then it must be used consistently for all federal awards until such time as you choose to negotiate a federally approved indirect cost rate.4

6. Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)
Tribes, tribal organizations, or third parties proposing to provide direct services or assistance to residents on tribal lands should include in their applications a resolution, a letter, affidavit, or other documentation, as appropriate, that certifies that the applicant has the legal authority from the tribe(s) to implement the proposed project on tribal lands. In those instances when an organization or consortium of tribes applies for a grant on behalf of a tribe or multiple specific tribes, the application should include appropriate legal documentation, as described above, from all tribes that would receive services or assistance under the grant. A consortium of tribes for which existing consortium bylaws allow action without support from all tribes in the consortium (i.e., without an authorizing resolution or comparable legal documentation from each tribal governing body) may submit, instead, a copy of its consortium bylaws with the application.

---

4 See 2 C.F.R. § 200.414(f).
Applicants unable to submit an application that includes a fully-executed (i.e., signed) copy of appropriate legal documentation, as described above, consistent with the applicable tribe’s governance structure, should, at a minimum, submit an unsigned, draft version of such legal documentation as part of its application (except for cases in which, with respect to a tribal consortium applicant, consortium bylaws allow action without the support of all consortium member tribes). If selected for funding, BJA will make use of and access to funds contingent on receipt of the fully-executed legal documentation.

7. **Applicant Disclosure of High Risk Status**
Applicants are to disclose whether they are currently designated high risk by another federal grant making agency. This includes any status requiring additional oversight by the federal agency due to past programmatic or financial concerns. If an applicant is designated high risk by another federal grant making agency, you must email the following information to OJPComplianceReporting@usdoj.gov at the time of application submission:

- The federal agency that currently designated the applicant as high risk.
- Date the applicant was designated high risk.
- The high risk point of contact name, phone number, and email address, from that federal agency.
- Reasons for the high risk status.

OJP seeks this information to ensure appropriate federal oversight of any grant award. Disclosing this high risk information does not disqualify any organization from receiving an OJP award. However, additional grant oversight may be included, if necessary, in award documentation.

8. **Additional Attachments**

   a. **Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications**
Applicants are to disclose whether they have pending applications for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the Budget Narrative and Budget Detail Worksheet in the application under this solicitation. The disclosure should include both direct applications for federal funding (e.g., applications to federal agencies) and indirect applications for such funding (e.g., applications to state agencies that will subaward federal funds).

OJP seeks this information to help avoid any inappropriate duplication of funding. Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate duplication.

Applicants that have pending applications as described above are to provide the following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or state funding agency
- The solicitation name/project name
- The point of contact information at the applicable funding agency
Applicants should include the table as a separate attachment to their application. The file should be named “Disclosure of Pending Applications.”

Applicants that do not have pending applications as described above are to include a statement to this effect in the separate attachment page (e.g., “[Applicant Name on SF-424] does not have pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the Budget Narrative and Budget Detail Worksheet in the application under this solicitation.”).

b. Project Timeline and Task Plan

c. Resumes of project staff and proposed Subject Matter Experts (SMEs)

d. Letters of support and commitment from SMEs

e. Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity

If a proposal involves research and/or evaluation, regardless of the proposal’s other merits, in order to receive funds, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence, including appropriate safeguards to ensure research/evaluation objectivity and integrity, both in this proposal and as it may relate to the applicant’s other current or prior related projects. This documentation may be included as an attachment to the application which addresses BOTH i. and ii. below.

i. For purposes of this solicitation, applicants must document research and evaluation independence and integrity by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:

a. A specific assurance that the applicant has reviewed its proposal to identify any research integrity issues (including all principal investigators and subrecipients) and it has concluded that the design, conduct, or reporting of research and evaluation funded by BJA grants, cooperative agreements, or contracts will not be biased by any personal or financial conflict of interest on the part of part of its staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients responsible for the research and evaluation or on the part of the applicant organization.

   OR

b. A specific listing of actual or perceived conflicts of interest that the applicant has identified in relation to this proposal. These conflicts could be either personal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal or State Funding Agency</th>
<th>Solicitation Name/Project Name</th>
<th>Name/Phone/Email for Point of Contact at Funding Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOJ/COPS</td>
<td>COPS Hiring Program</td>
<td>Jane Doe, 202/000-0000; <a href="mailto:jane.doe@usdoj.gov">jane.doe@usdoj.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS/ Substance Abuse &amp; Mental Health Services Administration</td>
<td>Drug Free Communities Mentoring Program/ North County Youth Mentoring Program</td>
<td>John Doe, 202/000-0000; <a href="mailto:john.doe@hhs.gov">john.doe@hhs.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(related to specific staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients) or organizational
(related to the applicant or any subgrantee organization). Examples of potential
investigator (or other personal) conflict situations may include, but are not limited
to, those in which an investigator would be in a position to evaluate a spouse’s
work product (actual conflict), or an investigator would be in a position to
evaluate the work of a former or current colleague (potential apparent conflict).
With regard to potential organizational conflicts of interest, as one example,
generally an organization could not be given a grant to evaluate a project if that
organization had itself provided substantial prior technical assistance to that
specific project or a location implementing the project (whether funded by OJP or
other sources), as the organization in such an instance would appear to be
evaluating the effectiveness of its own prior work. The key is whether a
reasonable person understanding all of the facts would be able to have
confidence that the results of any research or evaluation project are objective
and reliable. Any outside personal or financial interest that casts doubt on that
objectivity and reliability of an evaluation or research product is a problem and
must be disclosed.

ii. In addition, for purposes of this solicitation applicants must address the issue of
possible mitigation of research integrity concerns by including, at a minimum, one of
the following two items:

a. If an applicant reasonably believes that no potential personal or organizational
conflicts of interest exist, then the applicant should provide a brief narrative
explanation of how and why it reached that conclusion. Applicants MUST also
include an explanation of the specific processes and procedures that the
applicant will put in place to identify and eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate)
potential personal or financial conflicts of interest on the part of its staff,
consultants, and/or subrecipients for this particular project, should that be
necessary during the grant period. Documentation that may be helpful in this
regard could include organizational codes of ethics/conduct or policies regarding
organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest.

   OR

b. If the applicant has identified specific personal or organizational conflicts of
interest in its proposal during this review, the applicant must propose a specific
and robust mitigation plan to address conflicts noted above. At a minimum, the
plan must include specific processes and procedures that the applicant will put in
place to eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) potential personal or financial
conflicts of interest on the part of its staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients for
this particular project, should that be necessary during the grant period.
Documentation that may be helpful in this regard could include organizational
codes of ethics/conduct or policies regarding organizational, personal, and
financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be
accepted as proposed.

Considerations in assessing research and evaluation independence and integrity will
include, but are not limited to, the adequacy of the applicant’s efforts to identify factors
that could affect the objectivity or integrity of the proposed staff and/or the organization
in carrying out the research, development, or evaluation activity; and the adequacy of
the applicant’s existing or proposed remedies to control any such factors.
9. **Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire**
   In accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.205, federal agencies must have in place a framework for evaluating the risks posed by applicants before they receive a federal award. To facilitate part of this risk evaluation, all applicants (other than an individual) are to download, complete, and submit this form.

10. **Disclosure of Lobbying Activities**
   All applicants must complete this information. Applicants that expend any funds for lobbying activities are to provide the detailed information requested on the form Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL). Applicants that do not expend any funds for lobbying activities are to enter “N/A” in the text boxes for item 10 (“a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant” and “b. Individuals Performing Services”).

**How to Apply**
Applicants must register in and submit applications through Grants.gov, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find complete instructions on how to register and submit an application at [www.Grants.gov](http://www.Grants.gov). Applicants that experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at **800-518-4726** or **606-545-5035**, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays. Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, **processing delays may occur, and it can take several weeks** for first-time registrants to receive confirmation and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to **register several weeks before** the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

BJA strongly encourages all prospective applicants to sign up for Grants.gov email notifications regarding this solicitation. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be automatically notified.

**Note on Attachments.** Grants.gov has two categories of files for attachments: mandatory and optional. OJP receives all files attached in both categories. Please ensure all required documents are attached in the mandatory category.

**Note on File Names and File Types:** Grants.gov only permits the use of certain specific characters in names of attachment files. Valid file names may include only the characters shown in the table below. Grants.gov is designed to reject any application that includes an attachment(s) with a file name that contains any characters not shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>Special Characters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper case (A – Z)</td>
<td>Parenthesis ( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower case (a – z)</td>
<td>Ampersand (&amp;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underscore (_ )</td>
<td>Comma (, )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyphen ( - )</td>
<td>At sign (@)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space</td>
<td>Percent sign (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period ( . )</td>
<td>When using the ampersand (&amp;) in XML, applicants must use the “&amp;” format.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Special Characters       | |
|-------------------------| |
| Curly braces { }         |
| Square brackets [ ]      |
| Tilde (~)                |
| Exclamation point (!)    |
| Semicolon ( ; )          |
| Apostrophe ( ’ )         |
| Number sign (#)          |
| Dollar sign ($)          |
| Equal sign (=)           |

Grants.gov is designed to forward successfully submitted applications to the OJP Grants Management System (GMS).
**GMS does not accept executable file types as application attachments.** These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: `.com`, `.bat`, `.exe`, `.vbs`, `.cfg`, `.dat`, `.db`, `.dbf`, `.dll`, `.ini`, `.log`, `.ora`, `.sys`, and `.zip." GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

OJP may not make a federal award to an applicant organization until the applicant organization has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements. Individual applicants must comply with all Grants.gov requirements. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time the federal awarding agency is ready to make a federal award, the federal awarding agency may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

Individual applicants should search Grants.gov for a funding opportunity for which individuals are eligible to apply. Use the Funding Opportunity Number (FON) to register. Complete the registration form at https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/IndCPRegister to create a username and password. Individual applicants should complete all steps except 1, 2, and 4.

1. **Acquire a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number.** In general, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requires that all applicants (other than individuals) for federal funds include a DUNS number in their applications for a new award or a supplement to an existing award. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit sequence recognized as the universal standard for identifying and differentiating entities receiving federal funds. The identifier is used for tracking purposes and to validate address and point of contact information for federal assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. The DUNS number will be used throughout the grant life cycle. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866-705-5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online at www.dnb.com. A DUNS number is usually received within 1–2 business days.

2. **Acquire registration with the System for Award Management (SAM).** SAM is the repository for standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. OJP requires all applicants (other than individuals) for federal financial assistance to maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Applicants must be registered in SAM to successfully register in Grants.gov. Applicants must **update or renew their SAM registration annually** to maintain an active status. SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete.

   Applications cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. Once the SAM registration/renewal is complete, the **information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.** OJP recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

   Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at www.sam.gov.

3. **Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password.** Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. The applicant organization’s DUNS number must be used to complete this step. For more information about the registration process for organizations, go to

4. Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC). The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization’s AOR. The E-Biz POC will need the Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) password obtained when registering with SAM to complete this step. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.

5. Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for this solicitation is 16.738, titled “Enhancing Researcher-Practitioner Partnerships: Smart Suite Training & Technical Assistance Program” and the funding opportunity number is BJA-2016-9080.

6. Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov. Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive two notifications from Grants.gov. The first will confirm the receipt of the application and the second will state whether the application has been successfully validated, or rejected due to errors, with an explanation. It is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. **Important:** OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

All applications are due to be submitted and in receipt of a successful validation message in Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on April 26, 2016.

Click here for further details on DUNS, SAM, and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.

**Note: Duplicate Applications**

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, BJA will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted. See Note on File Names and File Types under How to Apply.

**Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues**

Applicants that experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline or the SAM Help Desk (Federal Service Desk) to report the technical issue and receive a tracking number. Then applicant must email the BJA contact identified in the Contact Information section on page 2 **within 24 hours after the application deadline** and request approval to submit their application. The email must describe the technical difficulties, and include a timeline of the applicant’s submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant’s DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s). **Note:** BJA does not automatically approve requests. After the program office reviews the submission, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to validate the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the applicant failed to follow all required procedures,
which resulted in an untimely application submission, OJP will deny the applicant’s request to submit their application.

The following conditions are generally insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time. (SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.)
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website.
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation.
- Technical issues with the applicant’s computer or information technology environment, including firewalls.

Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP funding web page at www.ojp.gov/funding/Explore/CurrentFundingOpportunities.htm.

E. Application Review Information

Selection Criteria

1. Statement of the Problem (10 percent)
   - Provide thorough understanding of data-driven and evidence-based practice and its relevance to contemporary crime problems.
   - Demonstrate an understanding of the programs that are in the Smart Suite and how these programs can enhance the use of data and research to improve programs.
   - Describe generally both successes and challenges to fostering research and practitioner partnerships.
   - Describe the role of crime analysis, action research, or analytical capacity in implementing projects in the Smart Suite.
   - Describe the challenges agencies may face in planning, implementing, and sustaining evidence-based crime reduction strategies; and describe the organizational changes required to support such strategies.
   - Describe generally the need for training and technical assistance for researchers and practitioners in an effort to achieve and enhance public safety and crime reduction initiatives.

2. Project Design and Implementation (35 percent)
   - Provide a comprehensive plan for training researcher and practitioner Smart Suite teams to work together to reduce crime and enhance public safety.
   - Describe how the applicant will identify and assess training and technical assistance needs for Smart Suite grantees and deliver those services, building on work of other Smart Suite programs training and technical assistance partners.
   - Describe how the training and technical assistance will encompass data-driven and evidence-based practices or will be based upon research knowledge and/or data.
   - Describe the original web content that your organization could develop, collect, and present for Smart Suite grantees.
   - Describe the series of products your organization would develop to promote continuous
learning within the Smart Suite community.

- Include a comprehensive timeline that identifies milestones, numerically listed deliverables, and who is responsible for each activity.

3. **Capabilities and Competencies (35 percent)**

- Demonstrate your organization’s experience training and developing educational resources for researchers and practitioners from multiple disciplines, in order to enhance public safety and crime reduction initiatives.
- Describe the organization’s expertise in assisting task forces and implementation teams to deliver and implement evidence-based crime reduction strategies; engage in action research on criminal justice questions; and evaluate crime prevention interventions.
- Describe your organization’s ability to assist Smart Suite grantees in disseminating information and updates about their projects within their Department/Agency throughout the life of the project in order to create greater knowledge and understanding about the value of research and evidence-based practice among officers/practitioners.
- Describe your organization’s ability to encourage Smart Suite grantees to adopt successful strategies and practices tested in the Smart project at the completion of the grant period.
- Demonstrate your organization’s ability to help research partners develop strategic plans; create logic models; use data to identify public safety related problems and solutions; develop “real-time” products and resources for strategic decision-making for task forces and implementation teams.
- List the interdisciplinary subject matter experts (SME), consultants, and/or partners with whom the organization plans to work to deliver TTA. For each consultant or partner, include a letter of commitment and a résumé as an attachment. Discuss how you will coordinate with and build on the TTA of Smart Suite program specific TTA partners.
- Demonstrate your organization’s ability to conduct periodic assessments of Smart Suite personnel (i.e., Project Coordinators, research partners, implementation team members, etc.) to monitor, document and support team coordination, strategy development, implementation, the integration or research, etc.
- Describe your organization’s ability to develop a web-based sharing portal that would encourage and help maintain a community of practice.
- Describe the management structure and outline the organization’s ability to conduct the individual activities through the organization’s and staff’s experience, and recruit and partner with individuals and other organizations with the expertise to enhance the organization’s and staff’s experience in developing and providing TTA.

4. **Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation’s Performance Measures (10 percent)**

Describe the process for measuring project performance, including meeting timelines and deliverables, and obtaining input and feedback from customers and stakeholders. Identify who will collect the data, who is responsible for performance measurement, how the data will be stored, how any personally identifiable information (PII) will be protected, and how the information will be used to guide the program. Describe the process to accurately report implementation findings.

5. **Budget (10 percent)**

Provide a proposed budget that is detailed, complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities). Provide specific information for the following:
• Coordinating and financially supporting two trainings per year to help Smart Suite teams enhance their researcher-practitioner partnerships. Such efforts should encourage strategic problem solving and the integration of evidence-based strategies into practice. Financial support should at least cover the logistics, planning activities, meeting space, speakers/subject matter experts, and a limited number of scholarships.

• These trainings should support up to 20, 4-person teams.

• Partnering with an interdisciplinary team of subject matter experts (researchers and practitioners) to support all of the programs in the Smart Suite.

• Developing original web content and collecting, reviewing, and editing grantees’ work products for the forthcoming Smart Suite website.

• Developing a series of products (webinars, white papers, podcasts, videos, etc.) to promote continuous learning within the Smart Suite community.

• Conducting periodic assessments with Smart Suite grantee personnel, i.e., Project Coordinators and Research Partners, to monitor and support team coordination, strategy development, strategy selection, implementation, the integration of research, etc.

• Creating and supporting a web-based information sharing portal or using the portal provided by BJA National Training and Technical Assistance Center (NTTAC) that would encourage and help maintain a community of practice for Smart Suite teams.

• Supporting the Smart Suite teams’ capacity for data-driven processes to support the implementation of EBPs; and

• Supporting the development of periodic informational reports regarding the application of relevant methods and strategies to enhance decision making and support on-the-ground implementation for Smart Suite teams.

The Budget Narratives should generally demonstrate how applicants will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget Narratives should demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project.5

Review Process
OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for awarding grants. BJA reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether applicants have met basic minimum requirements, OJP screens applications for compliance with specified program requirements to help determine which applications should proceed to further consideration for award. Although program requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP grant programs:

- Applications must be submitted by an eligible type of applicant.
- Applications must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable).
- Applications must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation.

---

5 Generally speaking, a reasonable cost is a cost that, in its nature or amount, does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the costs.
Applications must include all items designated as “critical elements.”
Applicants will be checked against the System for Award Management (SAM).

For a list of critical elements, see What an Application Should Include under Section D, Application and Submission Information.

BJA may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess applications meeting basic minimum requirements on technical merit using the solicitation’s selection criteria. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. A peer review panel will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. Peer reviewers’ ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although their views are considered carefully. In addition to peer review ratings, considerations for award recommendations and decisions may include, but are not limited to, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance under prior BJA and OJP awards, and available funding.

OJP reviews applications for potential discretionary awards to evaluate the risks posed by applicants before they receive an award. This review may include but is not limited to the following:

1. Financial stability and fiscal integrity
2. Quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in the Financial Guide
3. History of performance
4. Reports and findings from audits
5. The applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on award recipients
6. Proposed costs to determine if the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative accurately explain project costs, and whether those costs are reasonable, necessary, and allowable under applicable federal cost principles and agency regulations

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General, who may consider factors including, but not limited to, peer review ratings, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance under prior BJA and OJP awards, and available funding when making awards.

F. Federal Award Administration Information

Federal Award Notices
OJP sends award notification by email through GMS to the individuals listed in the application as the point of contact and the authorizing official. The email notification includes detailed instructions on how to access and view the award documents, and how to accept the award in GMS. GMS automatically issues the notifications at 9:00 p.m. eastern time on the award date. Recipients will be required to log in; accept any outstanding assurances and certifications on the award; designate a financial point of contact; and review, sign, and accept the award. The
award acceptance process involves physical signature of the award document by the authorized representative and the scanning of the fully-executed award document to OJP.

**Administrative, National Policy, and other Legal Requirements**

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the agency-approved project proposal and budget, the recipient must comply with award terms and conditions, and other legal requirements, including but not limited to OMB, DOJ or other federal regulations which will be included in the award, incorporated into the award by reference, or are otherwise applicable to the award. OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review the information pertaining to these requirements prior to submitting an application. To assist applicants and recipients in accessing and reviewing this information, OJP has placed pertinent information on its Solicitation Requirements page of the OJP Funding Resource Center.

Please note in particular the following two forms, which applicants must accept in GMS prior to the receipt of any award funds, as each details legal requirements with which applicants must provide specific assurances and certifications of compliance. Applicants may view these forms in the Apply section of the OJP Funding Resource Center and are strongly encouraged to review and consider them carefully prior to making an application for OJP grant funds.

- **Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements**
- **Standard Assurances**

Upon grant approval, OJP electronically transmits (via GMS) the award document to the prospective award recipient. In addition to other award information, the award document contains award terms and conditions that specify national policy requirements with which recipients of federal funding must comply; uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements; and program-specific terms and conditions required based on applicable program (statutory) authority or requirements set forth in OJP solicitations and program announcements, and other requirements which may be attached to appropriated funding. For example, certain efforts may call for special requirements, terms, or conditions relating to intellectual property, data/information-sharing or -access, or information security; or audit requirements, expenditures and milestones, or publications and/or press releases. OJP also may place additional terms and conditions on an award based on its risk assessment of the applicant, or for other reasons it determines necessary to fulfill the goals and objectives of the program.

Prospective applicants may access and review the text of mandatory conditions OJP includes in all OJP awards, as well as the text of certain other conditions, such as administrative conditions, via the Mandatory Award Terms and Conditions page of the OJP Funding Resource Center.

As stated above, BJA anticipates that it will make any award from this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement. Cooperative agreement awards include standard “federal involvement” conditions that describe the general allocation of responsibility for execution of the funded program. Generally stated, under cooperative agreement awards, responsibility for the day-to-day conduct of the funded project rests with the recipient in implementing the funded and

---

6 See generally 2 C.F.R. 200.300 (provides a general description of national policy requirements typically applicable to recipients of federal awards, including the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 [FFATA]).
approved proposal and budget, and the award terms and conditions. Responsibility for oversight and redirection of the project, if necessary, rests with BJA.

In addition to any “federal involvement” condition(s), OJP cooperative agreement awards include a special condition specifying certain reporting requirements required in connection with conferences, meetings, retreats, seminars, symposium, training activities, or similar events funded under the award, consistent with OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting.

**General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements**

Recipients must submit quarterly financial reports; semi-annual progress reports; final financial and progress reports; and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent.

Special Reporting requirements may be required by OJP depending on the statutory, legislative, or administrative obligations of the recipient or the program.

**G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)**

For Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s), see title page.

For contact information for Grants.gov, see title page.

**H. Other Information**

**Provide Feedback to OJP**

To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, we encourage applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review/peer review process. Provide feedback to OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov.

**IMPORTANT:** This email is for feedback and suggestions only. Replies are not sent from this mailbox. If you have specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation, you must directly contact the appropriate number or email listed on the front of this solicitation document. These contacts are provided to help ensure that you can directly reach an individual who can address your specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, please email your resume to ojppeerreview@lmsolas.com. The OJP Solicitation Feedback email account will not forward your resume. **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization have submitted an application.
This application checklist has been created to assist in developing an application.

What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:
_____ Acquire a DUNS Number (see page 19)
_____ Acquire or renew registration with SAM (see page 19)

To Register with Grants.gov:
_____ Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password (see page 19)
_____ Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC (see page 20)

To Find Funding Opportunity:
_____ Search for the Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov (see page 20)
_____ Download Funding Opportunity and Application Package (see page 20)
_____ Sign up for Grants.gov email notifications (optional) (see page 18)
_____ Read Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov

After application submission, receive Grants.gov email notifications that:
_____ (1) application has been received,
_____ (2) application has either been successfully validated or rejected with errors (see page 20)

If no Grants.gov receipt, and validation or error notifications are received:
_____ Contact BJA regarding experiencing technical difficulties (see page 20)

General Requirements:
_____ Review the Solicitation Requirements in the OJP Funding Resource Center.

Scope Requirement:
_____ The federal amount requested is within the allowable limit(s) of $2,000,000.

Eligibility Requirement: Eligible applicants are limited to any national nonprofit organization, for-profit (commercial) organization (including tribal nonprofit or for-profit organizations), or institution of higher education (including tribal institutions of higher education) that have expertise and experience in providing and coordinating training and technical assistance for partnerships between researchers and practitioners. In addition, the applicant must show the capacity to provide technical expertise in implementing action research partnerships between local criminal justice researchers and practitioners in multiple criminal justice subject matter areas. For-profit organizations must agree to forgo any profit or management fee.
What an Application Should Include:

- Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424) (see page 10)
- *Project Abstract (see page 10)
- *Program Narrative (see page 11)
- *Budget Detail Worksheet (see page 13)
- *Budget Narrative (see page 13)
- Employee Compensation Waiver request and justification (if applicable) (see page 8)
- Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) (see page 18)
- Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable) (see page 14)
- Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable) (see page 14)
- Applicant Disclosure of High Risk Status (see page 15)
- Additional Attachments
  - Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications (see page 15)
  - Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity (see page 16)
  - *Project Timeline and Task Plan
  - *Resumes of project staff and proposed Subject Matter Experts
  - Letters of support and commitment from proposed Subject Matter Experts
  - Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (see page 18)

* These elements are the basic minimum requirements for applications. Applications that do not include these elements shall neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration by BJA.