The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) is seeking applications to establish or enhance drug court services, coordination, offender management, and recovery support services. This program furthers the Department's mission by providing resources to state, local, and tribal governments and state, local, and tribal courts to enhance drug court programs and systems for nonviolent substance-abusers.

Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program
FY 2016 Competitive Grant Announcement
Applications Due: April 19, 2016

Eligibility

Eligible applicants are those that meet the following criteria:

For Category 1: Implementation and Category 2: Enhancement, applications will be accepted to support states, state and local courts, counties, units of local government, and federally recognized Indian tribal governments (as determined by the Secretary of the Interior) on behalf of a single jurisdiction drug court.

For Category 3: Statewide, applicants are limited to state agencies. State agencies include the state court administrative offices, state criminal justice agencies, and other state agencies involved with the provision of substance abuse, mental health, or related services to criminal substance abusers such as the State Administering Agency (SAA), the Administrative Office of the Courts, and the State Alcohol and Substance Abuse Agency.

Note: Applicants must demonstrate that eligible drug court participants promptly enter the drug court program following a determination of their eligibility. BJA will not make awards to applicants whose drug courts require an initial period of incarceration unless the period of incarceration is mandated by statute for the offense in question. In such instances, the applicant must demonstrate that the offender receives treatment services, if available, while incarcerated and begins drug court treatment services immediately upon release.

Applicants must also demonstrate that the drug court for which funds are being sought will not deny any eligible client access to the program because of their use of FDA-approved medications for the treatment of substance use disorders. Please see page 9 for additional information.

BJA will prioritize making awards to those jurisdictions who do not have an active BJA drug court award. BJA may also elect to make awards for applications submitted under this FY 2016
solicitation in future fiscal years, dependent on, among other considerations, the merit of the applications and the availability of appropriations.

**Deadline**

Applicants must register with Grants.gov prior to submitting an application. All applications are due to be submitted and in receipt of a successful validation message in Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on April 19, 2016.

All applicants are encouraged to read this Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov.

For additional information, see How To Apply in Section D. Application and Submission Information.

**Contact Information**

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726 or 606-545-5035, or via email to support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Support Hotline hours of operation are 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays.

Applicants that experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must email the BJA contact identified below within **24 hours after the application deadline** and request approval to submit their application. Additional information on reporting technical issues is found under “Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues” in the How To Apply section.

For assistance with any other requirement of this solicitation, contact the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) Response Center: toll-free at 800-851-3420; via TTY at 301-240-6310 (hearing impaired only); email grants@ncjrs.gov; fax to 301-240-5830; or web chat at https://webcontact.ncjrs.gov/ncjchat/chat.jsp. The NCJRS Response Center hours of operation are 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday through Friday, and 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. eastern time on the solicitation close date.

Grants.gov number assigned to this announcement: BJA-2016-9197

Release date: February 23, 2016
A. Program Description

Overview
The purpose of the Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program (42 U.S.C. 3797u et seq.) is to provide financial and technical assistance to states, state courts, local courts, units of local government, and Indian tribal governments to develop and implement drug courts that effectively integrate evidence-based substance abuse treatment, mandatory drug testing, sanctions and incentives, and transitional services in a judicially supervised court setting with jurisdiction over substance-abusers. BJA is accepting applications for FY 2016 grants to either establish new drug courts or enhance existing drug court programs using evidence-based principles and practices. BJA also supports courts that integrate the National Association of Drug Court Professionals (NADCP) adult drug court standards into existing drug court services. A detailed description of the NADCP standards can be found on pages 7-8.

Program-Specific Information
Drug courts are part of the larger universe of problem-solving courts and have been demonstrated (where implemented in an evidence-based manner) to reduce recidivism and substance abuse among high-risk substance abusing participants and increase their likelihood of successful rehabilitation through:

- Early, continuous, and intense treatment.
- Close judicial supervision and involvement (including judicial interaction with participants and frequent status hearings).
- Mandatory and random drug testing.
- Community supervision.
- Appropriate incentives and sanctions.
- Recovery support services/continuing care.

BJA provides drug court applicants with the flexibility to identify the most appropriate court (service/docket) model on which to base the drug court in order to accommodate the needs and available resources of that jurisdiction, so long as the model conforms to the drug court 10 key components, which describe the basic elements that define drug courts. NADCP’s drug court standards provide specific evidence-based practices, policies, and programs that, when implemented, ensure conformity with the 10 key components.

For the purposes of this solicitation, an “adult drug court” is a court program managed by a multidisciplinary team that responds to the offenses and treatment needs of participants who have a drug addiction.

Drug courts funded through this grant solicitation may use federal funding and matched funding to serve only nonviolent offenders.1 An exception to this restriction is Veterans Treatment Programs funded through this solicitation may not, with Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program funding or matched funding, serve violent offenders. As defined in 42 U.S.C. 3797u-2, a “violent offender” means a person who—(1) is charged with or convicted of an offense that is punishable by a term of imprisonment exceeding one year,
Courts (VTC) funded through this solicitation using funds appropriated under the FY 2016 Veterans Treatment Court line item, which are not subject to the violent offender exclusion included in the Drug Court Program authorizing legislation (42 U.S.C. 3797u et seq.). Applications for VTCs should be clearly identified in the abstract. All adult drug courts must be operated based on BJA’s and the National Association of Drug Court Professionals’ publication: *Defining Drug Courts: The Key Components*.

For the purposes of this solicitation, eligible drug court types are: Adult Drug Courts; Driving While Intoxicated (DWI)/Driving Under the Influence (DUI) Courts; Co-Occurring Courts where those participants possess both a substance abuse and mental disorder diagnosis; Veterans Treatment Courts; and Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts. Court programs that combine or propose to combine the above-referenced drug court types (meeting the requirements of such) with other court programs or dockets are also eligible for funding, although the funding under this program must be used to address only those clients eligible for drug court services. Applicants should refer to their relevant local statutes to define the legal age of an “adult.”

For *Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts*, *Veterans Treatment Courts*, and *DWI Courts*, program designs must function in accordance with the corresponding court type key components linked here.

The National Drug Court Resource Center, available at [www.NDCRC.org](http://www.NDCRC.org), is a BJA-funded resource for the drug court field that serves as a clearinghouse for drug court training, technical assistance, publications, funding resources, and other practitioner-specific resources. Applicants are encouraged to visit this site for useful information regarding the solicitation priorities before submitting the application. In addition, grant solicitation resources can be found at: [www.ndcrc.org/content/grant-solicitation-references](http://www.ndcrc.org/content/grant-solicitation-references).

**Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables**

The overall goal of the Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program (ADCDGP) is to equip courts and community supervision systems with the necessary tools and resources utilizing the most current evidence-based practices and principles to intervene with substance abusing participants while preparing them for success in the community. To accomplish this goal, ADCDGDP grant funds will be awarded to build and/or expand drug court capacity at the state, local, and tribal levels to reduce crime and substance abuse among high-risk, high-need participants.

Program objectives include assisting courts that utilize evidence-based practices and principles, which are incorporated into the NADCP drug court standards; building and maximizing the capacity of jurisdictions to ensure that all potential participants are identified and assessed for risk and need; ensuring all participants receive targeted evidence-based services; enhancing the provision of recovery support services to reduce recidivism; and reducing obstacles to
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during the course of which offense or conduct— (A) the person carried, possessed, or used a firearm or dangerous weapon; (B) there occurred the death of or serious bodily injury to any person; or (C) there occurred the use of force against the person of another, without regard to whether any of the circumstances described in subparagraph (A) or (B) is an element of the offense or conduct of which or for which the person is charged or convicted; or (2) has 1 or more prior convictions for a felony crime of violence involving the use or attempted use of force against a person with the intent to cause death or serious bodily harm. A BJA Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program-funded drug court may, at its own discretion and after taking a valid assessment of risk into consideration, choose to provide services to an offender that is otherwise excluded from this program if the grantee is using non-federal (including match) funding to provide the services to that offender. BJA strongly encourages the use of valid risk assessment instruments and consideration of public safety needs in this local decision making process.
successful recovery, including the provision of civil legal assistance. For enhancement grants, objectives also include supporting strategies that ensure drug court practitioners have tools to effectively manage these interventions, including data collection and analysis, training and technical assistance, and tracking and improving drug court performance.

Evidence-Based Programs or Practices
OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program development in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. OJP is committed to:

- Improving the quantity and quality of evidence OJP generates.
- Integrating evidence into program, practice, and policy decisions within OJP and the field.
- Improving the translation of evidence into practice.

OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence, generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations. Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention. Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based. OJP’s CrimeSolutions.gov website is one resource that applicants may use to find information about evidence-based programs in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services.

Applicants can also find information on evidence-based treatment practices in the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration’s (SAMHSA) Guide to Evidence-Based Practices available at www.samhsa.gov/ebpwebguide. The Guide provides a short description and a link to dozens of websites with relevant evidence-based practices information—either specific interventions or comprehensive reviews of research findings. Note that SAMHSA’s Guide to Evidence-Based Practices also references the National Registry of Evidence-Based Programs and Practices (NREPP), a searchable database of interventions for the prevention and treatment of mental and substance use disorders. NREPP is intended to serve as a decision support tool, not as an authoritative list of effective interventions. Being included in NREPP, or in any other resource listed in the Guide, does not mean an intervention is “recommended” or that it has been demonstrated to achieve positive results in all circumstances.

Drug Court Standards
Over the past three decades, hundreds of evaluations of drug courts have been conducted that have demonstrated their effectiveness, as well as five meta-analyses of study findings, making drug courts one of the most rigorously tested and evaluated programs in the criminal justice field. Over the past several years, the NADCP identified 10 best practice standards2 for adult
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drug courts. These standards are based on the expansive body of research spanning nearly 20 years that represents best practices in addiction, pharmacology, behavioral health treatment, and criminal justice, that, if integrated into practice, will optimize drug court operations. In support of this optimization of drug courts, BJA strongly encourages applicants, and particularly applications proposing to enhance existing drug courts, to design their proposed programs with the intention of moving toward the full incorporation of NADCP’S newly adopted standards, which represent the most current evidence-based principles and practices. The standards are as follows:

**Standard 1 Target Population**
Eligibility and exclusion criteria for the Drug Court are predicated on empirical evidence indicating which types of offenders can be treated safely and effectively in Drug Courts. Candidates are evaluated for admission to the Drug Court using evidence-based assessment tools and procedures.

**Standard 2 Historically Disadvantaged Groups**
Citizens who have historically experienced sustained discrimination or reduced social opportunities because of their race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, sexual identity, physical or mental disability, religion, or socioeconomic status receive the same opportunities as other citizens to participate and succeed in the Drug Court.

**Standard 3 Roles and Responsibility of the Judge**
The Drug Court judge stays abreast of current law and research on best practices in Drug Courts, participates regularly in team meetings, interacts frequently and respectfully with participants, and gives due consideration to the input of other team members.

**Standard 4 Incentives, Sanctions, and Therapeutic Adjustments**
Consequences for participants’ behavior are predictable, fair, consistent, and administered in accordance with evidence-based principles of effective behavior modification.

**Standard 5 Substance Abuse Treatment**
Participants receive substance abuse treatment based on a standardized assessment of their treatment needs. Substance abuse treatment is not provided to reward desired behaviors, punish infractions, or serve other non-clinically indicated goals. Treatment providers are trained and supervised to deliver a continuum of evidence-based interventions that are documented in treatment manuals.

**Standard 6 Complementary Treatment and Social Services**
Participants receive complementary treatment and social services for conditions that co-occur with substance abuse and are likely to interfere with their compliance in Drug Court, increase criminal recidivism, or diminish treatment gains.

**Standard 7 Drug and Alcohol Testing**
Drug and alcohol testing provides an accurate, timely, and comprehensive assessment of unauthorized substance use throughout participants’ enrollment in the Drug Court.

**Standard 8 Multidisciplinary Team**
A dedicated multidisciplinary team of professionals manages the day-to-day operations of the Drug Court, including reviewing participant progress during pre-court staff meetings and status hearings, contributing observations and recommendations within team members’ respective
areas of expertise, and delivering or overseeing the delivery of legal, treatment and supervision services.

**Standard 9 Census and Caseloads**
The Drug Court serves as many eligible individuals as practicable while maintaining continuous fidelity to best practice standards.

**Standard 10 Monitoring and Evaluation**
The Drug Court routinely monitors its adherence to best practice standards and employs scientifically valid and reliable procedures to evaluate its effectiveness.

The standards represent the cumulative body of the most current evidence-based practices available to drug courts to effectively operationalize the drug court 10 key components listed below. A detailed video presentation of NADCP’s drug court standards can be accessed at www.nadcp.org/Standards.

**Adult Drug Court 10 Key Components**

**Key Component 1:** Drug courts integrate alcohol and other drug treatment services with justice system case processing.

**Key Component 2:** Using a non-adversarial approach, prosecution and defense counsel promote public safety while protecting participants’ due process rights.

**Key Component 3:** Eligible participants are identified early and promptly placed in the drug court program.

**Key Component 4:** Drug courts provide access to a continuum of alcohol, drug, and other related treatment and rehabilitation services.

**Key Component 5:** Abstinence is monitored by frequent alcohol and other drug testing.

**Key Component 6:** A coordinated strategy governs drug court responses to participants’ compliance.

**Key Component 7:** Ongoing judicial interaction with each drug court participant is essential.

**Key Component 8:** Monitoring and evaluation to measure the achievement of program goals and gauge effectiveness.

**Key Component 9:** Continuing interdisciplinary education promotes effective drug court planning, implementation, and operations.

**Key Component 10:** Forging partnerships among drug courts, public agencies, and community-based organizations generates local support and enhances drug court program effectiveness.

For additional information:

- [Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts: The Key Components](#)
- [Justice for Vets: The Ten Key Components of Veterans Treatment Court](#)
NCDC: National Center for DWI Courts

Note: For additional information related to the drug court research supporting the evidence-based program principles and key components, visit the Evidence-Based Practice section of: www.ndcrc.org/content/grant-solicitation-references. Here you can also find a cross walk of the historical 10 Key Components to the 10 Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards.

Medication-Assisted Treatment
Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) is an evidence-based substance abuse treatment protocol, and BJA supports the right of individuals to have access to appropriate MAT under the care and prescription of a physician. BJA recognizes that not all communities may have access to MAT due to a lack of physicians who are able to prescribe and oversee clients using anti-alcohol and anti-opioid medications. This will not preclude the applicant from applying, but where and when available, BJA supports the client’s right to access MAT. This right extends to participation as a client in a BJA-funded drug court.

Applicants must demonstrate that the drug court(s) for which funds are sought will not deny any eligible client for the drug court access to the program because of their use of FDA-approved medications for the treatment of substance use disorders (e.g., methadone, buprenorphine products including buprenorphine/naloxone combination formulations and buprenorphine mono-product formulations, naltrexone products including extended-release and oral formulations, disulfiram, and acamprosate calcium). Specifically, methadone treatment rendered in accordance with current federal and state methadone dispensing regulations from an Opioid Treatment Program and ordered by a physician who has evaluated the client and determined that methadone is an appropriate medication treatment for the individual’s opioid use disorder must be permitted. Similarly, medications available by prescription must be permitted unless the judge determines the following conditions have not been met:

- The client is receiving those medications as part of treatment for a diagnosed substance use disorder.
- A licensed clinician, acting within their scope of practice, has examined the client and determined that the medication is an appropriate treatment for their substance use disorder.
- The medication was appropriately authorized through prescription by a licensed prescriber.

In all cases, MAT must be permitted to be continued for as long as the prescriber determines that the medication is clinically beneficial. Grantees must assure that a drug court client will not be compelled to no longer use MAT as part of the conditions of the drug court if such a mandate is inconsistent with a licensed prescriber’s recommendation or valid prescription.

Under no circumstances may a drug court judge, other judicial official, correctional supervision officer, or any other staff connected to the identified drug court deny the use of these medications when made available to the client under the care of a properly authorized physician and pursuant to regulations within an Opioid Treatment Program or through a valid prescription and under the conditions described above. A judge, however, retains judicial discretion to mitigate/reduce the risk of abuse, misuse, or diversion of these medications.
Priority Consideration

Category 1: BJA will give priority consideration to all Category 1 Implementation applicants who have completed the BJA Drug Court Planning Initiative (DCPI) training. For more information or to register for the training, visit www.NDCRC.org.

Categories 1-3: BJA will give priority consideration to applicants who, in the Project Design section of the application, propose designs and strategies that are in adherence with the 10 key components AND identify which state-specific NADCP Best Practice Standards (see pages 7-8) the applicant is seeking to implement and how this implementation will occur.

B. Federal Award Information

Amount and Length of Awards
BJA estimates that it will make up to 50 grant awards for varying amounts and time periods depending on the category under which the application is awarded (see below).

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

The FY 2016 solicitation offers three drug court grant categories: Category 1: Implementation, Category 2: Enhancement, and Category 3: Statewide. Jurisdictions that are in the planning stages should consider participation in BJA's DCPI. Upon completion of the DCPI, jurisdictions may receive priority consideration when applying for an implementation grant. DCPI provides training and technical assistance to jurisdictions in planning a new adult, tribal, or veterans treatment drug court program.

**CATEGORY 1: IMPLEMENTATION.** Grant maximum: $350,000. Project period: 36 months. Competition ID: BJA-2016-9338
Implementation grants are available to jurisdictions that have completed a substantial amount of planning and are ready to implement an evidence-based adult drug court as described above (i.e., meeting the key components as well as the evidence-based program principles embodied in the NADCP drug court standards).

Applicants may propose to use funding for court operations and services; offender supervision, management, and services; provision and coordination of recovery support services including education, civil legal assistance, job training and placement, housing placement assistance, primary and behavioral health care, and childcare and other supportive services.

**CATEGORY 2: ENHANCEMENT.** Grant maximum: $300,000. Project period: 36 months. Competition ID: BJA-2016-9339
Enhancement grants are available to jurisdictions with a fully operational adult drug court (to be eligible, you must have been operating for at least 1 year as of September 30, 2016). Applicants are encouraged to include in their proposals funding to incorporate the evidence-based program principles included in the NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards, and to specify which Standard(s) is/are addressed in the application and include in the program design details on how the Standard(s) will be implemented.
Allowable uses of funds include:

1. Scale up the drug court program capacity to better meet the actual number of eligible high-risk/high-need justice-involved persons in the jurisdiction. Applicants should provide data to support their request.

2. Enhance court operations including training programs for drug court practitioners, drug court program evaluations, performance management system implementation, and automated management information system implementation.

3. Expand or enhance court services in areas such as case management, including drug testing, case management, and community supervision.

4. Improve the quality and/or intensity of services based on needs assessments; for instance, funding may be used for enhancing treatment services (including cognitive behavioral therapy), enrollment in and access to health care coverage, education, vocational training, job training and placement, housing placement assistance, and childcare or other family support services for each participant who requires such services.

**CATEGORY 3: STATEWIDE. Grant maximum per applicant for Subcategories A and B:**

$1.5 million for state-based coordination of services. Of the $1.5 million, a maximum of $300,000 is available per applicant under subcategory A, and a maximum of $1,200,000 is available per applicant under subcategory B. Project period: 36 months. Competition ID: BJA-2016-9340

Applicants can apply for Subcategory A or Subcategory B separately; however, it is suggested that applicants apply for each and maximize funds available from both categories to assist with state-based coordination, services, evaluation, and training.

Statewide drug court grants are available for two purposes:

3a. Applicants may request up to $300,000 to improve, enhance, or expand drug court services statewide by encouraging adherence to the evidence-based program principles included in the NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards and through activities such as: training and/or technical assistance programs for drug court teams geared to improve drug court functioning and to increase drug court participation and participant outcomes; tracking, compiling, coordinating, and disseminating state drug court information and resources; increasing communication, coordination, and information sharing among drug court programs; conducting a statewide drug court evaluation; or establishing a statewide automated drug court data collection and/or performance management system.

3b. Applicants may request up to $1,200,000 to financially support drug courts in local or regional jurisdictions that do not currently operate with BJA Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program funding. (A list of active BJA drug court grantees is available at: www.bja.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?Program_ID=58.) States applying for funding under this subcategory must demonstrate a statewide, data-driven strategy for reaching and expanding capacity of drug court options and services for nonviolent but high-risk/high-need justice-involved individuals, which may include implementing new drug courts, or scaling up existing drug courts to better meet the actual number of high-risk/high-need individuals who are eligible and ready to participate in a drug court program. States are encouraged to propose funding for local or regional courts in a manner that is consistent with implementing one or
more of the evidence-based principles included above in the NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards or similar State standards.

Statewide applicants must identify which drug courts and the type of court they propose to fund, at what amounts, for which periods of time, how the statewide applicant will assist the funded courts in achieving their goals and objectives, and how the applicant will track and monitor progress using an automated management information system. Applicants must clearly describe their rationale for drug court selection and connect this back to their statewide, data-driven goals and objectives of reducing recidivism among high-risk/high-need justice-involved individuals.

All federal funds under category 3b must be passed through to drug courts within the state; no funds may be retained for administrative purposes.

Type of Award
BJA expects that it will make any award from this solicitation in the form of a grant.

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls
Award recipients and subrecipients (including any recipient or subrecipient funded in response to this solicitation that is a pass-through entity4) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the recipient (and any subrecipient) is managing the federal award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in “Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government” issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the “Internal Control Integrated Framework” issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).
(b) Comply with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal awards.
(c) Evaluate and monitor the recipient’s (and any subrecipient’s) compliance with statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of federal awards.
(d) Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified including noncompliance identified in audit findings.
(e) Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information and other information the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive or the recipient (and any subrecipient) considers sensitive consistent with applicable federal, state, local, and tribal laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

In order to better understand administrative requirements and cost principles, applicants are encouraged to enroll, at no charge, in the Department of Justice Grants Financial Management Online Training available here.

3 See generally 31 U.S.C. §§ 6301-6305 (defines and describes various forms of federal assistance relationships, including grants and cooperative agreements [a type of grant]).
4 For purposes of this solicitation (or program announcement), “pass-through entity” includes any entity eligible to receive funding as a recipient or subrecipient under this solicitation (or program announcement) that, if funded, may make a subaward(s) to a subrecipient(s) to carry out part of the funded program.
**Budget Information**
The budget must explicitly describe how the proposed budget items directly apply to the project design and will assist the applicant in meeting the project goals and objectives.

**Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement**

**Match Requirement (cash or in-kind)**
Federal funds awarded under this program may not cover more than 75 percent of the total costs of the project being funded. The applicant must identify the source of the 25 percent non-federal portion of the total project costs and how match funds will be used. If a successful applicant’s proposed match exceeds the required match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit. (Match is restricted to the same uses of funds as allowed for the federal funds.) Applicants may satisfy this match requirement with any portion of cash and the remainder can be in-kind funds. See the Financial Guide for definitions and examples of in-kind funding. The formula for calculating the match is:

\[
\text{Federal Award Amount} = \frac{\text{Adjusted (Total) Project Costs}}{\text{Federal Share Percentage}} \\
\text{Required Recipient’s Share Percentage} \times \text{Adjusted Project Cost} = \text{Required Match}
\]

**Example:** 75%/25% match requirement: for a federal award amount of $350,000, match would be calculated as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
$350,000 &= $466,667 \\
75\% &= 25\% \times $466,667 = $116,667 \text{ match}
\end{align*}
\]

The Budget Detail Worksheet should distinguish cash from in-kind matched funds using an asterisk to show what percentage of the budget is cash. The Financial Guide can be accessed at: [http://ojp.gov/financialguide/doi/index.htm](http://ojp.gov/financialguide/doi/index.htm).

For additional information on cost sharing and match requirement, see the Financial Guide.

**Pre-Agreement Cost (also known as Pre-award Cost) Approvals**
Pre-agreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the grant award.

OJP does not typically approve pre-agreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. If approved, pre-agreement costs could be paid from grant funds consistent with a grantee’s approved budget, and under applicable cost standards. However, all such costs prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of an applicant. Generally, no applicant should incur project costs before submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs. Should there be extenuating circumstances that appear to be appropriate for OJP’s consideration as pre-agreement costs, the applicant should contact the point of contact listed on the title page of this announcement for details on the requirements for submitting a written request for approval. See the section on Costs Requiring Prior Approval in the Financial Guide, for more information.
Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver

With respect to any award of more than $250,000 made under this solicitation, recipients may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the award recipient at a rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the Federal Government’s Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year. The 2016 salary table for SES employees is available on the Office of Personnel Management website. Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a greater rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds. (Any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds where match requirements apply.)

The Assistant Attorney General for OJP may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, the limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant requesting a waiver should include a detailed justification in the Budget Narrative of the application. Unless the applicant submits a waiver request and justification with the application, the applicant should anticipate that OJP will request the applicant to adjust and resubmit the budget.

The justification should include the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of the service the individual will provide, the individual’s specific knowledge of the program or project being undertaken with award funds, and a statement explaining that the individual’s salary is commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work to be done.

Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs

OJP strongly encourages applicants that propose to use award funds for any conference-, meeting-, or training-related activity to review carefully – before submitting an application – the OJP policy and guidance on “conference” approval, planning, and reporting available at http://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm. OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of conference, meeting, and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect project timelines) of most conference, meeting, and training costs for cooperative agreement recipients and of some conference, meeting, and training costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, including a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)

If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services where appropriate.

For additional information, see the "Civil Rights Compliance" section under “Solicitation Requirements” in the OJP Funding Resource Center.

---

5 OJP does not apply this limitation on the use of award funds to the nonprofit organizations listed at Appendix VIII to 2 C.F.R. Part 200.
C. Eligibility Information

For additional eligibility information, see title page.

For additional information on the match requirement, see Section B. Federal Award Information.

Limit on Number of Application Submissions

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, BJA will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted. For more information on system-validated versions, see How To Apply.

D. Application and Submission Information

What an Application Should Include

Applicants should anticipate that if they fail to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements, it may negatively affect the review of their application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of special conditions that preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds pending satisfaction of the conditions.

Moreover, applicants should anticipate that applications that are determined to be nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that do not include the application elements that BJA has designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration. Under this solicitation, BJA has designated the following application elements as critical: Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet, Budget Narrative, and Time/Task Plan. Applicants may combine the Budget Narrative and the Budget Detail Worksheet in one document. However, if an applicant submits only one budget document, it must contain both narrative and detail information. Please review the “Note on File Names and File Types” under How To Apply to be sure applications are submitted in permitted formats.

OJP strongly recommends that applicants use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., “Program Narrative,” “Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative,” “Timelines,” “Memoranda of Understanding,” “Résumés”) for all attachments. Also, OJP recommends that applicants include résumés in a single file.

1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of pre-applications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and the OJP Grants Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant’s profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting "type of applicant," if the applicant is a for-profit entity, select "For-Profit Organization" or "Small Business" (as applicable).

Intergovernmental Review: This funding opportunity (program) is not subject to Executive Order 12372. (In completing the SF-424, applicants are to make the appropriate selection in response to question 19 to indicate that the “Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.”)

2. Project Abstract

Applications should include a high-quality “Project Abstract” that summarizes the proposed project. Project Abstracts should be—
- Written for a general public audience.
- Submitted as a separate attachment with “Project Abstract” as part of its file name.
- Single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman) with 1-inch margins, and not exceeding one page.

For each Project Abstract:

- List the category of funding requested (1, Implementation; 2, Enhancement; or 3, Statewide A/B), jurisdiction size (state, regional, local, tribe), urbanicity (urban, suburban, rural), and specify the type of drug court(s) for which funds are being requested (e.g., 1. Adult Drug Court; 2. Driving While Intoxicated (DWI)/Driving Under the Influence (DUI) Court; 3. Co-Occurring Substance Abuse and Mental Health Court; 4. Veterans Treatment Court Type A. Servicing Violent Offenders (Limited Funding is Available for this Category) or Veterans Treatment Court Type B. Servicing Non-Violent Offenders; 5. Tribal Healing to Wellness Court), and whether the court is pre- or post-adjudication.

- State the name, location, and address for the court where the drug court program that is the subject of this application is or will be operating, and the name and contact information for the designated drug court judge. State the date that the drug court began operations if it is presently operating.

- State the total federal amount requested for the life of the grant. This total amount should be the same amount listed on the SF-424 form and should align with the goals and objectives of the solicitation.

- State the current maximum participant capacity the applicant drug court can serve on any given day AND the increase in capacity that would result if a grant is awarded.

- **State the total number of participants proposed to receive services with these grant funds** (if awarded) over the life of the grant project period. Applicants can calculate this number by dividing the length of the grant project period (in months) by the average length of the drug court program (in months) and then multiplying that number by the number of additional people that can be served in the drug court program because of the grant funds. Identify the minimum, maximum, and average length of program participation. Applicants should also describe the drug court admission protocol to ensure the maximum number of clients available are admitted to the program.

- Briefly describe the target population and how this population will mirror the arrestee population, including the risk and need level of participants, how criminogenic risk and treatment need are screened and assessed, and the jurisdiction’s access to MAT.

- Note which of the [NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards](https://www.nadcp.org) will be addressed in the application, noting the page numbers where each item is discussed in the application.
• Briefly describe, if awarded, the data collection mechanism which the applicant will use to collect and report in-program progress as well as post-program recidivism information. The data collected should be for all program graduates one year post program completion. Recidivism is defined as any criminal offense that results in a formal charge in any local, state, federal, or tribal court.


• Indicate whether the jurisdiction is leveraging any other federal funding sources (e.g., Second Chance Act, Justice Reinvestment, or Justice Assistance Grant Program) to support the drug court.

• Indicate whether the applicant jurisdiction has ever received a Drug Court grant from OJP (include grant number) or ever participated in the Drug Court Planning Initiative. If the jurisdiction received planning assistance, include the dates of the training.

As a separate attachment, the project abstract will not count against the page limit for the program narrative.

All project abstracts should follow the detailed template available at ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/ProjectAbstractTemplate.pdf.

3. Program Narrative
The program narrative must respond to the solicitation and the Selection Criteria (1-4) listed below in the order given. The program narrative should be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman is preferred) with 1-inch margins, and should not exceed 20 pages. Number pages “1 of 20,” “2 of 20,” etc.

If the program narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, BJA may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions.

The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative:

a. Statement of the Problem
b. Project Design and Implementation
c. Capabilities and Competencies
d. Evaluation, Aftercare and Healthcare Integration Strategy, Sustainment, and Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation’s Performance Measures

To demonstrate program progress and success, as well as assist the Department with fulfilling its responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103-62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111–352, applicants that receive funding under this solicitation must provide data that measure the results of their work done under this solicitation. Award recipients will be required to provide the relevant data by submitting quarterly performance metrics through BJA’s online Performance Measurement Tool (PMT) located at www.bjaperformancetools.org. Applicants should review the complete list of BJA Adult Drug Court Program performance measures:
1. **Category 1: Implementation**
2. **Category 2: Enhancement**
3. **Category 3: Statewide**

BJA does not require applicants to submit performance measures data with their application. Performance measures are included as an alert that BJA will require successful applicants to submit specific data as part of their reporting requirements. For the application, applicants should indicate an understanding of these requirements and discuss how they will gather the required data, should they receive funding.

**Note on Project Evaluations**

Applicants that propose to use funds awarded through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should be aware that certain project evaluations (such as systematic investigations designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute “research” for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects protection regulations. However, project evaluations that are intended only to generate internal improvements to a program or service, or are conducted only to meet OJP’s performance measure data reporting requirements, likely do not constitute “research.” Applicants should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular project they propose would either intentionally or unintentionally collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ regulatory definition of research.

Research, for the purposes of human subjects protections for OJP-funded programs, is defined as, “a systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge” 28 C.F.R. § 46.102(d). For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research, see the decision tree to assist applicants on the “Research and the Protection of Human Subjects” section of the OJP Funding Resource Center web page (http://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SolicitationRequirements/EvidenceResearchEvaluationRequirements.htm). Applicants whose proposals may involve a research or statistical component also should review the “Data Privacy and Confidentiality Requirements” section on that web page.

4. **Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative**

a. **Budget Detail Worksheet**

   A sample Budget Detail Worksheet can be found at http://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/BudgetDetailWorksheet.pdf. Applicants that submit their budget in a different format should include the budget categories listed in the sample budget worksheet. The Budget Detail Worksheet should be broken down by year.

   For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the Financial Guide at http://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm.

   Applicants must show all computations. The Budget Detail Worksheet should provide itemized break downs of all costs. If the computations do not show sufficient amount of detail or are incorrect, the budgets will be returned for corrections.
The budget summary page must reflect the amounts in the budget categories as included in the Budget Detail Worksheet. These amounts should mirror the amounts in the Budget Narrative.

**Unallowable Uses for Award Funds**
In addition to the items identified in the Financial Guide, award funds may not be used for prizes, rewards, entertainment, trinkets (or any type of monetary incentive), client stipends, gift cards, vehicles, food and beverage, and/or any costs that do not support approved project activities.

**b. Budget Narrative**
The Budget Narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe every category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities).

Applicants should demonstrate in their Budget Narratives how they will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget Narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project. For example, a Budget Narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the applicant estimated and calculated all costs, and how they are relevant to the completion of the proposed project. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes but need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the Budget Narrative should be broken down by year.

**c. Non-Competitive Procurement Contracts In Excess of Simplified Acquisition Threshold**
If an applicant proposes to make one or more non-competitive procurements of products or services, where the non-competitive procurement will exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (also known as the small purchase threshold), which is currently set at $150,000, the application should address the considerations outlined in the Financial Guide.

**d. Pre-Agreement Cost Approvals**
For information on pre-agreement costs, see “Pre-Agreement Cost (also known as Pre-award Cost) Approvals” in Section B. Federal Award Information.

**5. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)**
Indirect costs are allowed only under the following circumstances:
(a) The applicant has a current, federally approved indirect cost rate; or
(b) The applicant is eligible to use and elects to use the “de minimis” indirect cost rate described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

Attach a copy of the federally approved indirect cost rate agreement to the application. Applicants that do not have an approved rate may request one through their cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant.
organization, or, if the applicant’s accounting system permits, costs may be allocated in the direct cost categories. For the definition of Cognizant Federal Agency, see the “Glossary of Terms” in the Financial Guide. For assistance with identifying your cognizant agency, please contact the Customer Service Center at 800-458-0786 or at ask.cocfo@usdoj.gov. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain information needed to submit an indirect cost rate proposal at http://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/IndirectCosts.pdf.

In order to use the “de minimis” indirect rate, attach written documentation to the application that advises OJP of both the applicant’s eligibility (to use the “de minimis” rate) and its election. If the applicant elects the “de minimis” method, costs must be consistently charged as either indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as both. In addition, if this method is chosen then it must be used consistently for all federal awards until such time as you choose to negotiate a federally approved indirect cost rate.6

6. Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)
Tribes, tribal organizations, or third parties proposing to provide direct services or assistance to residents on tribal lands should include in their applications a resolution, a letter, affidavit, or other documentation, as appropriate, that certifies that the applicant has the legal authority from the tribe(s) to implement the proposed project on tribal lands. In those instances when an organization or consortium of tribes applies for a grant on behalf of a tribe or multiple specific tribes, the application should include appropriate legal documentation, as described above, from all tribes that would receive services or assistance under the grant. A consortium of tribes for which existing consortium bylaws allow action without support from all tribes in the consortium (i.e., without an authorizing resolution or comparable legal documentation from each tribal governing body) may submit, instead, a copy of its consortium bylaws with the application.

Applicants unable to submit an application that includes a fully-executed (i.e., signed) copy of appropriate legal documentation, as described above, consistent with the applicable tribe’s governance structure, should, at a minimum, submit an unsigned, draft version of such legal documentation as part of its application (except for cases in which, with respect to a tribal consortium applicant, consortium bylaws allow action without the support of all consortium member tribes). If selected for funding, BJA will make use of and access to funds contingent on receipt of the fully-executed legal documentation.

7. Applicant Disclosure of High-Risk Status
Applicants are to disclose whether they are currently designated high risk by another federal grant making agency. This includes any status requiring additional oversight by the federal agency due to past programmatic or financial concerns. If an applicant is designated high risk by another federal grant making agency, you must email the following information to OJPComplianceReporting@usdoj.gov at the time of application submission:

- The federal agency that currently designated the applicant as high risk.
- Date the applicant was designated high risk.
- The high-risk point of contact name, phone number, and email address, from that federal agency.
- Reasons for the high-risk status.

OJP seeks this information to ensure appropriate federal oversight of any grant award. Disclosing this high risk information does not disqualify any organization from receiving an OJP award. However, additional grant oversight may be included, if necessary, in award documentation.

8. Additional Attachments

a. Time Task Plan (Required)
   A Time Task Plan is required and should outline when goals and objectives will be met over the project period. The Time Task Plan will summarize the major activities, responsible agencies, and expected completion dates for the principal tasks required to implement and manage the drug court program. Applicants must indicate the number of program participants to be served quarterly under the grant-funded project to demonstrate how the total number of anticipated participants will be served before the end of the grant period.

b. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) signed by all team members
   Attach an MOU signed by each key drug court team member, with general responsibilities and expectation of coordination agreed upon by each member. Key drug court team members include a judge, prosecutor, defense attorney, treatment provider, researcher/evaluator/management information specialist, community supervision representative, and drug court coordinator.

c. State Substance Abuse (SSA) Agency Director or Designee Letter (Recommended)
   Applicants are encouraged to include a letter from the SSA Director or designated representative in support of the application and include confirmation that the proposal conforms to the framework of the State Strategy of Substance Abuse Treatment. A listing of the SSAs can be found on SAMHSA’s website at www.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/ssadirectory.pdf. See #6 above for information about the Tribal Authorizing Resolution requirement.

d. Chief Justice, State Court Administrator, or Designee Letter (Recommended)
   Applicants are encouraged to include a letter from the Chief Justice of the state’s highest court, the State Court Administrator, or a designee (e.g., the state drug or problem-solving court coordinator) describing how the proposed application would enhance the statewide efforts related to problem-solving courts, and/or is part of the state’s problem-solving court strategy. The letter should be addressed to the BJA Director. A listing of the state drug and problem-solving court coordinators can be found at the National Drug Court Resource Center.

e. Policies and Procedures Manual (if applicable)
   All enhancement applicants should attach their drug court policies and procedures manual outlining the above referenced court operations. If the policies and procedures manual is not attached to the current application, it must be submitted and approved by the program manager within the first year of grant award.

f. Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications
   Applicants are to disclose whether they have pending applications or intend to apply for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include
requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation
and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the Budget Narrative and Budget Detail
Worksheet in the application under this solicitation. The disclosure should include both
direct applications for federal funding (e.g., applications to federal agencies) and indirect
applications for such funding (e.g., applications to state agencies that will subaward
federal funds).

OJP seeks this information to help avoid any inappropriate duplication of funding.
Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement
comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate
duplication.

Applicants that have pending applications as described above are to provide the
following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or state funding agency.
- The solicitation name/project name.
- The point of contact information at the applicable funding agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal or State Funding Agency</th>
<th>Solicitation Name/Project Name</th>
<th>Name/Phone/Email for Point of Contact at Funding Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOJ/COPS</td>
<td>COPS Hiring Program</td>
<td>Jane Doe, 202/000-0000; <a href="mailto:jane.doe@usdoj.gov">jane.doe@usdoj.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS/ Substance Abuse &amp; Mental Health Services Administration</td>
<td>Drug Free Communities Mentoring Program/ North County Youth Mentoring Program</td>
<td>John Doe, 202/000-0000; <a href="mailto:john.doe@hhs.gov">john.doe@hhs.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applicants should include the table as a separate attachment to their application. The file
should be named “Disclosure of Pending Applications.”

Applicants that do not have pending applications as described above are to include a
statement to this effect in the separate attachment page (e.g., “[Applicant Name on SF-
424] does not have pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for
federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include
requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation
and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the Budget Narrative and Budget Detail
Worksheet in the application under this solicitation.”).

g. Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity

If a proposal involves research and/or evaluation, regardless of the proposal’s other
merits, in order to receive funds, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation
independence, including appropriate safeguards to ensure research/evaluation
objectivity and integrity, both in this proposal and as it may relate to the applicant’s other
current or prior related projects. This documentation may be included as an attachment
to the application which addresses BOTH i. and ii. below.

i. For purposes of this solicitation, applicants must document research and evaluation
independence and integrity by including, at a minimum, one of the following two
items:
a. A specific assurance that the applicant has reviewed its proposal to identify any research integrity issues (including all principal investigators and subrecipients) and it has concluded that the design, conduct, or reporting of research and evaluation funded by BJA grants, cooperative agreements, or contracts will not be biased by any personal or financial conflict of interest on the part of part of its staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients responsible for the research and evaluation or on the part of the applicant organization.

OR

b. A specific listing of actual or perceived conflicts of interest that the applicant has identified in relation to this proposal. These conflicts could be either personal (related to specific staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients) or organizational (related to the applicant or any subgrantee organization). Examples of potential investigator (or other personal) conflict situations may include, but are not limited to, those in which an investigator would be in a position to evaluate a spouse’s work product (actual conflict), or an investigator would be in a position to evaluate the work of a former or current colleague (potential apparent conflict). With regard to potential organizational conflicts of interest, as one example, generally an organization could not be given a grant to evaluate a project if that organization had itself provided substantial prior technical assistance to that specific project or a location implementing the project (whether funded by OJP or other sources), as the organization in such an instance would appear to be evaluating the effectiveness of its own prior work. The key is whether a reasonable person understanding all of the facts would be able to have confidence that the results of any research or evaluation project are objective and reliable. Any outside personal or financial interest that casts doubt on that objectivity and reliability of an evaluation or research product is a problem and must be disclosed.

ii. In addition, for purposes of this solicitation applicants must address the issue of possible mitigation of research integrity concerns by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:

a. If an applicant reasonably believes that no potential personal or organizational conflicts of interest exist, then the applicant should provide a brief narrative explanation of how and why it reached that conclusion. Applicants MUST also include an explanation of the specific processes and procedures that the applicant will put in place to identify and eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) potential personal or financial conflicts of interest on the part of its staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients for this particular project, should that be necessary during the grant period. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard could include organizational codes of ethics/conduct or policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest.

OR

b. If the applicant has identified specific personal or organizational conflicts of interest in its proposal during this review, the applicant must propose a specific and robust mitigation plan to address conflicts noted above. At a minimum, the
plan must include specific processes and procedures that the applicant will put in place to eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) potential personal or financial conflicts of interest on the part of its staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients for this particular project, should that be necessary during the grant period. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard could include organizational codes of ethics/conduct or policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

Considerations in assessing research and evaluation independence and integrity will include, but are not limited to, the adequacy of the applicant’s efforts to identify factors that could affect the objectivity or integrity of the proposed staff and/or the organization in carrying out the research, development, or evaluation activity; and the adequacy of the applicant’s existing or proposed remedies to control any such factors.

   In accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.205, federal agencies must have in place a framework for evaluating the risks posed by applicants before they receive a federal award. To facilitate part of this risk evaluation, all applicants (other than an individual) are to download, complete, and submit this form.

10. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities
    All applicants must complete this information. Applicants that expend any funds for lobbying activities are to provide the detailed information requested on the form Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL). Applicants that do not expend any funds for lobbying activities are to enter “N/A” in the text boxes for item 10 (“a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant” and “b. Individuals Performing Services”).

How To Apply
Applicants must register in and submit applications through Grants.gov, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find complete instructions on how to register and submit an application at www.Grants.gov. Applicants that experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726 or 606-545-5035, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays. Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, processing delays may occur, and it can take several weeks for first-time registrants to receive confirmation and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to register several weeks before the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

BJA strongly encourages all prospective applicants to sign up for Grants.gov email notifications regarding this solicitation. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be automatically notified.

Note on Attachments. Grants.gov has two categories of files for attachments: mandatory and optional. OJP receives all files attached in both categories. Please ensure all required documents are attached in the mandatory category.

Note on File Names and File Types: Grants.gov only permits the use of certain specific characters in names of attachment files. Valid file names may include only the characters shown
in the table below. Grants.gov is designed to reject any application that includes an attachment(s) with a file name that contains any characters not shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>Special Characters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper case (A – Z)</td>
<td>Parenthesis ( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower case (a – z)</td>
<td>Ampersand (&amp;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underscore (_)</td>
<td>Comma (,)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyphen (-)</td>
<td>At sign (@)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space</td>
<td>Percent sign (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period (.)</td>
<td><strong>When using the ampersand (&amp;) in XML, applicants must use the “&amp;” format.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grants.gov is designed to forward successfully submitted applications to the OJP Grants Management System (GMS).

**GMS does not accept executable file types as application attachments.** These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: `.com`, `.bat`, `.exe`, `.vbs`, `.cfg`, `.dat`, `.db`, `.dbf`, `.dll`, `.ini`, `.log`, `.ora`, `.sys`, and `.zip.” GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

OJP may not make a federal award to an applicant organization until the applicant organization has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements. Individual applicants must comply with all Grants.gov requirements. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time the federal awarding agency is ready to make a federal award, the federal awarding agency may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

Individual applicants should search Grants.gov for a funding opportunity for which individuals are eligible to apply. Use the Funding Opportunity Number (FON) to register. Complete the registration form at [https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/IndCPRegister](https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/IndCPRegister) to create a username and password. Individual applicants should complete all steps except 1, 2, and 4.

1. **Acquire a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number.** In general, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requires that all applicants (other than individuals) for federal funds include a DUNS number in their applications for a new award or a supplement to an existing award. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit sequence recognized as the universal standard for identifying and differentiating entities receiving federal funds. The identifier is used for tracking purposes and to validate address and point of contact information for federal assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. The DUNS number will be used throughout the grant life cycle. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866-705-5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online at [www.dnb.com](http://www.dnb.com). A DUNS number is usually received within 1–2 business days.

2. **Acquire registration with the System for Award Management (SAM).** SAM is the repository for standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. OJP requires all applicants (other than individuals) for federal financial assistance to maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Applicants must be
registered in SAM to successfully register in Grants.gov. Applicants must update or renew their SAM registration annually to maintain an active status. SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete.

Applications cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. Once the SAM registration/renewal is complete, the information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours. OJP recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at www.sam.gov.

3. Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password. Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. The applicant organization’s DUNS number must be used to complete this step. For more information about the registration process for organizations, go to www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html. Individuals registering with Grants.gov should go to https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/IndCPRegister.

4. Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC). The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization’s AOR. The E-Biz POC will need the Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) password obtained when registering with SAM to complete this step. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.

5. Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for this solicitation is CFDA #16.585 titled “Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program,” and the funding opportunity number is BJA-2016-9197.

6. Select the correct Competition ID. Some OJP solicitations posted to Grants.gov contain multiple purpose areas, denoted by the individual Competition ID. If applying to a solicitation with multiple Competition IDs, select the appropriate Competition ID for the intended purpose area of the application.

7. Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov. Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive two notifications from Grants.gov. The first will confirm the receipt of the application and the second will state whether the application has been successfully validated, or rejected due to errors, with an explanation. It is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. Important: OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification. All applications are due to be submitted and in receipt of a successful validation message in Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on April 19, 2016.

8. Click here for further details on DUNS, SAM, and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.
Note: Duplicate Applications
If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, BJA will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted. See Note on File Names and File Types under How To Apply.

Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues
Applicants that experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline (800-518-4726) or the SAM Help Desk (866-606-8220) to report the technical issue and receive a tracking number. Then the applicant must email the BJA contact identified in the Contact Information section on page 2 within 24 hours after the application deadline and request approval to submit their application. The email must describe the technical difficulties, and include a timeline of the applicant’s submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant’s DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s). Note: BJA does not automatically approve requests. After the program office reviews the submission, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to validate the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the applicant failed to follow all required procedures, which resulted in an untimely application submission, OJP will deny the applicant's request to submit their application.

The following conditions are generally insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time. (SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.)
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website.
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation.
- Technical issues with the applicant’s computer or information technology environment, including firewalls.

Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP funding web page at www.ojp.gov/funding/Explore/CurrentFundingOpportunities.htm.

E. Application Review Information
Selection Criteria

1. Statement of the Problem
Within this section all applicants should explain the inability to fund the program adequately without federal assistance.

Category 1: Implementation Applicants (20 percent)
- Describe the nature and scope of the substance abuse problem in the jurisdiction. Include data on race, ethnicity, age, gender, arrest volume (i.e., specifics of the general arrestee population, including what percentage are screened for drug court and what
percentage of those are admitted into drug court) and crime patterns for adult defendants.

- Explain the problems with the current court response to cases involving substance abuse, identify how and to what extent the proposed project will address the current arrest volume, and describe how the current number of treatment slots meets the needs of anticipated referrals.
- Describe the proposed target population, including criminogenic risk level (high, medium, low), substance abuse treatment need, and the average jail or prison sentence that potential participants face, if any.
- Provide the target goal (number) of people to whom services will be provided under this project during the grant award period. Explain how the target number of people the applicant plans to serve with grant funds was derived. This number will serve as the target number, and BJA will measure the applicant against this target number, if the applicant is selected to receive an award.

Category 2: Enhancement Applicants (25 percent)

- Describe the immediate issues that the enhancement grant seeks to address. As stated earlier, applicants are encouraged to include in their proposals funding to incorporate the evidence-based program principles included in the NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards, and to specify which Standard(s) will be addressed and how the Standard(s) will be implemented. Applicants should also refer to the allowable uses of funds on page 11.
- Describe the current operation of the adult drug court, addressing:
  - Referral, screening, and assessment process.
  - Eligibility requirements.
  - Target population.
  - Current capacity.
  - Length and phases of the program.
  - Case management process.
  - Community supervision.
  - Recovery support services delivery plan.
  - Judicial supervision.
  - Process for randomized drug testing.
  - Incentives and sanctions.
  - Graduation requirements and expulsion criteria (include the drug court members present when it is decided and communicated that a participant is terminated from the program).
  - Restitution costs and all fees required for program participation.

All enhancement applicants should attach their drug court policies and procedures manual outlining the above referenced court operations. If the policies and procedures manual is not attached to the current application, it must be submitted and approved by the program manager within the first year of grant award.

- Describe a mechanism that prioritizes court resources and services for the individuals with high criminogenic risk and treatment need, including repeat substance abusing offenders.
- Identify the treatment service(s)/ practice(s) available for drug court participants, including the access to MAT and how those services are currently monitored for quality and effectiveness.
Discusses the evidence that shows that the drug and other treatment service(s)/practice(s) are evidence-based and effective with the target population. If the evidence is limited or non-existent for the target population, provide other information to support the intervention selection. Provide local data and any evaluation findings that demonstrate the program’s impact with regard to participant recidivism and functional outcomes.

Category 3: Statewide Applicants (20 percent)

- List the subcategory and total funding amount the applicant is applying for: A, B, or both.
- Describe the enhancement and/or number and type of drug court(s) and other problem solving courts operating statewide with jurisdiction over substance abusers.
- Describe the extent that the state or these courts meet the needs of the eligible population of nonviolent substance-abusing offenders, are operating at capacity, and the non-budgetary reasons if they are not operating at capacity.
- Provide information about the extent that the enhancement and/or drug courts within the state are incorporating evidence-based treatment practices/services.
- Describe the issue or need that the implementation or enhancement grant seeks to address. Provide state data and any evaluation findings that demonstrate the state drug court program’s impact with regard to offender and community outcomes.
- Provide the target goal (number) of people to whom services will be provided under this project during the grant award period. This number will serve as the target number, and BJA will measure the applicant against this target number, if the applicant is selected to receive an award.

2. Project Design and Implementation

Within this section, all applicants should address the following items and then address their specific category requirements below.

1) Applicants should demonstrate that eligible drug court participants promptly enter the drug court program following a determination of their eligibility. Drug court programs which require an initial period of incarceration are ineligible unless the period of incarceration is mandated by statute for the offense in question. In such instances, the applicant must demonstrate the offender receives treatment services while incarcerated if available and begins drug court treatment services immediately upon release.

2) The Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program authorizing statute requires participant payments for treatment and restitution; however, it does not allow imposing a fee on a client that would interfere with the client’s rehabilitation. Applicants should include in their application provisions for determining how these costs would not interfere with a client’s rehabilitation or graduation.

3) Applicants should demonstrate that the drug court for which funds are being sought will not deny any eligible client access to the program because of their use of FDA-approved medications for the treatment of substance use disorders. Please refer to page 9 for additional information.

4) Applicants should discuss how they intend to increase awareness of any potential racial disparity in the selection of eligible applicants, and how they intend to prevent or overcome any such disparities.

Category 1: Implementation Applicants (40 percent):

- Describe the drug court program to include the following:
  - Screening and referral process.
Eligibility requirements.
Structure of the drug court (pre-/post-plea, etc.).
Length and phases of the program.
Case management process.
Community supervision.
Availability of evidence-based treatment services.
Recovery supportive services delivery plan.
Judicial supervision.
Process for randomized drug testing.
Incentives and sanctions. Demonstrate an understanding that relapse is a part of the substance addiction recovery process and is taken into consideration in the development of incentives and sanctions.
Graduation requirements and expulsion criteria.
Restitution costs and all fees required for program participation, identifying how the fees will be absorbed back into the program. Include whether the program fees present a barrier to participation and the measures available to reduce or waive fees for indigent participants.

- Describe which, if any, evidence-based principles and practices included in the NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards will be implemented (see pages 7–8).
- Describe how the treatment provider(s) will be selected and address the frequency with which key drug court team members will monitor the providers. Monitoring should ensure that the treatment is effective. Describe the evidence base for the drug and other treatment intervention(s) to be used and how it is responsive to the needs of the target population described above.
- Describe the range of treatment to be provided to address the substance abuse, mental health, and cognitive behavioral needs of participants.
- Describe how the court will identify, assess, and prioritize participation and services for high-risk/high-need offenders. Identify the validated assessment tool which will be utilized and provide information on why the specific assessment tool was selected.
- If a post-adjudication drug court model is proposed, discuss how the concept of “early intervention” will be implemented.
- Discuss how the community has been engaged in the planning process and describe the community partnerships available to support the drug court program.
- Demonstrate how the proposal conforms to the framework of the State Drug Court Strategy, if one exists.
- Describe how participant treatment will be funded
- For VTCs servicing Violent Offenders only, describe availability of anger management and domestic violence treatment.

Category 2: Enhancement Applicants (40 percent):
- Describe the proposed enhancement and its specific goals and objectives.
- Describe which, if any, evidence-based principles and practices included in the NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards described on pages 7–8 will be implemented with the funds requested in the proposal.
- Describe how the court will identify, assess, and prioritize participation and services for high-risk/high-need offenders. Identify the validated assessment tool used and provide information on why the specific assessment tool was selected.
- Provide the target goal (number) of people to whom services will be provided under this project during the grant award period. Explain how the target number of people the applicant plans to serve with grant funds was derived. This number will serve as the
target number, and BJA will measure the applicant against this target number, if the applicant is selected to receive an award. Structure of the drug court (pre-/post-plea, etc.)

- Identify the enhancement options discussed on page 10 for which funds are being requested and explain how each will be accomplished. In the explanation address the following:
  - Describe the detailed and randomized drug testing process and how it will occur throughout all components/phases of the program. Describe the mechanism which the court will use to ensure coverage and coordination of drug testing among all available agencies associated with clients.
  - Describe the proposed frequency of judicial status hearings and related criteria in the program. Describe how the program will ensure consistent procedures in the status hearings.
  - Describe the process the court will use to ensure a perception of procedural fairness throughout all court and program operations.
  - Describe the evidence base for the treatment intervention(s) to be used and how it is responsive to the needs of the target population described above. Describe the range of treatment to be provided to address the substance abuse, mental health, and cognitive behavioral needs of participants.
  - Demonstrate how the proposal conforms to the framework of the State Drug Court Strategy if one exists.
  - For VTCs servicing Violent Offenders only, describe availability of anger management and domestic violence treatment.

**Category 3: Statewide Applicants (50 percent):**

- Describe the specific design, goals, and objectives for the proposed statewide enhancement project.
- Describe which, if any, evidence-based principles and practices included in the NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards will be implemented (see pages 7–8) and how the proposed use of funds will assist in that implementation. If the State is proposing to increase/improve implementation of its own State standards, describe those standards and how they will be implemented.
- Provide a project strategy identifying how one or more of the following statewide initiatives will be accomplished: implementation of a new drug court; Best Practice Standards implementation; training or technical assistance programs for existing drug court teams; tracking or compiling state drug court information and resources; disseminating statewide drug court information to enhance or strengthen drug court programs; increasing communication, coordination, and information sharing among drug court programs; conducting a statewide drug court evaluation; or establishing an automated drug court data collection system.
- Describe the statewide, data-driven drug court strategy, including the plan to expand capacity of problem-solving courts to divert substance-abusing defendants from incarceration, which may include implementing new drug courts and/or scaling up existing drug courts to better meet the number of the existing eligible high-risk/high-need justice-involved population. Demonstrate how this proposal will assist in implementing that state strategy.
- If applicable under Category B, identify which drug court program(s) are proposed to receive funding, the type of program(s), at what amounts, for which periods of time, how the statewide applicant will assist the funded courts in achieving their goals and objectives, and how the applicant will monitor progress. Identify whether and how the
selected jurisdiction(s) drug court programs will implement principles and practices included in the NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards.

- Describe the detailed and randomized drug testing process and how it will occur throughout all components/phases of the program. Describe the mechanism which the court will use to ensure coverage and coordination of drug testing among all available agencies associated with clients.
- Describe the proposed frequency of judicial status hearings and related criteria in the program. Describe how the program will ensure consistent procedures in the status hearings.
- Describe the process the court will use to ensure a perception of procedural fairness throughout all court and program operations.
- Describe the plan for sustaining drug court programming after federal funding has ended.
- For VTCs serving Violent Offenders only, describe availability of anger management and domestic violence treatment.

3. Capabilities and Competencies

**Category 1: Implementation Applicants (20 percent)**

- Indicate whether the current drug court team members have received training through the BJA Drug Court Planning Initiative or through another opportunity.
- Identify each member of the drug court team and briefly describe their roles and responsibilities.
- Describe how effective communication and coordination among the team will be implemented throughout the project period. Key drug court team members must include a judge, prosecutor, defense attorney, treatment provider, researcher/evaluator/management information specialist, and drug court coordinator.
- Indicate whether the drug court team includes members from local law enforcement and probation departments. If applicable, describe the roles of these members as related to staffing attendance, home visits, and court appearances.
- Describe the drug court program’s proposed treatment partners; describe the history of this partnership and how the court will ensure these substance abuse treatment providers will use evidence-based treatment services including MAT.
- Attach a Memorandum of Understanding signed by each key drug court team member with responsibilities outlined for each member.

**Category 2: Enhancement Applicants (20 percent):**

- Identify each drug court team member who will have a significant role in implementing the enhancement and describe their roles, responsibilities, and qualifications to ensure success of the proposed enhancement project. Key drug court team members must include a judge, prosecutor, defense attorney, treatment provider, researcher/evaluator/management information specialist, community supervision representative and drug court coordinator.
- Identify personnel other than team members who are critical to the enhancement project’s successful implementation and discuss their roles, responsibilities, and qualifications.
- Discuss organizational capabilities or competencies that will directly impact the ability to successfully implement the proposed enhancement.
• Describe the drug court program’s proposed treatment partners; describe the history of this partnership and how the court will ensure that these substance abuse treatment providers use evidence-based treatment services and monitor the quality and effectiveness of service delivery.
• Attach a Memorandum of Understanding signed by each key drug court team member, with responsibilities outlined for each member.

Category 3: Statewide (15 percent):
• Subcategory A for up to $300,000: Identify personnel who are critical to the enhancement project’s successful implementation and discuss their roles, responsibilities, and qualifications. Discuss organizational capabilities or competencies that will directly impact the ability to successfully implement the proposed enhancement.
• Subcategory B for up to $1,200,000: Detail the system and process that will be used to monitor the drug court(s) to which funds are passed through for performance, compliance, and technical assistance needs, as well as how the drug court(s) will contribute to a reduction in substance abuse-related recidivism. Describe current state-funded drug court services, such as practitioner training and professional development opportunities, accessible statewide treatment contracts, and technical assistance available to support the implementation and/or enhancement operations of the drug courts proposed to receive funding. Describe the drug court program’s proposed treatment partners; describe the history of this partnership and how these substance abuse/addiction treatment providers will use evidence-based treatment services.

4. Evaluation, Aftercare and Healthcare Integration, Sustainment, and Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation’s Performance Measures
All applicants should describe their current ability to collect and analyze client-level demographic, performance, and outcome data and to conduct regular assessments of program service delivery and performance as described in the evidence-based program principles described in this solicitation. All applicants must indicate their willingness and ability to report aggregated client-level performance and outcome data through BJA’s Performance Measurement Tool (PMT) as well as the person responsible for collecting the data. Statewide applicants are expected to report on behalf of subawardees.

Category 1: Implementation Applicants (15 percent):
• Describe the steps the drug court will take to develop a performance management and evaluation plan. The plan should include strategies to collect data, review data, and use data to improve program performance, and should discuss how the drug court will work with an evaluator when appropriate. Describe the program’s screening tool and referral process, which ensures that participants screened and referred to drug court mirror the jurisdiction’s substance abuse arrestee percentages.
• Describe who will be responsible for, and the process of, the quarterly review of the actual number of participants served with grant funds as compared to the projected number of participants to be served. The Time Task Plan should reflect when and how the jurisdiction plans to reach that capacity and should be measured on a quarterly basis.
• Provide a client community reintegration or aftercare strategy detailing the step down provisions for aftercare services to assist program graduates as they reintegrate into the community. If applicable, applicants are also encouraged to consider and describe how their state’s planned Medicaid expansion, as allowed under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, will increase future program capacity or sustainability.
• Provide a sustainability plan detailing how drug court operations will be maintained after federal assistance ends. The sustainability plan should describe how current collaborations and evaluations will be used to leverage ongoing resources. BJA encourages applicants to ensure sustainability by coordinating with local, state, and other federal resources. Allowable uses of funds under the BJA Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program are court services and substance abuse and treatment.

Category 2: Enhancement Applicants (10 percent):
• Provide a plan detailing how performance of court operations will be evaluated and managed. Describe the program’s screening tool and referral process that ensures that participants screened and referred to drug court mirror the jurisdiction’s substance abuse arrestee percentages.
• Describe who will be responsible for, and the process of, the quarterly review of the actual number of participants served with grant funds as compared to the projected number of participants to be served. The Time Task Plan should reflect when and how the jurisdiction plans to reach that capacity and should be measured on a quarterly basis.
• Describe how operation and enhancement efforts will be maintained after federal assistance ends and how current collaborations and evaluations will be used to leverage ongoing resources.
• Provide a client community reintegration or aftercare strategy as well as a sustainability plan detailing how court operations will be maintained after federal assistance ends with support from local or state funding. Applicants are also encouraged to consider and describe how the drug court will leverage any state Medicaid expansion under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act to increase program capacity and facilitate sustainability.
• BJA encourages applicants to ensure sustainability by coordinating with local, state, and other federal resources. Such resources, like JAG, have purpose areas to support court services and substance abuse treatment.

Category 3: Statewide Applicants (10 percent):
• Provide a plan detailing how enhancement activities and performance of funded drug court operations will be managed and evaluated.
• Detail a screening and referral process using valid screening and assessment tools to ensure the most appropriate participants are referred to drug court.
• Describe who will be responsible for, and the process of, the quarterly review of the actual number of participants served with grant funds as compared to the projected number of participants to be served. The Time Task Plan should reflect when and how the jurisdiction plans to reach that capacity and should be measured on a quarterly basis.
• Describe how operation and enhancement efforts will be maintained after federal assistance ends and how current collaborations and evaluations will be used to leverage ongoing resources.
• Provide a client community reintegration or aftercare strategy as well as a sustainability plan detailing how court operations will be maintained after federal assistance ends with the support from local or state funding. BJA encourages applicants to ensure sustainability by coordinating with local, state, and other federal resources. Such resources, like JAG, have purpose areas to support court services and substance abuse treatment.
5. Budget (5 percent)

All applicants (Categories 1-3) must provide a proposed budget for the entire project period that is complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities) and reflects the 25 percent match requirement (notating which budget line items are match amounts). Budget narratives must explicitly describe how the budget request directly applies to the project design and will assist the applicant in meeting the project goals and objectives and should demonstrate how applicants will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Proposed budgets must directly relate to the project goals and objectives. The total amount being requested must be identified on the SF-424 and abstract. Applicants must submit the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative in one file.

Review Process

OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for awarding grants. BJA reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether applicants have met basic minimum requirements, OJP screens applications for compliance with specified program requirements to help determine which applications should proceed to further consideration for award. Although program requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP grant programs:

- Applications must be submitted by an eligible type of applicant.
- Applications must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable).
- Applications must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation.
- Applications must include all items designated as “critical elements.”
- Applicants will be checked against the System for Award Management (SAM).

For a list of critical elements, see What an Application Should Include under Section D, Application and Submission Information.

BJA may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess applications meeting basic minimum requirements on technical merit using the solicitation’s selection criteria. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. A peer review panel will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. Peer reviewers’ ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although their views are considered carefully. In addition to peer review ratings, considerations for award recommendations and decisions may include, but are not limited to, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance under prior BJA and OJP awards, and available funding.

OJP reviews applications for potential discretionary awards to evaluate the risks posed by applicants before they receive an award. This review may include but is not limited to the following:
1. Financial stability and fiscal integrity
2. Quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in the Financial Guide
3. History of performance
4. Reports and findings from audits
5. The applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on award recipients
6. Proposed costs to determine if the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative accurately explain project costs, and whether those costs are reasonable, necessary, and allowable under applicable federal cost principles and agency regulations

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General, who may consider factors including, but not limited to, peer review ratings, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance under prior BJA and OJP awards, and available funding when making awards.

F. Federal Award Administration Information

Federal Award Notices
OJP sends award notification by email through GMS to the individuals listed in the application as the point of contact and the authorizing official (E-Biz POC and AOR). The email notification includes detailed instructions on how to access and view the award documents, and how to accept the award in GMS. GMS automatically issues the notifications at 9:00 p.m. eastern time on the award date (by September 30, 2016). Recipients will be required to log in; accept any outstanding assurances and certifications on the award; designate a financial point of contact; and review, sign, and accept the award. The award acceptance process involves physical signature of the award document by the authorized representative and the scanning of the fully-executed award document to OJP.

Administrative, National Policy, and other Legal Requirements
If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the agency-approved project proposal and budget, the recipient must comply with award terms and conditions, and other legal requirements, including but not limited to OMB, DOJ or other federal regulations which will be included in the award, incorporated into the award by reference, or are otherwise applicable to the award. OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review the information pertaining to these requirements prior to submitting an application. To assist applicants and recipients in accessing and reviewing this information, OJP has placed pertinent information on its Solicitation Requirements page of the OJP Funding Resource Center.

Please note in particular the following two forms, which applicants must accept in GMS prior to the receipt of any award funds, as each details legal requirements with which applicants must provide specific assurances and certifications of compliance. Applicants may view these forms in the Apply section of the OJP Funding Resource Center and are strongly encouraged to review and consider them carefully prior to making an application for OJP grant funds.

- **Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements**
Standard Assurances

Upon grant approval, OJP electronically transmits (via GMS) the award document to the prospective award recipient. In addition to other award information, the award document contains award terms and conditions that specify national policy requirements\(^7\) with which recipients of federal funding must comply; uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements; and program-specific terms and conditions required based on applicable program (statutory) authority or requirements set forth in OJP solicitations and program announcements, and other requirements which may be attached to appropriated funding. For example, certain efforts may call for special requirements, terms, or conditions relating to intellectual property, data/information-sharing or -access, or information security; or audit requirements, expenditures and milestones, or publications and/or press releases. OJP also may place additional terms and conditions on an award based on its risk assessment of the applicant, or for other reasons it determines necessary to fulfill the goals and objectives of the program.

Prospective applicants may access and review the text of mandatory conditions OJP includes in all OJP awards, as well as the text of certain other conditions, such as administrative conditions, via the Mandatory Award Terms and Conditions page of the OJP Funding Resource Center.

General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements
Recipients must submit quarterly financial reports, quarterly progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent.

Special Reporting requirements may be required by OJP depending on the statutory, legislative or administrative obligations of the recipient or the program.

G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)

For Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s), see title page.

For contact information for Grants.gov, see title page.

H. Other Information

Provide Feedback to OJP
To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, we encourage applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review/peer review process. Provide feedback to OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov.

IMPORTANT: This email is for feedback and suggestions only. Replies are not sent from this mailbox. If you have specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation, you must directly contact the appropriate number or email listed on the front of this solicitation.

---

\(^7\) See generally 2 C.F.R. 200.300 (provides a general description of national policy requirements typically applicable to recipients of federal awards, including the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA)).
document. These contacts are provided to help ensure that you can directly reach an individual who can address your specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, please email your résumé to ojppeerreview@lmsolasa.com. The OJP Solicitation Feedback email account will not forward your résumé. **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization have submitted an application.
Application Checklist
FY 2015 Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program

This application checklist has been created to assist in developing an application.

What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:
_____ Acquire a DUNS Number (see page 25)
_____ Acquire or renew registration with SAM (see page 25)

To Register with Grants.gov:
_____ Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password (see page 26)
_____ Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC (see page 26)

To Find Funding Opportunity:
_____ Search for the Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov (see page 26)
_____ Select the correct Competition ID (see page 26)
_____ Download Funding Opportunity and Application Package
_____ Sign up for Grants.gov email notifications (optional) (see page 24)
_____ Read Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov
_____ Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting available at ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm (see page 14)

After application submission, receive Grants.gov email notifications that:
_____ (1) application has been received,
_____ (2) application has either been successfully validated or rejected with errors (see page 26)

If no Grants.gov receipt, and validation or error notifications are received:
_____ contact the NCJRS Response Center regarding experiencing technical difficulties (see page 27)

General Requirements:
_____ Review the Solicitation Requirements in the OJP Funding Resource Center.

Scope Requirement:
_____ The federal amount requested is within the allowable limits and not to exceed:
    _____ $350,000 for Category 1: Implementation
    _____ $300,000 for Category 2: Enhancement
    _____ $300,000 for Category 3: Statewide Subcategory A
    _____ $1,200,000 for Category 3: Statewide Subcategory B

Note, the total federal amount requested for all years should the same amount listed on the SF-424.

Eligibility Requirement:
_____ States, state and local courts, counties, units of local government, federally recognized Indian tribal governments
What an Application Should Include:

_____ Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424) (see page 15)
_____ *Project Abstract (including affirmation of evidence-based program features and total budget amount) (see page 15)
_____ *Program Narrative (see page 17)
_____ *Budget Detail Worksheet and *Budget Narrative (see page 18)
_____ Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable) (see page 19)
_____ Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable) (see page 20)
_____ Applicant Disclosure of High-Risk Status (see page 20)
_____ Additional Attachments (see page 21)
  _____ *Time Task Plan
  _____ Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) signed by all team members
  _____ State Substance Abuse Agency Director, or Designee Letter
  _____ Chief Justice, State Court Administrator or Designee Letter
  _____ Policies and Procedures Manual (if applicable)
  _____ Applicant disclosure of pending applications
  _____ Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity
  _____ Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (see page 24)
_____ Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) (see page 24)
_____ Employee Compensation Waiver request and justification (if applicable) (see page 14)

*These elements are the basic minimum requirements for applications. Applications that do not include these elements shall neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration by BJA.